2023 WATER SYSTEM COMPREHENSIVE PLAN UPDATE

City of Coeur d' Alene

January 2025

Prepared by:



J-U-B ENGINEERS, INC. 7825 Meadowlark Way Coeur d'Alene, ID (208) 762-8787 | www.jub.com

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

2023 Water System Comprehensive Plan Update





THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

CONTENTS

A	bbre	viatior	15	vi
E>	(ecu	tive Su	mmary	ES-1
	ES-1	Introdu	ction and Purpose	ES-1
	ES-2	Produc	tion and Consumption	ES-1
	ES-3	System	Overview	ES-3
	ES-4	Water S	Supply Evaluation	ES-7
	ES-5	Water S	Storage Evaluation	ES-8
	ES-6	Distribu	ES-8	
	ES-7	Capital	ES-9	
	ES-8	Append	lices	ES-10
	ES-9	Financi	al Plan	ES-10
	ES-1	0 Ackno	wledgments	ES-10
1	Rev	view Cr	iteria	1-1
	1.1	Introdu	ction	1-1
	1.2	Regula	tory Issues	1-1
		1.2.1	Existing Water Quality	1-1
		1.2.2	Source Water Quantity	
		1.2.3	Future Regulatory Issues	
	1.3	Fire Pro	otection Criteria	
	1.4	Minimu	ım Water Service Criteria	1-4
2	Wa	ter Pro	duction and Consumption	2-1
	2.1	Introdu	ction	2-1
	2.2	Coeur o	d'Alene Demographics	2-1
	2.3	Coeur o	d' Alene Water Service Boundary	2-1
	2.4	Water S	System Growth and Planning Period	2-3
	2.5	Current	and Future Water Consumption Demands	2-4
	2.6	Unacco	unted Water	2-5
3	Des	criptic	on of Existing System	3-1
	3.1	Introdu	ction	
	3.2	Water S	Supply	3-1
		3.2.1	High Zone Wells	
		3.2.2	General Zone Wells	
		3.2.3	Existing Water Rights	
	3.3	Existing	J Storage	
		3.3.1	Best Hill Tank	
		3.3.2	Tubbs Hill Storage	
		3.3.3	- Prairie Standpipe	
		3.3.4	Industrial Standpipe	
		3.3.5	Stanley Hill Tank	

		3.3.6	Blackwell Hill Tank	
		3.3.7	Nettleton Gulch Tank	
	3.4	Booster	Pump Stations	
		3.4.1	Elm Street Booster Station	
		3.4.2	Blackwell Hill Booster System	
		3.4.3	Tubbs Hill Booster Station	
		3.4.4	Armstrong Park Booster Station	
		3.4.5	Best Hill Booster Station	
	3.5	Existing	Distribution System	3-15
		3.5.1	Pressure Zones	3-15
		3.5.2	Pipe Network	
4	Wat	ter Sup	ply Evaluation	4-1
	4.1	Introduo	ction	4-1
	4.2	Existing	Supply Requirements	4-1
	4.3	Future S	Supply Requirements	4-2
	4.4	Boostec	Pressure Zones Supply Requirements	4-5
		4.4.1	Stanley Hill Zone	4-5
		4.4.2	Fernan Hill Zone	4-6
		4.4.3	Armstrong Park Zone	4-7
		4.4.4	Blackwell Hill Zone	4-9
		4.4.5	Best Hill	
	4.5	Water S	upply Recommendations by Pressure Zone	
		4.5.1	Existing Wells	
		4.5.2	New Wells	4-11
	4.6	Propose	ed Well Locations	
	4.7	Ultimate	e Demand and Water Rights	
	4.8	Telemet	try	
	4.9	Power S	Supply and Reliability	
	4.10	Water C	Conservation	
	4.11	Capital	Costs	
5	Wat	ter stor	rage	5-1
	5.1	Introduo	ction	5-1
	5.2	Existing	and Future Storage Evaluation and Recommendation	5-2
		5.2.1	High Zone	5-2
		5.2.2	General Zone	5-2
		5.2.3	Stanley Hill	5-3
		5.2.4	Fernan Hill	5-3
		5.2.5	Armstrong Park	5-3
		5.2.6	Blackwell Hill	5-4
		5.2.7	Storage Summary	5-4
	5.3	Cost Op	pinions	5-5

6	Dist	tributio	on System	6-1
	6.1	Distribu	tion System Analysis	6-1
	6.2	Evaluati	on of Distribution System	6-1
		6.2.1	Existing Demand Conditions	6-1
		6.2.2	Future Demand Conditions (Build Out)	6-2
	6.3	Pressur	e Zone Boundary Analysis	6-7
		6.3.1	High Zone/General Zone	6-7
		6.3.2	Stanley/Fernan Boundary	6-7
	6.4	Recom	nended Improvements	6-9
		6.4.1	High Zone	6-9
		6.4.2	General Zone	6-9
		6.4.3	Stanley Hill Zone	6-9
		6.4.4	Fernan Hill Zone	6-9
		6.4.5	Armstrong Park Zone	6-9
		6.4.6	Blackwell Hill Zone	6-9
7	Сар	oital Im	provement Plan	7-1
	7.1	Order c	f Magnitude Cost Estimates	7-1
	7.2	Summa	ry of Existing System Deficiencies and Improvements	7-1
		7.2.1	Water Supply	7-2
		7.2.2	Storage	7-3
		7.2.3	Distribution/Piping	7-4
		7.2.4	Additional Improvements	7-8
	7.3	Alterna	tives Descriptions	7-8
		7.3.1	Alternative 1 – No Action	7-8
		7.3.2	Alternative 2 – All Identified Improvements	7-9
	7.4	Potenti	al Environmental Impacts	7-9
	7.5	Alterna	tive Selection	
	7.6	Identifie	cation and Scheduling of Improvements	7-10
A	pper	ndices		7-13
Ap	pend	ix A – Co	eur d'Alene Demographics Discussion/Evaluation	
Ap	pend	ix B – Wa	ater System Conservation Plan	
Ap	pend	ix C – We	ell Data	
Ap	pend	ix D – Te	chnical Memorandum, 2012 Fernan Hill Evaluation, August 3, 2012	
Ap	pend	ix E – Teo	chnical Memorandum, 2012 Blackwell Hill Zone Analysis, September 26, 2012	
Ар	pend	ix F – Mo	odel Assumptions and Calibration	
Ар	pend	ix G – Mi	nimum System Development Criteria	
Ap	pend	ix H – Ca	pital Improvement Plan	
Ар	pend	IXI-DE	Q Correspondence	
Ар	pend	ix J – City	Council Meeting Minutes	
Fi	gure	S		
Fig	gure E	S - 1 – S	ystem Boundaries	ES-2
Fig	gure 2	-1- Exist	ng and Future Service Area Boundaries	2-2

Figure 2-2 – Diurnal Demand	2-6
Figure 2-3 - Maximum and Average Day Pumping: 2018-2023	2-6
Figure 3-1-Existing System	3-3
Figure 3-2 – Existing Zone Boundaries	
Figure 4-1- Storage and Capacity Requirements-High Zone	4-3
Figure 4-2- Storage and Capacity Requirements-General Zone	4-4
Figure 4-3- Supply and Capacity Requirements-Stanley Hill Zone	4-6
Figure 4-4 - Storage and Capacity Requirements-Fernan Hill Zone	4-7
Figure 4-5- Storage and Capacity Requirements-Armstrong Park Zone	4-8
Figure 4-6- Storage and Capacity Requirements-Blackwell Hill Zone	4-9
Figure 4-7- Supply and Storage	4-13
Figure 6-1- Existing System Peak Hour Headloss	6-3
Figure 6-2- Existing System Minimum Pressures	6-4
Figure 6-3 - Existing System Maximum Pressures	6-5
Figure 6-4- Build Out System Peak Hour Headloss (No Improvements)	6-6
Figure 6-5 – Future Pressure Zone Boundaries	6-8
Figure 6-6 – Build Out System (with Improvements)	6-13
Figure 6-7 – Build Out System (with Improvements) Peak Hour Headloss	6-14
Figure 6-8 - Build Out System (with Improvements) Minimum Pressures	6-15
Figure 6-9 Build Out System (with Improvements) Maximum Pressures	6-16
Figure 7-1- Capital Improvement Projects	7-12
Tables	
Table ES - 1 - City of Coeur d'Alene Current and Future Water Demand	ES-1
Table ES - 2 - Well and Pump Data	ES-4
Table ES - 3 - Summary of Existing Storage	ES-5
Table ES - 4 - Summary of Existing Booster Pump Stations	ES-6
Table ES - 5 - Pipe Summary ^(a)	ES-7
Table ES - 6 - Supply Analysis	ES-7
Table ES - 7 - Storage Analysis	ES-8
Table ES - 8 - City of Coeur d' Alene Schedule of Improvements	ES-9
Table 1-1 - Summary of Fire Flow Targets	1-4
Table 2-1-City of Coeur d' Alene Current and Future Water Demand	2-4
Table 3-1 – Well and Pump Data	3-4
Table 3-2 – Summary of Water Rights	3-8
Table 3-3- Summary of Existing Storage	3-9
Table 3-4a- Summary of Storage Components (Existing Conditions)	3-9
Table 3-4- Summary of Existing Booster Pump Stations	
Table 3-5 – Pressure Reducing Valves	

Table 3-6 – Pipe Summary ^(a)	3-17
Table 4-1- Existing Supply Requirements	4-1
Table 4-2 - Future Supply Requirements for Planning Period	4-2
Table 4-3- Future Supply Trigger Flow Requirements	4-5
Table 4-4 - Elm Street Booster Station Demands	4-6
Table 4-5- Fernan Hill Booster Station	4-7
Table 4-6- Armstrong Park Booster Station	4-8
Table 4-7- Blackwell Hill Booster Station	4-9
Table 4-8- Opinion of Probable Costs-New Supply	4-16
Table 4-9 - Opinion of Probable Cost-Miscellaneous Water Supply Projects	4-16
Table 4-10- Opinion of Probable Cost-Booster Station Upgrades	4-17
Table 5-1- Fire Storage Sizing Criteria	5-1
Table 5-2- Storage Requirements by Zone	5-4
Table 5-3- Cost Opinions-Storage Recommendation	5-5
Table 6-1- Build-Out Deficiencies	6-10
Table 7-1- Water Supply Improvement Costs by Zone	7-2
Table 7-2 – Additional Supply Improvements	7-3
Table 7-3- Booster Station Improvements	7-3
Table 7-4- Storage Requirements	7-4
Table 7-5- Build-Out Deficiencies	7-5
Table 7-6- Additional Recommended Improvements	7-8
Table 7-7- Alt. 2 Advantages & Disadvantages	7-9
Table 7-8- City of Coeur d' Alene Capital Improvements	7-11

ABBREVIATIONS

AC	Asbestos-cement (pipe)	IOC	Inorganic chemicals
ADD	Average Daily Demand	LSI/LI	Langelier saturation index
AF	Acre-foot (43,560 cubic feet or	MCL	Maximum containment level
	325,829 gallons)	MCLG	Maximum containment level goal
AI	Aggressiveness index	MDD	Maximum Daily Demand
Alk	Alkalinity	MDL	Method detection limit
APD	Aquifer Protection District of	AC	Asbestos-cement (pipe)
	Kootenai County	meq	Milliequivalent, 10-3 equivalents
ASTM	American Society for Testing and Materials	mg/l	Milligrams per liter = ppm
С	Disinfectant concentration	µg/I	Micrograms per liter = ppb
CAMP	Comprehensive Aquifer	mgd	Million gallons per day
•	Management Plan	MPA	Microscopic Particle Analysis
cfs	Cubic feet per second	NTU	Nephelometric turbidity unit
СТ	Value time (disinfectant concentration times the contact	NPDES	National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System
	time)	PHD	Peak Hour Demand
CU	Color units	ppb	Parts per billion
CWA	Clean Water Act	ppm	Parts per million
DBP	Disinfection byproduct	PQL	Practical Quantitation Limit
DEQ/IDEQ	Idaho Department of	PVC	Polyvinyl Chloride (pipe)
	Environmental Quality		Reasonably Anticipated Future
DIC	Dissolved inorganic carbon		Needs Provisions of Idaho's
DWR/IDWR	Idaho Department of Water Resources	RAFN	Municipal Water Rights Act of 1996
EPA/USEPA	United States Environmental Protection Agency	SCADA	Supervising control and data acquisition
fps	Feet per second		Safe Drinking Water Act (P.L. 93-
GI	Gastrointestinal	SDWA	523 plus amendments)
GMA	Groundwater Management Area	CMO	Secondary maximum containment
gpcd	Gallons per capita per day	SIVICE	level
gpd	Gallons per day	300	Spakana Vallay Bathdrum Prairie
gpm	Gallons per minute	SVRPA/RPA	Aquifer
GUDI	Groundwater Under the Direct Influence (of Surface Water)	SWTR	Surface Water Treatment Rule
НАА	Halo-acetic acid	Т	Contact time or temperature

LSL	Lead Service Lines
PFAS	Poly and Perfluoroalkyl Substance
PFOA	Perfluorooctanoic Acid
PFOS	Perfluorooctane Sulfonate
TDS	Total dissolved solids
ТНМ	Trihalomethane
тос	Total organic carbon
UCMR	Unregulated Contaminant Monitoring Rule
USGS	U.S. Geological Survey
UV	Ultraviolet
VFD	Variable frequency drive
VOC	Volatile organic chemical

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

ES-1 Introduction and Purpose

The City of Coeur d'Alene's previous Water System Comprehensive Plan was completed in 1999 and updated in 2012. Since that time, the City Water Department has implemented many of the recommended improvements. Remarkably, all the improvements were paid for from operating revenues without the need to add any debt. This plan update is conceived as the next step in continuing the successful management of the City's water system.

The City of Coeur d'Alene, Idaho (City) authorized J-U-B ENGINEERS, Inc. (J-U-B) to update the City's 2012 Water Comprehensive Plan Update. This plan update incorporates land use changes and establishes a new planning boundary. Using evaluation criteria approved by the City, the entire system was evaluated to determine the impact of future growth. The resulting recommendations presented in this report are for planning immediate and long-term improvements. This 2023 Comprehensive Plan Update focuses on improvements required within the next ten years as well as water demands, and the system infrastructure needs expected at system build-out. Reviewing the Comprehensive Plan annually and updating as conditions change from the expectations presented here will help maintain excellent water service throughout the planning period.

ES-2 Production and Consumption

The City water system boundary serves approximately 10,300 acres and provides drinking water to over 20,000 metered connections. Over the 20-year planning period, 2043, the current population of 55,836 people is expected to continue growing at an average rate of 2.5 percent with an estimated buildout population in 2040 per current Comprehensive Plan. **Table ES - 1** includes the projected demands.

	2023 (mgd)	2028 (mdg)	2033 (mgd)	Build Out 2040 (mgd)
Average Daily Demand	14.11	16.0	18.1	20.5
Maximum Daily Demand	42.8	48.5	54.9	62.2
Peak Hour Demand	77.0	87.2	98.8	112.0

Table ES - 1 - City of Coeur d'Alene Current and Future Water Demand

Figure ES - 1 shows the existing and future planning boundaries for the water system. The planning boundary is consistent with the City's Area of City Impact (ACI) on the north, west, and southwest borders. The water planning boundary to the east and southeast have been reduced from the ACI to encompass areas that are thought to be reasonably serviceable by the current system. **Chapter 2** evaluates water production and consumption.

Figure ES - 1 – System Boundaries



ES-3 System Overview

The City of Coeur d'Alene water system is currently in very good condition, which continues to improve through an aggressive replacement program. Water is currently supplied by eleven groundwater wells, and storage is provided by six storage tanks. Six pressure zones are included within the boundary, two of which are served directly by wells and four of which are served by booster stations. The eleven wells within the system can provide over 36,000 gpm at the current pump capacities. A summary of these wells is included in **Table ES - 2**.

The City has total water rights of 81.0 cfs which meets the current maximum day demand. These water rights have been consolidated to a municipal water right and are looked at for the system as a whole, meaning the City can use up to its full water right regardless of which wells are operating.

The existing storage tanks are typically located at the periphery of the system. **Table ES - 3** includes the summary of the existing facilities.

Table ES - 2 - Well and Pump Data

	Original Well Test				Pump Rated Capacity		Peak Operating Points		
Well No.	Flow (gpm)	Drawdown (feet)	Present Pump & Motor	Flow (gpm)	Head (feet)	Flow (gpm)	System Pressure (psi)	Well Drawdown (feet)	Auxiliary Power
1. Atlas	6,000	23.2	600 hp Worthington Model 15HH410-7, 7-stage deep well turbine	4,000	420	4,150	53	14.5	750 KW Diesel Generator
2. 4 th St.	3,500	20.1	400 hp Flowserve, 4 Stage Vertical Turbine	3,000	361	3,600	53	13.0	None
3. Hanley	6,000	5.75	500 hp Peerless deep well turbine pump	3,500	440	3,600	65	5.0	None
4. Honeysuckle	2,500	N/A	250 hp Goulds Model 14RJ-DWT, 5-stage deep well turbine	2,000	375	2,000	80	12	None
5. Linden	3,100	10.7	350 hp Flowserve 15EHM 4 Stage deep well turbine	3,000	360	3,200	65	13.5	600 KW diesel generator
6. Locust	3,700	5.9	350 hp Peerless Vertical Turbine 14HH 7 stage deep well turbine	3,200	337	2,800	55	4.0	None
7. Landings	3,500	14	500 hp Flowserve Model 15EHM/15HZ77, 5-stage deep well turbine	3,000	512	3,450	65	10	None
8. Prairie	4,000	1	500 hp Flowserve 16 ENL 6 stage deep well turbine	3,700	450	3,500	59	6.0	600 KW Diesel Generator
9. Annie	2,500	93	350 hp Peerless Model 16HXB, 5-stage deep well turbine	2,500	429	2,180	65	25.0	400 KW Diesel Generator
10. Ralph Capaul	4,000	-	600 hp Flowserve, 5 Stage Vertical Turbine	4,000	461	4,300	68	3.0	600 KW Diesel Generator
11. Huetter	4,000	-	600 hp Flowserve, Vertical Turbine	4,000	452	4,200	68	2.0	750 KW Diesel Generator
Total	42,800			35,900		36,980			

		Operating Characteristics								
	Storage Tank	Capacity (MG)	Overflow Elevation (MSL)	Height (feet)	Pressure Zone	Type of Tank				
1	Best Hill	2.0	2,355.35	31.85	General	Ground Level (steel)				
0		2.0	2,355.35	24	General	Ground Level (concrete)				
2		1.0	2,355.35	24	General	Ground Level (steel)				
3	Prairie Standpipe	2.0	2,430.5	156.5	High	Standpipe (steel)				
4	Industrial Standpipe	2.0	2,430.50	160	Highr	Standpipe (steel)				
5	Stanley Hill	0.2	2,540.22	31	Stanley	Ground Level (steel)				
6	Blackwell Hill	0.012	2,400 ^(a)	10	Blackwell	Ground Level (concrete)				
7	Armstrong Park	k 0.16 ^{2,3}		32	Armstrong Park	Ground Level (steel)				
То	otal	9.2 ^(b)								

Table ES - 3 - Summary of Existing Storage

(a) Approximate elevation

(b) Excludes Blackwell Hill and Armstrong Park

The City also has five major booster stations that supply the areas of higher elevation. These booster stations are summarized in Table ES - 4.

Booster Station		Operating Characteristics								
		Suction Pressure Zone	Discharge Pressure Zone	Pump No.	HP	Capacity (gpm)	TDH ^(a) (ft)	Notes		
				1	20	200	230			
1	Elm Street	General	Stanley	2	50	500	230			
				3	20	200	230			
	Blackwell Hill –			1	20	90				
	Lower			2	20	120				
2		General	Blackwell	3	20					
	Blackwell Hill - Upper			1&2	3	53.3				
				1	1.5	30	158			
3	Tubbs Hill	General	Tubbs Hill	2	1.5	30	158			
				3	1.5	30	158			
1	Armstrong Park	General	Armstrong Park	1	50	220	560			
4	Amstongran	General	Amstongran	2	50	220	560			
5	Best Hill	General (Best Hill Tank)	General	1	50	2,000	60			

Table ES - 4 - Summary of Existing Booster Pump Stations

(a) Total dynamic head based on nameplate and original pump curve information.

The City's water distribution system includes a total of 318 miles of piping. This piping ranges in size and material throughout the system. **Table ES** - **5** includes a summary of the existing piping by diameter and material. Chapter 3 summarizes the existing system.

	Pipe Length Diameter										
Material	< 6"	6"	8"	10"	12"	14"	16"	18"	20"	24"	Total
	(miles)	(miles)	(miles)	(miles)	(miles)	(miles)	(miles)	(miles)	(miles)	(miles)	(miles)
AC	5.1	49.9	24.6	2.2	19.5	0.6	1.1				103.0
Ductile	0.2	1.5	0.2		1.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.5	3.3	7.5
Galvanized	2.5										2.5
PVC	3.5	30.9	106.7	4.8	50.4		3.2	0.8			200.2
Steel	1.6	1.9	0.3		0.2						4.0
Other	0.6	0.2									0.8
Total	13.54	84.4	112.3	7.0	71.3	0.8	4.4	1.0	0.5	3.3	318.0

Table ES - 5 - Pipe Summary (a)

(a) Pipe material, length, and sizes were generated from the City's GIS water system database as of February 2023.

ES-4 Water Supply Evaluation

The City's existing supply is both high quality and productive. To help ensure reliable water service, water systems strive to meet maximum day demands through "firm" capacity. The "firm" supply required for the system is the supply required with the largest well out of service. **Table ES - 6** shows the current firm supply for each of the pressure zones as well as identifies the additional supply that will be required for each of the zones to meet the build-out demands.

Table ES - 6 - Supply Analysis

Zone	Current Firm Capacity MGD (gpm)	Build-Out Required Firm Capacity MGD (gpm)	Additional Capacity Required MGD (gpm)
High	30.10 (20,900)	47.38 (32,900)	17.28 (12,000)
General	16.96 (11,780)	22.72 (15,780)	5.76 (4,000)
Stanley Hill	0.58 (400)	1.15 (800)	0.58 (400)
Armstrong Park	0.63 (440)	0.95 (660)	0.32 (220)
Blackwell Hill	0.48 (330)	0.78 (540)	0.30 (210)
Fernan Hill		1.08 (750)	1.08 (750)

To meet the future supply requirements, five supply improvements have been scheduled. Three additional 4,000 gpm wells will be required in the High Zone. The General Zone will require two additional 2,000 gpm wells. These wells may be in either the High or General Zone.

In addition to the additional supply that will be added to the system, several other improvements have been recommended, including the replacement of one wellhouse and upgrades to the existing disinfection systems, along with regular maintenance items such as pump repairs and control system upgrades. A complete discussion of the recommended improvements can be found in **Chapter 4.**

ES-5 Water Storage Evaluation

The existing storage facilities are generally in good condition. The storage required for each zone is developed based on the City's criteria described in **Chapter 1**, which includes storage for equalization, fire, and emergency supplies. **Table ES - 7** includes the current storage, required capacity, and total deficit by zone.

Zone	Current Usable Capacity (MG)	Build-Out Required Firm Capacity (MG)	Additional Capacity Required (MG)
High	2.00	4.00	2.00
General	5.00	3.72	
Stanley Hill	0.20	065	0.50
Armstrong Park	0.16	0.60	0.50
Blackwell Hill	0.00	0.50	0.60
Fernan Hill	N/A	0.70	0.70

Table ES - 7 - Storage Analysis

An additional 2 MG storage tank is recommended in the High Zone. Several tanks will also require recoating within the upcoming years. Many of the boosted systems will require additional storage as these areas develop further. Since these are largely development driven, the improvements will be driven by development activity in these areas. A complete discussion of the recommended improvements can be found in **Chapter 5**.

ES-6 Distribution System Evaluation

The existing distribution system is generally in good condition. The Water Department schedules replacement of 1 to 2 miles of pipelines each year, focusing their program on the areas with old pipe and undersized pipe. This rate of replacement will allow the entire system to be fully replaced every 150 to 300 years.

As the system grows toward build-out of the planning area and the demands increase across the system, moving water from the large supply wells to the remainder of the system becomes more difficult. Several the recommended improvements involve upsizing pipes are driven by the need to

move more water throughout the system. **Chapter 6** of the Plan includes a detailed discussion of the recommended improvements.

ES-7 Capital Improvement Plan

The recommended improvements for the system are identified in **Chapters 4, 5, and 6** and are previously shown on **Figure ES - 1**. These recommended improvements have been scheduled through the planning period, to meet the system requirements and demands. **Table ES - 8** shows the phasing of system improvements.

lterr	City-Funded Capital Cost Opinion by Year (a) (b)			
Item	2023-2027	2028-2032	2033-2043	
Supply Improvements				
New Wells	\$2,800,000	\$2,800,000	\$8,100,000	
Other Supply Improvements	\$1,835,000	\$1,680,000	\$4,605,000	
Storage Improvements				
New Tanks	\$6,800,000	\$8,900,000	\$12,000,000	
Other Storage Improvements	\$600,000	\$600,000	\$1,850,000	
Distribution Improvements				
Distribution Improvements	\$10,380,000	\$13,260,000	\$26,220,000	
Annual Water Main Replacement	\$9,000,000	\$9,000,000	\$14,400,000	
Booster Stations				
Booster Station Improvements	\$2,000,000	\$2,190,000	\$1,100,000	
Additional Capital Improvements				
Additional Improvements	\$1,894,000	\$3,323,000	\$5,105,000	
Totals	\$36,179,000	\$42,983,000	\$73,380,000	

Table ES - 8 - City of Coeur d' Alene Schedule of Improvements

(a) All Opinions of Cost are planning level in 2023 dollars. Detailed cost estimates can be located in Appendix H. Escalating factors are recommended for future budgeting.

(b) Development-driven improvements are included at no cost to the City.

The cost of these improvements is paid for in different ways, depending on the driving factor for the improvement. Facilities located within the existing system that are driven by growth to supply future connections such as the recommended supply wells, new storage, and water transmission improvements are funded by capitalization fees. Projects that are related to regular maintenance or replacement of existing system components are funded by water rates. Projects that specifically serve a single major new development are expected to be fully funded by the development and donated to the City. **Table ES - 8** includes all the recommended City-funded improvements. The complete list of projects, can be found on **Table 7-1** to **Table 7-6**.

ES-8 Appendices

The appendices of this report include background and supplementary information:

- **Appendix A** presents the detailed analysis of the population projections for the Water Department and how the specific growth rate was selected.
- **Appendix B** incudes the Water Conservation Plan. This Plan fulfills the requirements of the Idaho Department of Water Resources for applying for future water rights.
- Appendix C contains specific information on each of the wells and well pumps.
- Appendix D and Appendix E include memorandums completed during the 2012 update describing the technical components for the future of the Fernan Hill Zone and the Blackwell Hill Zone.
- **Appendix F** details the technical components that went into the update of the hydraulic water model that was used to evaluate the distribution system.
- Appendix G outlines specific criteria for future developments.
- Appendix H includes the detailed Capital Improvement Plan.
- Appendix I includes DEQ Correspondence
- Appendix J includes City Council Meeting Minutes

ES-9 Financial Plan

A financial plan will be prepared by FCS group and will be included as a companion document. This document evaluates the cost of the recommended improvements and evaluates how the City can fund these from existing cash flow without adding debt. As a result of this analysis, it presents recommended rate and capitalization fee increases for the upcoming years.

ES-10 Acknowledgments

Many people were extremely helpful in providing documentation, information, and input. We wish, however, to especially thank the City of Coeur d'Alene Water Department staff who were instrumental in completing this report. Kyle Marine, Glen Poelstra, Ryan Webster, and Rob Stark were instrumental in collecting data; presenting improvement ideas; evaluating alternatives; expressing system concerns; and giving timely, pointed feedback. We would also like to thank Nick McCausland for his assistance with the City's GIS files. This assistance is gratefully acknowledged.

1 REVIEW CRITERIA

1.1 Introduction

This chapter includes an overview of some of the current and future regulatory requirements facing the Water Department as well as an overall view of the water quality for the City. This chapter also identifies the basic review criteria that will form the basis for this Master Plan Update.

1.2 Regulatory Issues

Rules, regulations, and requirements for groundwater systems have not changed significantly since the last two Comprehensive Plans completed in 1999 and 2012; however, there are some future regulatory requirements that may impact the City. An overview of future regulatory requirements that may affect the City are discussed in this section.

1.2.1 Existing Water Quality

The City operates a Public Water System (PWS #ID1280053) that is regulated by the State of Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (IDEQ). As a regulated Class 4 Water System, the City must submit water samples each year to verify the quality of water they serve the public.

All eleven of the City's wells draw water from the Spokane Valley-Rathdrum Prairie Aquifer (SVRPA). The aquifer was designated as a "Sole-Source Aquifer" by the Environmental Protection Agency in 1978. It has been further protected by Kootenai County and the Panhandle Health District, which limits septic tank wastewater service to one residential equivalent per five acres. Additionally, the Sensitive Resource Aquifer designation in 1997 by the State of Idaho further protects the SVRPA with Idaho's only "non-degradation" management standard.

Monthly coliform bacteria samples that are representative of water throughout the water system are submitted monthly to the IDEQ. In addition to coliform testing, the City is required to perform regular testing for contaminants, including lead and copper, volatile organic chemicals, synthetic organic chemicals, and inorganic chemicals.

Water quality throughout the system is generally very good and rarely elicits customer complaints. The City Water Department performs an excellent job in maintaining the system in good operating order. Water quality reports for the City's well sources show no detection of most chemical contaminates. Slight levels of nitrate exist within some of the City's wells. The highest level reported in 2022 was 2.63 mg/L, which is typical of Rathdrum Prairie wells and is well below the maximum contaminant level (MCL) of 10 mg/L. There is also naturally occurring arsenic in the City wells ranging from 1.50 to 28.10 μ g/L (2022), almost all of which is well below the Maximum Containment Level (MCL) of 10 μ g/L.

The two City wells that are near or exceed the MCL for arsenic set by the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) include the Hanley and Annie wells. The Hanley Well exceeds the MCL, with arsenic

levels ranging from 1.7 to 28.10 μ g/L. The Annie Well exceeds the MCL, with arsenic levels ranging from 1.5 to 10.8 μ g/L. The City limits operation of both the Hanley and Annie wells per the agreement with IDEQ (from July 2006) to achieve an annual exposure of less than 10 μ g/L to residents of these areas. Both the Annie & Hanley Wells are operated as a "last on"/"first off" well minimizing run time and blending with other sources per agreement with IDEQ (from July 2006). Strong protection measures in place for the Spokane Valley-Rathdrum Prairie Aquifer are expected to maintain water quality within water quality limits well beyond the planning period. The City will continue to monitor arsenic MCL requirements and will review alternatives.

1.2.2 Source Water Quantity

The Spokane Valley / Rathdrum Prairie aquifer is supplied by several large surface water sources, including Coeur d'Alene Lake, the Spokane River, Lake Pend Oreille, and Hayden Lake. Other small lake watersheds such as Hauser, Spirit, and Twin Lakes supply the balance of the surface water input to the aquifer. In an average year, precipitation also supplies the aquifer with one quarter of its recharge water. Of course, surface water flows and precipitation are subject to natural variations and will affect aquifer recharge rates. Detailed quantity, flow, and level analyses have been performed on both the Idaho and Washington side of the aquifer as part of the 2007 U.S. Geologic Surveys' "Bi-State" Study and are available on the IDEQ website.

The Spokane Valley/Rathdrum Prairie Aquifer is comprised of a thin layer of soil overlaying 200 to 400 feet of coarse sands and gravels. The alluvial material was deposited by Ice Age floods from Glacial Lake Missoula approximately 12,000 years ago. The 2007 "Bi-State" aquifer study completed by the U.S. Geologic Surveys shows that annual estimated aquifer withdrawals are approximately 22 percent of estimated annual recharge for the aquifer. While adequate aquifer supply appears to exist, pressure has been building from conservation groups to reduce per capita consumption to maintain Spokane River flows and water quality.

The Spokane Valley/Rathdrum Prairie Aquifer is the largest source of drinking water within the City's hydrologic area. Treating water from the nearby Spokane River or other surface sources would remove water that recharges the aquifer. It is significantly more costly than continued use of groundwater and could also introduce minimum river flow constraints directly into water supply planning. As a result, it is assumed that the City will continue to use groundwater as its sole water supply. Conserving water is likely the best way the City can reduce its dependence upon the aquifer. The City has been implementing conservation measures over the last few years, including promoting moisture sensors for irrigation systems and xeriscapes, education, ratepayer incentive programs, and block rate structures to promote conservation. Long-term aquifer quantity issues cannot be resolved by the City alone, as it is something that affects all communities located within the hydrologic boundaries of the aquifer.

1.2.3 Future Regulatory Issues

For detailed description of existing water quality standards including the *Total Coliform Rule* and *Radon in Drinking Water Regulation and* its impacts to the City's water system, reference the 2012 Water System Comprehensive Plan Update.

Two new drinking water rules are being proposed by the EPA that could potentially impact the Citythe revised Lead and Copper Rule and the proposed Regulation to Target PFAs in Drinking Water. The *Lead and Copper Rule (LCR)* was first effective in 1991, setting health goals and MCLs for total lead and copper in public drinking water systems. On August 4, 2022, EPA announced intentions to strengthen the Lead and Copper Rule by requiring communities to Develop and Maintain a Service Line Inventory. Inventory of service line materials, including public-side and private-side of the meter, is to be completed in October 16, 2024. The revisions to this rule are intended to provide a comprehensive inventory of all potable service line materials, both upstream and downstream of the meter. Additionally, new sampling requirements will be initiated downstream of all Lead Service Lines (LSLs), as defined by EPA. Those samples exceeding the trigger levels for lead will be required to work with EPA on a plan to "find and fix" LSLs on both the private and public side of the service lines.

City staff has begun inventory of service lines on the Owner side of the meter. As of March 2023, City staff has completed 12,000 of the 20,000 service tap cards on the public side of the meter. City staff is currently reviewing electrical evaluation options for review and material identification on the customer side of the meter. City plans to work with EPA and DEQ to find an approved methodology for material identification on the private side or downstream of the meter. City is on track to have the service line inventory completed by October 16, 2024.

With the updated sampling procedure, there is the potential that City staff may have to make modifications to the Lead and Copper sampling locations and procedures to meet the new tiered sampling requirements. Additionally, depending on the outcome of the service line inventory, the City staff may need to develop a "find and fix" program with EPA to eliminate LSLs.

The second rule that could impact the City is the proposed **Regulation to Target PFAs in Drinking Water.** This rule is intended to target two PFAS compounds, PFOA and PFOS, which are chemicals associated with a variety of health problems. Monitoring for PFOA and PFOS as individual contaminates will be at an MCL of 4 parts per trillion (ppt). This rule will also regulate four other PFAS compounds, PFHxS, PFNA, PFBS and HFPO-DA as a mixture and assessed using the Hazard Index with the MCL set to 1.0 (unitless) Hazard Index. Sampling will be required, and monitoring requirements will be based on water system size. Current timeline for regulation requires UCMR's in 2025. City staff has a preliminary plan in place for sampling and testing.

1.3 Fire Protection Criteria

Historically, the City water system has been designed to provide adequate water for domestic use only. Although there is no legal requirement for the water system to provide fire protection, the City has made a policy decision to provide reasonable flows and pressures for fire protection.

The current fire protection goal for the City are based on providing reasonable minimum flows and pressures. Through policy decision, the City Water Department works closely with the City Fire Department to meet fire flow goals for commercial and residential areas, as listed in **Table 1-1** and

Appendix I. Water system improvements identified in the CIP and new developments are designed to meet the City fire flow goals. Future development will need to meet or provide additional mitigation (i.e. sprinklers) the minimum standards established by this Plan. The target minimum flows and pressures are presented in **Table 1-1** and **Appendix I.**

	Median Home _ Size (square feet)	Operating Characteristics		
Land Use and Zoning		Flow Rate (gpm)	Residual System Pressure (psig)	Duration (hours)
Residential (R-1, R-3, R-8, R-12)	3,600	1,000	20	2
		1,750 ^(a)	20	2
Commercial (C-17, C-34)	3,600-5,000	3,500	20	3
Industrial (LM, M)		3,500	20	3

Table 1-1 - Summary of Fire Flow Targets

(a) On a case-by-case basis for structures greater than 5,000 SF

In the case of exceptionally high fire flow demands or demands exceeding those in **Table 1-1**, the property owner will be required to provide onsite fire protection (i.e., storage, pumping, and sprinklers) as necessary to meet the required fire flow per IBC/IFC. For these cases, the additional requirements will need to be approved by the Water Department Director and Fire Department and will be at the property owner's expense.

Due to the distributed nature of the City's supply, there is a wide variation in system performance between summer and winter. Since all system wells are operating during the peak summer months, available fire flows tend to be much higher during that period than in the winter months when storage is used more heavily.

The Water Department and Fire Department jointly determined during the 2012 Comprehensive Water Plan Update that the standard system condition under which sprinkler systems shall be designed and fire flows evaluated will be under low-demand, winter conditions with the Honeysuckle Well and the 4th Street Well operating and tank levels just above the minimum pump start levels.

1.4 Minimum Water Service Criteria

The City developed service criteria for the 1999 and 2012 Comprehensive Water Plan Updates to meet regulatory requirements, specific system performance/operation conditions, and fire flow criteria. City staff have indicated the criteria from the 1999 and 2012 Plan have worked well. The criteria to be maintained by the City water system are as follows (minor changes were made for this update):

• A normal operating pressure range of 50 to 80 psi at the meter.

- Where possible, a maximum system pressure of 80 psi at the meter. If these pressures are to be exceeded, special arrangements will be made to provide an acceptable pressure range.
- Where possible, a minimum pressure of 40 psi.
- Water supply at least equal to the maximum day demand with the largest well out of service.
- Storage capable of meeting the maximum fire demand plus equalization demand with the largest well out of service during the maximum day while maintaining 10 percent storage in reserve.
- Where possible, meet a minimum fire flow of 3,500 gpm in commercial areas; a minimum fire flow of 1,750 gpm in the R-1 and R-3 (or as modified by PUD) zoning districts; and a minimum fire flow of 1,000 gpm in the R-5 through R-12 zoning districts during normal system operation.
- Minimum residual pressure of 20 psi during fires meeting the fire flow criterion.
- Fire flow demands based on the size of the structure and type of construction exceeding these ranges will require that property owners provide onsite fire protection, including, but not limited to, sprinklers.

2 WATER PRODUCTION AND CONSUMPTION

2.1 Introduction

Growth in the City of Coeur d'Alene has continued over the last few years, with the overall growth between 2000 and present day being substantial. During this time, the Water Department has been able to keep up with the growth, provide good service, and minimize rate increases.

2.2 Coeur d'Alene Demographics

Coeur d'Alene has been growing rapidly over the last two decades. U.S. Census data indicates that the City population was 44,137 in 2010 and grew to 54,628 in 2020, an increase of approximately 2.16 percent year-over-year.

The Kootenai Metropolitan Planning Organization (KMPO) estimates Coeur d'Alene's projected average future annual growth rate at 2.5 percent. Coordination with the City's current 2022-2042 Comprehensive Plan, the Wastewater Department, and the Water Department resulted in a selected population growth rate of 2.5 percent for the planning period. A complete discussion of this evaluation is included in **Appendix A**.

2.3 Coeur d' Alene Water Service Boundary

The water service population differs slightly from the City population because the water service boundary and the City boundary are not the same. Several small areas within the limits are served by other water purveyors, including Hoffman Water, Dalton Water Association, Hayden Lake Irrigation District, and Huetter Water. The City also serves some legacy customers in unincorporated Kootenai County. The differences in the City and water service boundaries are shown on **Figure 2-1.** The existing water service boundary encompasses approximately 10,300 acres. This existing service boundary and future service area boundaries are also included on **Figure 2-1.**

The City Water Department currently has close to 20,148 total metered connections (2022 Max Month). Using the 2020 Census house hold of 2.40 persons per household, the population of the service area is approximately 55,836 people.

Figure 2-1- Existing and Future Service Area Boundaries





2.4 Water System Growth and Planning Period

Growth of the water system is restricted on several sides due to adjacent water systems. Adjacent water purveyors exist on the north and west sides of the City along with one small private system within the City. Ross Point Water serves a large portion of the area north of Seltice and west of Huetter, and the Hayden Lake Irrigation District (HLID) borders the City system to the north along Prairie Avenue. The south side of the system is bound by Coeur d'Alene Lake.

Most of the expected growth in the City of Coeur d'Alene is generally progressing toward the east and south with infill in the northwest, as shown on **Figure 2-1**. Specific areas of growth in the water system include:

- The northwestern portion of town as development fills in toward Prairie Avenue and Huetter
- The portion of town south of Seltice and north of the Spokane River, currently under development
- The area south of the Spokane River
- The area east of the existing City boundary in the foothills

There are also several relatively small areas within the future City water boundary serviced by independent water systems. These specific areas are Hoffman Water, the Kootenai County Fairgrounds, and the USFS Nursery. These water systems may become part of the City system in the future, increasing demands to the City water system. Build-out water demand projections include the incorporation of the USFS Nursery and fairgrounds into the City for planning purposes. Hoffman Water is assumed to remain independent.

The equivalent served acreage for the City was estimated by comparing build-out water demands with current water usage for land use areas within the system boundary. Based on this equivalent area, it is assumed that there will be a significant increase in water demands within the current system boundary. The total serviceable area for the build-out scenarios of the water system is approximately 12,800 acres.

The anticipated increase in system demand for the water service area is accounted for by applying the growth rate of 2.5 percent for Coeur d'Alene to current peak day flow. Build-out demand for the system was estimated in conjunction with the City Planning Department using current zoning and water demand factors developed for major usage categories and applying these demands to the full build-out acreage. The growth rates were used to estimate approximate timing for service area build-out by projecting flows forward from today's maximum day demand (MDD) at a 2.5 percent increase. Future projects described in this Plan include dates for planning purposes; however, observed system demand is the more accurate and critical component to scheduling upgrades. The City's 2022-2042 Comprehensive Plan references KMPO's estimated 2040 population for the City to be approximately 85,000 people.

2.5 Current and Future Water Consumption Demands

Water demands within the City are similar to nearby municipalities, with peak summer daily demands (MDD) nearing three times the average daily demand (ADD). The terms below are typically used to define water consumption demands:

Average Day Demand (ADD): The average number of gallons of water consumed per day as calculated over the course of one year.

Maximum Day Demand (MDD): The maximum number of gallons of water used in one day as determined from well production records.

Peak Hour Demand (PHD): The maximum amount of water used in a one-hour period. This number is extrapolated from well production and tank level records.

Daily and hourly pumping records are kept at each well site. The observed production has generally remained consistent, from 2018 to 2023. The maximum historical recorded maximum day demand on July 1, 2021 was 42.8 MG. The July 2021 MDD per capita water use was 768 gallons per capita per day and the 2021 ADD was 253 gallons per capita day. These values will be used in combination with the projected growth rate to develop future projected demands. Continual monitoring of actual system demands is recommended, if demands continue to remain consistent the CIP identified in **Chapter 7** can be extended. **Table 2-1** illustrates current and future water use within the City's water service area utilizing an annual growth rate of 2.5 percent.

Table 2-1-City of Coeur d' Alene Current and Future Water Demand

	2023	2028	2033	Build-Out 2040
	(mgd)	(mgd)	(mgd)	(mgd)
Average Daily Demand	14.11	16.0	18.1	20.5
Maximum Daily Demand	42.8	48.5	54.9	62.2
Peak Hour Demand	77.0	87.2	98.8	112.0

The fluctuation in demands over a 24-hour period is demonstrated on **Figure 2-2** as a percentage of average over a 24-hour period. The demand fluctuation was developed during the 2012 Water System Comprehensive Plan Update using hourly SCADA information from the maximum day demand in 2011, considering pump run times, starts, and stops. The peak hour demand represents the highest rate of water use occurring in a one-hour period during the maximum day. Observed reservoir level fluctuations and pumping records indicate the PHD is approximately 1.8 times the MDD. This peak hour occurs at approximately 5:00 a.m., with a second lesser peak (1.2) at approximately 8:00 p.m. Demands above the base line show periods when equalization storage would be required if firm production capacity matched the peak day demand.

In addition to daily demand fluctuation, domestic water use varies yearly primarily due to irrigation use. **Figure 2-3** shows both the peak day and average day pumping values from 2018 through 2023. Comparing the average annual water demand of 14.1 mgd (9,801 gpm) to the maximum day

demand of 42.8 mgd (29,700 gpm) yields a peaking factor of 3.0. This peaking factor is assumed to remain consistent through the planning period.

In addition to the domestic water use and irrigation, typical system demands include fire flow. Fire flow criteria were established by the City and tabulated in **Chapter 1**.

2.6 Unaccounted Water

The City maintains daily water production records for each well in the system. Comparing water production to individual user meter readings indicates unaccounted water ranges from 2 percent to 18 percent annually. The losses for the City are very low and indicate a well-managed and maintained system. A detailed evaluation of Unaccounted for Water is included in **Appendix B** (City of Coeur d'Alene Water System Conservation Plan).





Figure 2-3 - Maximum and Average Day Pumping: 2018-2023



3 DESCRIPTION OF EXISTING SYSTEM

3.1 Introduction

The Coeur d'Alene water system currently uses groundwater as its primary supply with major storage tanks on Tubbs Hill, Best Hill, Mineral Drive at Wilbur Avenue, and at the Coeur d'Alene Industrial Park.

The existing Coeur d'Alene water system utilizes eleven groundwater wells for its supply located throughout the City. These wells deliver water directly to the distribution system and also fill six storage tanks. Use of boosters and pressure-reducing valves has created six distinct pressure zones within the system. **Figure 3.1** shows a map of the water system wells, tanks, and distribution system. The system is in good condition due to excellent maintenance practices on the major system components.

3.2 Water Supply

The municipal water system supply for the City is provided by eleven groundwater wells having a combined operating capacity of approximately 53.25 million gallons per day (mgd). A twelfth well, the Clayton Well, is currently used for irrigation only at the Fairgrounds. **Table 3-1** summarizes the well and pump operating conditions for all the existing wells.

The existing wells utilize line-shaft vertical turbine pumps, with soft starters or variable frequency drives (VFDs). Lightning suppression and motor savers are used on all pumps. Discharge piping includes check valves and pump control valves. The pump control valves discharge to troughs adjacent to the pump buildings. The condition of all the existing well pumps and housing is excellent due to an aggressive maintenance program by the City Water Department. Prior to the 2012 Comprehensive Plan Update, the wells historically all utilized downhole chlorination for disinfection, which is mixed in the pump bowls. This was done with a gas chlorine to onsite generation of sodium hypochlorite as well as replacing the downhole chlorination with pipeline injection of the disinfectant.

The City is currently operating all of the well pumps based on tank level. The General Zone Wells (Annie, 4th Street, Linden, and Locust) are operated based on levels in the Tubbs Hill Tanks. One of the High Zone Wells (Atlas) is operated based on levels in the Industrial Park Standpipe, and the other five wells (Honeysuckle, Hanley, Landings, Ralph Capaul, and Prairie) operate based on the Prairie Standpipe levels. The Huetter well is operated based on both the Prairie and Industrial Tank water levels. The Honeysuckle Well is the first on, last off well and is nearly always on.

The City has two main operational scenarios – one for high flow, summer months and one for winter months. During winter months, the 4th Street and Honeysuckle wells are used as the primary supply sources with the Linden and Huetter wells as backups. During the summer months, all wells are

utilized as needed. The following paragraphs describe the City's supply wells. Well logs and corresponding pump curves are included in **Appendix C.**
Figure 3-1-Existing System



City 2 Comp	Coeur d'Alene IDAHO Of Coeur d'Alene 2023 Water System Drehensive Plan Update
Fi Ex	gure 3.1 isting System
-51	City Boundary
$\overline{\bullet}$	Existing Well
	Existing Tank
-	Existing Booster Station
ne Si	ize (in)
	<= 4
Ž	6
Ž	8
Ň	10
V	12
\sim	14
V	16
V	18
V	20
~	24
is con ning p cates,	ceptual in nature and intended purposes only. Field verification, survey, and investigation of other potential

Table 3-1 – Well and Pump Data

	Original Well Test		P		ted Capacity	P	eak Operating Po	ints	
Well No.	Flow (gpm)	Drawdown (feet)	Present Pump & Motor	Flow (gpm)	Head (feet)	Flow (gpm)	System Pressure (psi)	Well Drawdown (feet)	Auxiliary Power
1. Atlas	6,000	23.2	600 hp Worthington Model 15HH410-7, 7-stage deep well turbine	4,000	420	4,150	53	14.5	750 KW Diesel Generator
2. 4 th St.	3,500	20.1	400 hp Flowserve, 4 Stage Vertical Turbine	3,000	361	3,600	53	13.0	None
3. Hanley	6,000	5.75	500 hp Peerless deep well turbine pump	3,500	440	3,600	65	5.0	None
4. Honeysuckle	2,500	N/A	250 hp Goulds Model 14RJ-DWT, 5-stage deep well turbine	2,000	375	2,000	80	12	None
5. Linden	3,100	10.7	350 hp Flowserve 15EHM 4 Stage deep well turbine	3,000	360	3,200	65	13.5	600 KW diesel generator
6. Locust	3,700	5.9	350 hp Peerless Vertical Turbine 14HH 7 stage deep well turbine	3,200	337	2,800	55	4.0	None
7. Landings	3,500	14	500 hp Flowserve Model 15EHM/15HZ77, 5-stage deep well turbine	3,000	512	3,450	65	10	None
8. Prairie	4,000	1	500 hp Flowserve 16 ENL 6 stage deep well turbine	3,700	450	3,500	59	6.0	600 KW Diesel Generator
9. Annie	2,500	93	350 hp Peerless Model 16HXB, 5-stage deep well turbine	2,500	429	2,180	65	25.0	400 KW Diesel Generator
10. Ralph Capaul	4,000	-	600 hp Flowserve, 5 Stage Vertical Turbine	4,000	461	4,300	68	3.0	600 KW Diesel Generator
11. Huetter	4,000	-	600 hp Flowserve, Vertical Turbine	4,000	452	4,200	68	2.0	750 KW Diesel Generator
Total	42,800			35,900		36,980			

The City also has a surface water source located adjacent to Tubbs Hill. This supply is disconnected from the system. The City maintains Ownership, access and power to the site. This report does not examine their use any further.

3.2.1 High Zone Wells

The following wells are in the High Pressure Zone and are called to run based on the level in either the Prairie or Industrial standpipes. These wells also supply the General Zone indirectly through pressure-reducing valves separating the High and General Zones.

3.2.1.1 Atlas Well

The Atlas Well has a design flow of 4,000 gallons per minute (gpm); however, during peak periods, this well produces flows of close to 4,150 gpm. This 600 hp well is used during the summer months when demand is at a peak and the well is needed on a continuous basis. The Atlas Well is controlled by the water level in the Industrial Park Standpipe.

The Atlas well is currently configured to supply water to either the high or the General Zones manually. City staff is currently working on implementing programming to allow the switch between supplying the General or High Zone to happen via the City's SCADA. Programming anticipated to be completed in 2023.

3.2.1.2 Hanley Well

The Hanley Well was constructed in 1991 and at the time was the only well on the High Pressure Zone. This pump's rated capacity is 3,000 gpm, with peaks of up to 3,600 gpm during the maximum day demand conditions. The Hanley Well is controlled by the Prairie Standpipe.

In the 1990s, water quality sampling of this well detected low concentrations of a regulated compound-trichloroethylene (TCE). Although the exact source of TCE contamination is unknown, some potential sources have been identified and are in the process of being remediated. Samples are pulled every month for water quality to verify that the average TCE concentration over four successive quarters is below the maximum contaminant level of 5 μ g/l. Although individual samples have exceeded 5 μ g/l, the average TCE level over four successive quarters has not. The Water Department continues to use this well since TCE levels appear to be declining and do not exceed the MCL over four successive quarters.

The Hanley Well has also exhibited arsenic levels above the MCL of 10 μ g/l. Water quality sampling has found arsenic levels of 1.7 μ g/l to 28.10 μ g/l. To meet requirements of the Safe Drinking Water Act per a written agreement between the City and IDEQ from July 2008, the City currently blends the Hanley Well water with lower arsenic water and limits operation (last on first off) to the summer months, significantly reducing annual exposure to arsenic.

3.2.1.3 Honeysuckle Well

The Honeysuckle Well was constructed in 1996 and has a current capacity of 2,000 gpm. The well capacity is limited due to its proximity to the aquifer boundary. This well is currently utilized by the Department as the first pump on and the last pump off. It is called to run based on the water level in the Prairie Standpipe.

3.2.1.4 Landings Well

The Landings Well was drilled in 2004 and was test pumped at a flow rate of 3,500 gpm with negligible drawdown. The well currently operates at 3,450 gpm and 512 feet TDH, with approximately 10 feet of measurable drawdown. The well is controlled based on the water level in the Industrial and Prairie Standpipe.

3.2.1.5 Prairie Well

The Prairie Well was completed in 1999. The operational conditions for the Prairie Well are 3,500 gpm and 450 feet TDH. This well has a 500 hp motor and is controlled based on the level in the Prairie Standpipe.

3.2.1.6 Clayton Well

The City owns an additional well in the High Zone, the Clayton Well. The Clayton Well has historically had elevated arsenic levels that were originally detected at the well test pump after drilling. This well site was not completed based on the arsenic levels and does not have a wellhouse or standard, large horsepower pump. The Kootenai Fairgrounds currently uses this well for irrigation using a low capacity submersible pump. It is recommended that the City continue to regularly monitor the arsenic level, as it appears to be decreasing over time.

3.2.1.7 Ralph Capaul Well

The Ralph Capaul Well was drilled in 2014 and is one of the City's largest producing wells. The pump rated capacity is 4,000 gpm at 461 feet of TDH. Under peak demands, the well is known to produce 4,300 gpm. The well is controlled based on the water level in the Prairie Standpipe.

3.2.1.8 Huetter Well

The Huetter Well is the City's newest and one of the largest producing wells, drilled in 2021. The well is rated for 4,000 gpm at 452 feet of TDH. The well currently operates under peak conditions at 4,200 gpm. The well is controlled based on the water level in the Prairie and Industrial Park Standpipe. Due to the wells close proximity to the Industrial Park Tank, an altitude valve was installed at the base of the Industrial Park tank to help balance pressures. Current operations of the altitude valve allow the Industrial tank to fill first. Once full the valve closes diverting water to the Prairie Standpipe.

3.2.2 General Zone Wells

The following four supply wells are in the General Zone:

- 1. Annie Well
- 2. 4th Street Well
- 3. Linden Well
- 4. Locust Well

All four wells are controlled based on the level of the Tubbs Hill Reservoir.

3.2.2.1 Annie Well

The Annie Well was drilled in 2004 and originally test pumped at 2,500 gpm, with 93 feet of drawdown. Additional well development improved production to 2,500 gpm at 12 feet of drawdown. Since its original construction, the well has been re-developed using hydropulsing to improve production. The Annie Well's production zone includes relatively fine material that has produced

elevated arsenic levels, ranging from 1.5 to 10.8 μ g/l. The average arsenic level for the well is less than the MCL of 10 ppb. Operation of this well is based on a 2008 written agreement with IDEQ and is operated as first on last off, similar to the Hanley Well.

3.2.2.2 4th Street Well

The 4th Street Well was originally hand dug and wood-lined. This well is currently designed to provide 3,000 gpm of flow at 360 feet of head. Under peak demand conditions, the well produces 3,600 gpm.

Because this well historically has produced some sand, a series of pressure tanks are installed on the discharge that serve as sand traps. This well was also re-developed using Johnson well screens to help eliminate the sand problem.

The original design for this well utilized a 2,200 gpm well pump. The total capacity was increased to 3,000 gpm when the pump was replaced in 2007. This well has historically had problems at high flows rates when the well began to pull air into the well, creating taste and odor problems. This air entrainment was partially caused by cascading upper aquifer. A packer was installed to block out the upper aquifer in 1993 to help with the entrainment and taste and odor problems. The packer is no longer in use, and air entrainment, taste, and odor do not appear to be problems.

3.2.2.3 Linden Well

The current Linden Well pump was installed since the previous Comprehensive plan update and has a design capacity of 3,000 gpm. The current pump in the Linden Well provides 3,200 gallons per minute at 310 feet of head. There are no reported issues with this well.

3.2.2.4 Locust Well

The Locust Well currently has a capacity of 2,800 gpm at 337 feet of discharge head. Originally hand-dug in 1955, the well was expanded in 1968 and most recently in 1990. This well has been one of the system's most reliable producers.

3.2.3 Existing Water Rights

The City currently has a total of 81.0 cfs in adujicated groundwater rights and 16.78 cfs in claimed surface water rights. A summary of the rights and their priority dates is included in **Table 3-2**.

Right Number	Priority	Amount (cfs)	Source	Nature of Use	Period of Use
95-2111	04/20/1955	3.00	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-2133	07/21/1960	2.27	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-2164	10/03/1964	3.61	Ground Water	Municipal	3/15 to 11/15
95-2198	12/13/1966	5.12	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-4322	10/31/1921	13.04	Surface Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-7142	05/03/1971	2.45	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-7181	03/14/1972	5.73	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-8565	12/07/1987	7.55	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-8647	03/19/1990	7.30	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-8672	08/27/1990	3.00	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-8938	02/08/1996	4.57	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-9007	01/25/1999	7.80	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-16580	5/14/2013	9.00	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-17815	5/5/2019	9.00	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
Municipa	al Sub Total	70.4	Ground Water O	nly	
95-2131		8.0		Irrigation	
95-8262	2/14/1983	3.31	Surface Water	Fire Protection/Industrial	1/1 to 12/31
95-8716	9/11/1991	.03	Surface Water	Irrigation	04 /01 to 11/01
95-7216	10/19/1972	0.4	Surface Water	Irrigation	3/15 to 11/15
95-7096	3/10/1970	2.60	Ground Water	Irrigation	4/15 to 10/15
Other S	Sub Total	10.6	Ground Water O	nly	
TO	ral ^(a)	81.0	Ground Water O	nly	

Table 3-2 – Summary of Water Rights

(a) The City's rights have been consolidated as municipal water rights and apply to the system as a whole.

3.3 Existing Storage

The purpose of water storage in the City is to provide for flow equalization and stabilization of pressures throughout the course of the day as well as to store water for fire flow demands. Tanks also provide emergency storage to alleviate water shortages during water supply interruptions due to mechanical or electrical problems with the existing wells.

Six tanks provide storage for the City of Coeur d'Alene. The total storage capacity of these tanks is 9.2 million gallons. However, because the Prairie and Industrial Park standpipes each have approximately 1.0 mg of storage that is too low to provide adequate pressure, the actual usable storage is 7.1 MG. **Table 3-3** presents characteristics of each of the storage tanks.

				Operating	g Characterist	ics
	Storage Tank	Capacity (MG)	Overflow Elevation (MSL)	Height (feet)	Pressure Zone	Type of Tank
1	Best Hill	2.0	2,355.35	31.85	General	Ground Level (steel)
2	Tubbs Hill	2.0	2,355.35	24	General	Ground Level (concrete)
		1.0	2,355.35	24	General	Ground Level (steel)
3	Prairie Standpipe	2.0 ^(c)	2,430.5	156.5	High	Standpipe (steel)
4	Industrial Standpipe	2.0 ^(c)	2,430.50	160	High	Standpipe (steel)
5	Stanley Hill	0.2	2,540.22	31	Stanley	Ground Level (steel)
6	Blackwell Hill	0.012	2,400 (a)	10	Blackwell	Ground Level (concrete)
7	Armstrong Park	0.16	2,882 ^(a)	32	Armstrong Park	Ground Level (steel)
	Total	9.2 ^(b)				

Table 3-3- -Summary of Existing Storage

(a) Approximate elevation

(b) Total does not include capacity of Blackwell Hill or Armstrong Park.

(c) 1.0 MG of Operating Storage and 1.0 MG of Dead Storage

Table 3-4a- -Summary of Storage Components (Existing Conditions)

		Finished	-	Components of Effective Storage ^(a)				
	Zone	Water Storage (MG)	Dead Storage (MG)	Equalization Storage (MG)	Fire Flow Storage (MG)	Reserve/Operational Storage (MG) ^(d)		
1	High Zone	4.0 (c)	2.0	2.61	0.63	0.32		
2	General Zone	5.0	0.0	1.93	0.63	0.26		
	City Total	9.0 ^(b)	2.0	4.54	1.26	0.58		

(a) Standby storage not required. City meets criteria to provide eight hours of operation at average day demand with standby power.

(b) Total does not include storage in the boosted zones.

(c) Additional storage planned for High Zone in 2025/2026.

(d) 10% of EQ plus FF storage. Exceeds IDAPA 58.01.08.003.04.

3.3.1 Best Hill Tank

The Best Hill Tank is located in the General Zone on the eastern side of the City and is connected via a 16-inch pipe to the water main in Fifteenth Street. This tank was constructed in 1971 and has a nominal capacity of 2 million gallons.

The Best Hill Tank water levels historically did not track with the levels in the Tubbs Hill Tanks. Since the Best Hill and Tubbs Hill Tanks are on the same pressure zone, they should "float" at approximately the same level. However, the Best Hill Tank consistently has higher water surface elevation than that of the Tubbs Hill Tanks during peak demands. Prior to 2017/2018, the Best Hill Tank was only dropping 6 feet of water elevation when the Tubbs Hill Tank drops 10 feet. The primary concern with the slow tank draining is the potential for water stagnation leading to poor water quality.

In 2017/2018 the City Water Department constructed a Booster Pump Station at the base of the Best Hill Tank. The BPS is operated to pull water from the Best Hill Tank during peak demands. This increases supply into the General Zone and cycles the tank more regularly, eliminating water quality concerns.

3.3.2 Tubbs Hill Storage

The Tubbs Hill storage system is in the General Zone and consists of one 2.0 MG concrete tank and one 1.0 MG welded steel tank. The tanks are located on Tubbs Hill in the southern portion of town adjacent to the central business district. They supply most of the storage for the General Pressure Zone.

The concrete tank is a post-tensioned pre-cast concrete tank and was constructed in 2004. The tank levels in this tank are used as the basis for control in the General Zone.

The second Tubbs Hill Tank is a 1 MG steel tank on a concrete foundation constructed in 1948. This tank had a sizeable leak in the base, estimated at approximately 10 to 15 gpm, between the concrete base and tank walls where the existing caulking has failed. The City cut and patched the bottom portion of the tank to weld a steel base to the bottom of the tank in 2004, eliminating the leakage.

3.3.3 Prairie Standpipe

The Prairie Standpipe is a 2 MG, 160-foot-tall steel standpipe located in the High Zone. This standpipe was constructed in 1992 and primarily supplies water to the High Zone but can provide the General Pressure Zone through the system PRVs. The tank is located at the northern boundary of the City. The tank is in excellent condition and has had no reported problems since being placed online.

A pressure transducer located at the base of this tank controls pump starts in the High-Pressure Zone for the Honeysuckle, Hanley, Prairie Wells, Landings, Ralph Capaul and Huetter Wells.

Recent upgrades include recoating of the tank and the addition of emergency back-up power, with the ability to run both the controls and communications through the generator. Adjacent to the Prairie Standpipe is the Prairie well, which recently underwent power supply upgrades, including the installation of a soft start.

3.3.4 Industrial Standpipe

The Industrial Standpipe is 160 feet tall and holds 2 million gallons. It is a steel standpipe located on the High Pressure Zone. This standpipe was constructed in 1999 and supplies water to the High Zone, which can supply the General Pressure Zone via pressure-reducing valves. The tank is located at the northwest boundary of the City. The tank is in excellent condition and has had no reported problems since being placed online.

A pressure transducer located at the base of this tank controls pump starts in the High Pressure Zone. The level in this standpipe is the control variable that calls the Atlas Well and Huetter Well to run.

Due to the close proximity of the Huetter Well, an altitude valve was installed at the base of the tank. This valve allows the Industrial Tank to fill first. Once full, the valve closes and diverts water to the Prairie tank.

Recent improvements to the Industrial Tank site include the addition of backup power, including a generator and an automatic transfer switch.

3.3.5 Stanley Hill Tank

The Stanley Hill Tank is a 200,000-gallon steel tank that serves the Stanley Hill High Pressure Zone. This tank is located east of the Best Hill Tank. There have been no reported problems with operation of this tank.

Access to the tank is difficult. The current approach is through a local farm under agreement with the landowner. The City has no formal easement allowing access to the tank except for the pipeline easement that is impassible by vehicles.

The City has no immediate plans to improve access to the site or secure an easement with the property Owner. If the property were to sell, the City may look into formalizing an easement.

3.3.6 Blackwell Hill Tank

The Blackwell Hill Tank is a 12,000-gallon tank located at the top of Blackwell Hill. The tank is rectangular in shape and constructed of cast-in-place concrete. The tank is fed by the Blackwell Booster Station at the bottom of the hill and an intermediate in-line booster, which is currently

offline. The Blackwell Hill Tank contains a small booster station that feeds the residents at the top of the system.

Recent upgrades to the tank include the addition of a generator and telemetry, run off cellular communications. Filling of the tank is accomplished through use of a mechanical float valve that opens to allow water to fill the tank and closes when the tank is full. This tank is used as working volume for the residents at the top of the hill and is not available for use by any of the residents below the tank.

3.3.7 Nettleton Gulch Tank

The Nettleton Gulch Tank is an 18,000-gallon tank, which is currently disconnected from the system. It was removed from service when the Best Hill Tank was constructed and is not in a usable condition. The City is in the process of releasing the easement for the transmission main and tank site.

3.4 Booster Pump Stations

The City system currently has five booster pump stations. **Table 3-5** summarizes these existing booster stations and their capacities.

		Operating Characteristics							
Booster Station		Suction Pressure Zone	Discharge Pressure Zone	Pump No.	HP	Capacity (gpm)	TDH ^(a) (ft)	Notes	
				1	20	200	230		
1	Elm Street	General	Stanley	2	50	500	230		
				3	20	200	230		
	Blackwell Hill -			1	20	90			
2	Lower	Gonoral	Blackwell	2	20	120			
2		General		3	20	120			
	Blackwell Hill - Upper			1&2	3	53.3			
				1	1.5	30	158		
3	Tubbs Hill	General	Tubbs Hill	2	1.5	30	158		
				3	1.5	30	158		
1	Armstrong Park	Gonoral	Armstrong Park	1	50	220	560		
4	Amoung rain	General	Amouolig raik	2	50	220	560		
5	Best Hill	General (Best Hill Tank)	General	1	50	2,000	60		

Table 3-5- Summary of Existing Booster Pump Stations

(a) Total dynamic head based on nameplate and original pump curve information.

3.4.1 Elm Street Booster Station

The Elm Street Booster Pump Station consists of two 20 hp, 200 gpm centrifugal booster pump and one 50 hp, 500 gpm centrifugal booster pump manufactured by Byron Jackson. This booster pump station is controlled by levels in the Stanley Hill Tank and serves the Stanley Hill High Pressure Zone. During peak demands, the 20 hp and 50 hp pumps are called to run simultaneously. The design points on the 20 hp and 50 hp pumps have significantly different discharge heads. The 200 gpm pumps have a design head of 230 feet while the 500-gpm pump has a design head of 280 feet. Based on existing SCADA data, the 200 gpm boosters are operating near their shutoff head and discharging very low flows while the 500-gpm booster is operating. Running the 200-gpm booster close to its shutoff head creates premature pump wear and is inefficient. All pumps have been switched to VFD's from soft starts to help decrease pump wear. A generator has been added to the site for emergency standby power.

3.4.2 Blackwell Hill Booster System

The Blackwell Hill system was obtained from the Hayden Pines Water Company in 1993 and consists of three booster stations. The lower station has one 90 gpm booster pump and two 120 gpm booster pumps. The middle station has a single pump that pumps water to the storage tank at the top of Blackwell Hill, but is currently offline. The upper station has two pumps used to fill six pressure tanks to maintain pressure for the five users. Back-up power has been added to both the lower and upper booster stations.

To maintain pressures, the Water Department operates the smaller pump at the lower booster pump station continuously. During periods of low demand, the pump recirculates water to avoid over pressuring the system. Although not efficient, this has reduced the number of customer complaints.

This system has no usable storage, so the booster pumps are vital for providing water to this system; however, the power supply at the station is adequate to run both larger pumps at one time to provide the required demand. Currently, the boosters are operated solely on system pressure.

The City is currently working on the design for a new booster station.

3.4.3 Tubbs Hill Booster Station

The Tubbs Hill Booster Station was replaced in 2004 and includes three 1.5 hp, 30 gpm pumps. The TDH of the pumps at 30 gpm is 158 feet. This station provides service to seven connections located above the service elevations of the Tubbs Hill Tanks.

3.4.4 Armstrong Park Booster Station

In 2008, the City replaced the below-grade Armstrong Park Booster Station with a more accessible at-grade facility. The station currently has three 50 hp pumps that pump 220 gpm each. VFD's have been added to each pump to help prevent water hammer. The flow from the pumps is fed directly to a 160,000-gallon storage tank using dedicated transmission main. The pump suction pressure at the station is very low (<20 psi) due to elevation and suction pipe size (6 inches). Provisions for

boosting chlorine residual are included in the station but are not currently used. Back-up power and fail safes for temperature and pressure have been recently added to the station.

3.4.5 Best Hill Booster Station

In 2017/2018, the City installed a Pentair -Aurora, Vertical Slit Case Pump at the base of the Best Hill Tank to improve cycling of the Best Hill Tank during peak periods, providing additional capacity to the General Zone and increasing tank turnover. The station currently contains one 50 hp pump, that discharges to the General Zone 2,000 gpm at 60 feet of head. During times of low system demand, the reservoir will fill from the General Zone via a solenoid controlled hydraulic control valve.

3.5 Existing Distribution System

3.5.1 Pressure Zones

The distribution system, as shown in **Figure 3 1**, consists of six pressure zones. Because of the varied terrain, six zones are needed to maintain working pressures between 50 and 80 psi. The pressure zones are serviced by a combination of wells, water tanks, booster stations, and pressure reducing valves (PRVs). **Figure 3 2** shows the six existing zones. The two largest pressure zones (the High Zone and the General Zone) contain all of the City wells. Four of the existing booster zones (Stanley Hill, Armstrong Park, Blackwell Hill, and Tubbs Hill) are all supplied by booster pump stations fed from the General Zone. The fifth booster pump station, Best Hill, provides supplemental water to the General Zone during peak demands, by pulling from the Best Hill Tank.

The High Pressure Zone is able to provide water to the General Zone via PRV and PSVs to control downstream pressure. The identified connections between those zones are summarized in **Table 3 5**:

Туре	Location	Size (Inch)
PRV/PSV	Blackwell Hill	2
PRV/PSV	12 th and Crawford	8
PRV	Atlantic Drive	8
PRV	Atlas and Appaloosa	10
PRV	Huetter Road North of Seltice	8
PRV/PSV	15 th and Lunceford	10
PRV/PSV	Lee Court and Appleway	8

Table 3-6 – Pressure Reducing Valves

Figure 3-2 – Existing Zone Boundaries



3.5.2 Pipe Network

The City owns and maintains approximately 321 miles of water distribution pipe and transmission mains. Pipe sizes and materials range greatly from 1-inch distribution pipe to 24-inch transmission mains. Transmission mains include 16-inch to 24-inch ductile iron and PVC pipes that route water from wells and storage reservoirs into the distribution system. The distribution system is generally comprised of 10-inch to 12-inch pipes installed in a ½ mile grid, with smaller 8-inch and 6-inch lines providing service to patrons. Pipes smaller than 6 inches remain in service within the system; however, City policy now requires replacement to a minimum of 8-inch PVC. Less than 4.5 percent of the total system piping is smaller than 6 inches, and 2.7 percent of the total is 4 inches. A summary of these sizes and materials within the system is provided in **Table 3 6**. Typically, different pipe materials can be dated back to specific periods depending on available material and the City's preferences at the time.

Motorial	Pipe Length Diameter									Tetel	
wateria	< 6"	6"	8"	10"	12"	14"	16"	18"	20"	24"	TOLAI
	(miles)	(miles)	(miles)	(miles)	(miles)	(miles)	(miles)	(miles)	(miles)	(miles)	(miles)
AC	5.1	49.9	24.6	2.2	19.5	0.6	1.1				103.0
Ductile	0.2	1.5	0.2		1.3	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.5	3.3	7.5
Galvanized	2.5										2.5
PVC	3.5	30.9	106.7	4.8	50.4		3.2	0.8			200.2
Steel	1.6	1.9	0.3		0.2						4.0
Other	0.6	0.2									0.8
Total	13.54	84.4	112.3	7.0	71.3	0.8	4.4	1.0	0.5	3.3	321.0

Table 3-7 – Pipe Summary (a)

(a) Pipe material, length, and sizes were generated from the City's GIS water system database as of February 2023.

4 WATER SUPPLY EVALUATION

4.1 Introduction

This chapter addresses the system's ability to match demand requirements with supply. Generally, the system must be able to supply enough water to meet the maximum daily demand (MDD). The supply to each by zone must also meet the MDD with its maximum pumping capacity, or the largest source out of operation, for that zone. This Comprehensive Plan Update defines the worst case as the Huetter Well out of service because it is the largest single source of supply and it serves both the High and General Zones.

4.2 Existing Supply Requirements

The existing water system must be able to supply enough water to meet the current system-wide MDD of 42.8 mgd (29,700 gpm). The total pumping capacity with all wells on line is 53.25 mgd (36,980 gpm). Evaluating the system under the worst case (as defined above) with the Huetter Well out of operation results in a current firm pumping capacity of 47.06 mgd (32,680 gpm). Therefore, the existing City-wide water supply meets the current MDD.

The existing supply capacity is summarized in **Table 4 1** for comparison with the existing MDD for both the High and the General Zones.

	Maximum Day Demand	Current Supply Capacity	Reliable Capacity Largest Pump Off Line ^(b)
City Total	42.8 mgd (29,700 gpm) (a)	53.25 mgd (36,980 gpm)	47.06 mgd (32,680 gpm)
High Zone	25.67 mgd (17,820 gpm)	36.29 mgd (25,200 gpm)	30.1 mgd (20,900 gpm)
General Zone	15.76 mgd (10,950 gpm)	23.16 mgd (16,080 gpm)	16.96 mgd (11,780 gpm)

Table 4-1- Existing Supply Requirements

(a) Maximum Day Demand based on Summer 2021.

(b) Huetter Well is assumed off line for both the High and General Zones.

All of the City supply is located in the High and General Pressure Zones. The High Zone can be fed only from wells in the High Zone and has a current supply capacity of 36.29 mgd (25,200 gpm). The General Zone has an available supply of 23.16 mgd (16,080 gpm) and can be fed from wells located in either zone. This analysis assumes that the two zones are independent; however, the ability to feed from the High Zone to the General Zone does provide some system flexibility. The three existing boosted zones (Blackwell Hill, Stanley Hill, and Armstrong Park) as well as the future Fernan Hill Zone are all fed from the General Zone. The complete discussion on the future requirements for these zones is included in **Chapter 6**. The supply available in the High Zone and the General Zone is adequate to meet the current MDD requirements; however, as the service population increases, additional supply will be necessary.

4.3 Future Supply Requirements

Water system growth will require additional supply sources as development occurs. The year-overyear growth rate assumption approximates a build-out supply requirement of 62.2 mgd (43,200 gpm) MDD occurring at approximately 2040. **Table 4-2** summarizes projected supply requirements for 2023, 2028, 2033, and build-out of the system as detailed in previous chapters. Based on current projections and planning documents, build-out of the system is estimated to occur in 2040. However, actual system demands should be used to program future upgrades at the times they are required.

Year	Average Day Demand (mgd)	Average Day Demand (gpm)	Maximum Day Demand (mgd)	Maximum Day Demand (gpm)
2023	14.11	9,800	42.77	29,700
2028	16.0	11,110	48.5	33,680
2033	18.1	12,570	54.9	38,125
Build-Out 2040	20.5	14,240	62.2	43,200

Table 4-2 - Future Supply Requirements for Planning Period

As shown, the projected maximum day demand for the planning boundary is 62.2 mgd. As a minimum, this firm supply capacity must be met. In addition, supply can help meet peak demands, reducing the total amount of storage required. Balancing supply and storage to meet both minimum storage criteria and peak system demands results in a total recommended firm supply of 62.2 mgd.

Five additional sources of supply are required to meet the increased demand and reliability criteria. Supply from three additional wells are required in the High Zone as well as two new wells in the General Zone to meet this demand. Each future well source is assumed to have a capacity of 4,000 gallons per minute in the High Zone and 2,000 gallons per minute in the General Zone. **Figure 4 1** and **Figure 4 2** provide a visual comparison of water system demand and firm capacity for the High and General Zones respectively. These figures also demonstrate the relationship between supply and storage. The triggers for adding supply to the system occur when the firm capacity nears the MDD.

Table 4-3 shows the approximate years in which a new well source should be added to the City's supply system.



Figure 4-1- Storage and Capacity Requirements-High Zone



Figure 4-2- Storage and Capacity Requirements-General Zone

Need	Additional Supply (gpm)	Estimated Year ^(a)	Maximum Daily Demand Trigger
High Zone Supply	4,000	2025 ^(b)	18,700 gpm in High Zone
General Zone Supply	2,000	2030	12,400 gpm in General Zone
High Zone Supply	4,000	2035	24,900 gpm in High Zone
General Zone Supply	2,000	2036	13,800 gpm in General Zone
High Zone Supply	4,000	2040	27,300 gpm in High Zone

Table 4-3- Future Supply Trigger Flow Requirements

(a) Year is approximate based on projected growth rate.

(b) City is actively working towards the procurement of a well site.

Although **Figure 4-3** gives estimated years for increasing water supply, new well sources should be added to the system before listed MDD conditions occur. The table provides trigger flows for each zone when additional supply is required. If the MDD increases at a rate that is greater than expected, an additional well should be on line when the demand reaches the limits listed in **Figure 4-3**, regardless of the year.

4.4 Boosted Pressure Zones Supply Requirements

4.4.1 Stanley Hill Zone

Elm Street Booster Station

The Stanley Hill Zone is supplied by the Elm Street Booster Station and includes the Stanley Hill area in addition to the Fernan area. The long-term plan for the City is to improve pressures in the higher elevations in Fernan Hill by dividing these areas into two separate pressure zones. A detailed analysis for the division of this zone was completed during the 2012 Comprehensive Plan update and is located in **Appendix D**. For the current comprehensive plan update, the demands for the zones were evaluated using current water meter data. **Appendix D** was not updated, as the premise of spitting the zones remained the same. The Stanley Hill Zone has a current MDD of 687 gpm and a build-out MDD of 700 gpm.

The firm capacity of the existing Elm Street Station (400 gpm) is less than the MDD, making the station vulnerable to supply problems. **Table 4-4** summarizes the anticipated demands for this zone.

Year	ADD (MGD)	MDD (MGD)	Required Firm System Capacity (MGD)
2023	0.30	0.99	1.15
2028	0.35	1.15	1.15
2033 ^(a)	0.22	0.72	1.15
Build-Out 2040 ^(a)	0.30	1.00	1.15

Table 4-4 - Elm Street Booster Station Demands

(a) Assumes Fernan Hill Zone served by new Fernan Pump Station

The supply and storage analysis is presented on Figure 4-3.





4.4.2 Fernan Hill Zone

The Fernan Hill Zone is currently part of the Stanley Hill Zone and is supplied by the Elm Street Booster Station. The higher elevations in this area currently have very low system pressures. Splitting these two zones will allow the City to increase pressures in this zone. Low elevation areas will be supplied by the General Zone, and the elevated areas will be divided along French Gulch Road (see **Appendix D** for more detail). **Table 4-5** summarizes the anticipated demands for this zone.

Year	ADD (MGD)	MDD (MGD)	Required Firm System Capacity (MGD)
2023			
2028			
2033	0.20	0.64	0.72
Build-Out 2040	0.27	0.9	1.10

Table 4-5- Fernan Hill Booster Station

The balance of the supply and storage for the zone is demonstrated on Figure 4-4.





4.4.3 Armstrong Park Zone

The existing Armstrong Park Station has three 220 gpm pumps. The existing maximum day demand for this zone is 180 gpm. When this demand exceeds 440 gpm, an additional 220 gpm of capacity will need to be added. A summary of the anticipated demands for this zone is included in **Table 4-6**.

Year	ADD (MGD)	MDD (MGD)	Required Firm System Capacity (MGD)
2023	0.08	0.26	0.63
2028	0.12	0.40	0.63
2033	0.17	0.57	0.63
Build-Out 2040	0.25	0.84	0.95

Table 4-6- Armstrong Park Booster Station

The supply and storage analysis is demonstrated on **Figure 4-5**. The storage requirements will be discussed further in **Chapter 5**.





4.4.4 Blackwell Hill Zone

The current maximum day demand for the Blackwell Hill service area is 70 gpm and the firm capacity of the lower station is 210 gpm. This zone lies outside of the City's area of impact and is not anticipated to be developed. Growth for this zone was estimated at 2.5%, to provide a factor of safety for the City and to account for the potential of changes in water use characteristics. The build-out maximum day demand for this zone is 475 gpm, which is anticipated to be met following planned booster station upgrades. A summary of the anticipated demands for this zone is included in **Table 4-7**.

Year	ADD (MGD)	MDD (MGD)	Firm System Capacity (MGD)
2023	0.03	0.10	0.48
2028(1)	0.08	0.25	1.19
2033	0.14	0.41	1.19
Build-Out 2040	0.22	0.68	1.19

Table 4-7- Blackwell Hill Booster Station

¹*Planned Booster Station upgrades anticipated to increase firm capacity.*

Balancing the storage and supply will be critical for providing adequate emergency supply and fire storage. This balance is shown on **Figure 4-6**.



Figure 4-6- Storage and Capacity Requirements-Blackwell Hill Zone

4.4.5 Best Hill

In 2017/2018 the City Water Department constructed a Booster Pump Station at the base of the Best Hill Tank. The BPS is operated to pull 2,000 gpm of water from the Best Hill Tank during peak demands. During times of low system demands, the reservoir will fill from the General Zone via a solenoid controlled hydraulic control valve. This increased supply into the General Zone and cycles the tank more regularly, eliminating water quality concerns.

4.5 Water Supply Recommendations by Pressure Zone

4.5.1 Existing Wells

The existing eleven wells have been constructed over the life of the water system and while they are in good condition for the most part, there are a number of improvement and maintenance projects that will be required. A summary for each zone is included below.

High Pressure Zone

Three additional wells, each at 4,000 gpm, are anticipated to meet growing demands in the high pressure zone. The City is actively working on siting one of these wells on the western boundary of the City. Construction for this well is anticipated to begin in 2025.

The City's existing high pressure zone wells are in good condition, needing no major upgrades in this planning period. Regular maintenance is recommended. It is recommended to continue monitoring the arsenic levels in the Hanley well with MCL regulations and continue coordinating with IDEQ on blending requirements.

General Pressure Zone

A number of the wells in the General Pressure Zone are on smaller lots in highly developed areas. Additionally, several wells discharge their pump-to-waste directly to the City's Stormwater system. The City would like to procure additional property at the 4th Street and Locust sites because of the small lot sizes and to increase well protection zone. The building at 4th Street will also need to be replaced within the next fifteen years, which would ideally be staged with obtaining additional property.

The boosted zones are all supplied from the General Zone. It has been assumed that the booster stations will be designed to supply the MDD and the peak hour demand will be supplied by the storage.

Stanley Hill Pressure Zone

It is recommended that the Stanley Hill and Fernan Zones are split into two zones to better serve these areas. A detailed analysis for the division of this zone was completed during the 2012 Comprehensive Plan update and is located in **Appendix D.** For the current comprehensive plan update, the demands for the zones were evaluated using current water meter data. **Appendix D** was

not updated, as the premise of spitting the zones remained the same. This improvement will require modifications to the Elm Street Booster Station to supply the two separate zones. A detailed discussion of this analysis is included in **Appendix D**. The demand for the Stanley Hill Zone is anticipated to go from a current day demand of 687 gpm to a future demand of 700 gpm after splitting the two zones. The firm capacity of the pump station will need to meet the 700 gpm demand. Additional boosters will be required to serve development that occurs in the higher elevations of this planned service area.

Fernan Hill Pressure Zone

The Fernan Booster Station will be located on the same property as the Elm Street Station. Build-out MDD for this zone is expected to be 650 gpm. A triplex station is the recommended layout. Specific options for the station configuration are included in **Appendix D**. This zone will require an additional booster pump station near the future fernan tank in order to provide higher elevation properties.

Armstrong Park Pressure Zone

The current demand for this zone is 180 gpm with a firm capacity of 440 gpm. When the demands near 400 gpm, it is recommended that an additional 220 gpm of capacity be added. These improvements would require significant building upgrades and improvements to the suction line. These improvements would provide a firm capacity of 660 gpm, which will supply the planned service area demand of 580 gpm and would be driven by growth.

Blackwell Hill Pressure Zone

Blackwell Hill has some significant areas within the planning boundary that can be developed over a range of elevations. However, this zone lies outside of the City's area of impact and is not anticipated to see growth. The current demands of 45 gpm are met by the pumps. A planned upgrade to the booster station will increase firm capacity for the existing service area.

If build-out were to occur, the zone would require several booster stations or PRVs to service this area. In September of 2012, J-U-B completed a technical memorandum providing detailed discussion on future expansion of this area which, is included in **Appendix E**. Replacement of the station will be required to meet future demands. Additional booster stations will be required to serve upper elevations of this zone.

Best Hill

Construction of the Best Hill Booster station was completed in 2017/2018. No additional upgrades are anticipated during the planning period.

4.5.2 New Wells

Water supply recommendations include installing one new well in 2025 to meet demand and storage requirements. The well should be installed in the High Zone to meet the system demands.

Placement of future wells will need to take place on the western edge of the City where the aquifer is productive and water quality is best. Building and improving the pipe network to supply the water from the western side of town to the expected areas of growth in the areas east and south of the City will be critical in providing adequate pressures and flow. **Figure 4-7** shows proposed locations of the new wells. A total of five new wells will be required to serve the system's projected build-out (two within the next ten years). Verification of site requirements with IDEQ should be completed prior to final selection of future well sites.

Figure 4-7- Supply and Storage





4.6 Proposed Well Locations

There are five supply recommendations for the City, anticipated at 4,000 gpm each for the High Zone and 2,000 gpm each for the General Zone. The City is actively working on securing a well site along the western edge of the service are, south of the Industrial Tank, as shown on **Figure 4-7**. The well project (S-1) is scheduled to begin construction in 2025. This well will supply water to the High Zone.

The second supply upgrade recommendation is Project S-2 and is a 2,000 gpm well. The recommended location for this well is near the existing Fourth Street Well. This well will supply water to the General Zone.

The third supply upgrade recommendation is Project S-3 and is a 4,000 gpm well. The recommended location for this well is on the north end of the boundary, near the Coeur d'Alene Place Development. This well will supply water to the High Zone.

The fourth project, S-4, will supply water to the High Zone. The recommended location for this well is near the Kootenai County Fair Grounds. This location would help supply the north east quadrant of the system, and would be well connected to the General Zone through a PRVs immediately south.

The fifth supply upgrade recommendation is Project S-13 and is a 2,000 gpm well. The recommended location for this well is near the north edge of the General Zone, south of I90. This well will supply water to the General Zone.

4.7 Ultimate Demand and Water Rights

The projected MDD for the City at build-out is 62.2 mgd (43,200 gpm), as shown in **Figure 4-2**. The immediate demand requirement at that time must actually exceed 112.0 mgd (77,800 gpm) in order to meet peak hour demands. This capacity is achievable by adding three 4,000 gpm wells and two 2,000 gpm wells to the current firm supply. Criteria dictate that new sources are installed prior to the MDD reaching the City's firm supply (i.e., total supply with the largest source out of service). These criteria will also limit the equalization storage requirements and take advantage of more cost-effective aquifer storage.

Based on existing total water rights of 81.0 cfs (52.35 mgd), the City will need a minimum additional instantaneous water right of 92.2 cfs (59.66 mgd), for a total of 112 cfs (72.4 mgd).

Additional rights will be required to meet the projected reliable water supply requirement of 112 cfs (72.4 mgd). Those rights should be obtained through rights transfers when land with existing rights is annexed into the City and through new water rights applications. New rights should be sought under Idaho Department of Water Resources Reasonably Anticipated Future Needs (RAFN) procedures using this Comprehensive Plan as a basis for the filing. That application should be made in the near future.

Issues related to long-term aquifer capacity are beyond the planning period and beyond the scope of this study. However, aquifer management is inextricably tied to long-range water system planning and operations for all the regional communities relying on the Rathdrum Prairie Aquifer. The City has long been active in aquifer management through membership on IDWR's Groundwater Management Plan Technical Advisory Committee, Kootenai County's Aquifer Protection District, and IWAC (Idaho Washington Aquifer Collaborative). Continued proactive participation with other water systems, IDEQ, IDWR, and other local municipalities, including Spokane, should help protect the City's rights to adequate water supply.

4.8 Telemetry

The current SCADA system appears to be adequate for well and reservoir control and alarm initiation, and was recently replaced with a program that is maintained locally. The SCADA should continue to monitor trend lines of pumping and reservoir data to aid staff in troubleshooting and system optimization as well as when to plan specific system improvements. Modifications to the SCADA system to allow data to be stored for a minimum of five years will help the City monitor long term pumping and storage trends.

4.9 Power Supply and Reliability

Avista Utilities and Kootenai Electric Cooperative provide power to the City's wells. The Hanley Well is currently served by Kootenai Electric Cooperative while Avista provides power to the remaining wells. The Prairie, Atlas, Ralph Capaul, Huetter, Linden and Annie wells contains standby power generation in the case of power outages.

4.10 Water Conservation

The Spokane Valley-Rathdrum Prairie Aquifer is the largest source of drinking water within our hydrologic area. The City has been implementing conservation methods for several years. A complete discussion of these processes is included in the City's Water Conservation Plan included in **Appendix B**.

Pumping water is becoming more expensive as energy costs continue to rise. The conservation program helps reduce the amount of energy the City uses and extends the estimated times for adding new well sources as well as reduces demands on the aquifer. The City implemented a block water rate structure in 2008 to promote equity in water charges and aid conservation. Reevaluating the commodity charge for water use has been an effective tool for water conservation in many areas and is continually evaluated by the City. A complete evaluation of the City's water conservation efforts and impacts is included in **Appendix B**.

4.11 Capital Costs

Table 4 8 presents a summary of budget costs for adding system supply to meet build-out demands.

Project No	Capital Project	Additional Supply (gpm)	Planned Year	Total Estimated Cost ^{(a) (b)}
S-1	New Well – High	4,000	2025	\$2,800,000
S-2	New Well - General	2,000	2030	\$2,500,000
S-3	New Well – High	4,000	2035	\$2,800,000
S-4	New Well – High	4,000	2040	\$2,500,000
S-13	New Well - General	2,000	2030	\$2,800,000
	Total			\$13,400,000

Table 4-8- Opinion of Probable Costs-New Supply

(a) All opinions of cost are planning level 2023 dollars. Detailed cost estimates can be located in Appendix H. Escalating factors are recommended for future budgeting.

(b) Cost of land acquisition not included since property is generally donated to the City.

These costs are based on data from other wells drilled over the aquifer in Kootenai County. Wells constructed in future years must account for inflation as detailed in **Chapters 6** and **7**.

Capital project budgets planned for improving the existing wellhouses and systems are included in **Table 4-9**.

Project No.	Capital Project	Planned Year	Capital Cost (a)
S-5	Pump to Waste Rerouting ^(b)	2029	\$50,000
S-6	4 th Street – Wellhouse Replacement	2037	\$1,790,000
S-7	Atlas PRV Installation	2025	\$90,000
S-8	Regular Pump Rehabilitation	Annual	\$100,000
S-9	Onsite Chlorine Regeneration Maintenance	Annual	\$100,000
S-10	Soft Starter Replacement	Biennial	\$150,000
S-11	Water Rights (RAFN)	2024/ongoing	\$20,000
S-12	SCADA Maintenance	Annual	\$55,000
	Total		\$2,355,000

Table 4-9 - Opinion of Probable Cost-Miscellaneous Water Supply Projects

(a) All opinions of cost are planning level 2023 dollars. Detailed cost estimates can be located in Appendix H. Escalating factors are recommended for future budgeting.

(b) Cost per each.

Capital project budgets for improvements to other pressure zone improvements are included in **Table 4-10**.

Project No.	Capital Project	Planned Year ^(b)	Total Estimated Cost ^(a)
B-1	Elm Street – Additional Pump & Upgrades	2028	\$290,000
B-2	Elm Street – Booster Split	2032	\$100,000
B-3	Fernan Hill – Split with Stanley	2032	\$1,800,000
B-4	Fernan Booster – Additional Pump	2034	\$100,000
B-5	Blackwell Hill - New Station	2026	\$2,000,000
B-6	Armstrong Park – Booster Upgrades	2035	\$1,000,000
	Total		\$5,290,000

Table 4-10- Opinion of Probable Cost-Booster Station Upgrades

(a) All opinions of cost are planning level 2023 dollars. Detailed cost estimates can be located in Appendix H. Escalating factors are recommended for future budgeting.

(b) Timing of project is dependent on additional demands from future developments.

These opinions of cost are budgetary in nature based on manufacturer's quotations, similar construction projects, discussions with contractors, standard estimating guides, and engineering judgment. Preliminary designs of the improvement projects should be used to refine these budgets and update them for current market conditions as each project moves toward construction.

5 WATER STORAGE

5.1 Introduction

The existing water system has historically required less storage than similarly sized systems due to the high volume of groundwater available. As presented in Chapter 4, additional storage or supply is required to meet future PHD requirements. The emergency storage normally required in a typical system can continue to be provided by adding groundwater wells equipped with emergency generators. Storage will be provided based on Water Department criteria for MDD equalization, fire protection, and emergency storage. Equalization requirements vary greatly depending on available supply and system demands.

The total storage requirement in a typical water system includes equalization, fire protection, and emergency storage.

Equalization storage is the water volume required to meet peak hourly demands in excess of what the system can supply. It is generally more economical to provide water supply to meet the maximum day demand and storage to supplement the supply during peak usage hours. As noted in **Chapter 4**, however, the City of Coeur d'Alene can most efficiently meet peak demands through a combination of storage and additional supply. Equalization storage can also be used to decrease power costs by allowing pumps to operate during off-peak power demand periods and avoid excessive pump cycling. **Figure 2-3** showed the peak hourly demand of 196 percent of maximum day demand occurs around 4:00 a.m. during a "typical" maximum day. The sums of these peak demands comprise the required equalization storage volume.

Fire storage is the volume of water that must be stored in excess of available reliable supply to meet fire demands. The required volume was determined by the water system in conjunction with the local fire officials and building code requirements. The maximum fire demand multiplied by the fire duration within each zone determines the total volume. **Table 5-1** lists the fire demand criteria and corresponding storage need.

	Recommended Fire Demand (gpm)	Fire Duration (hrs)	Recommended Storage (gallons)
Commercial	3,500	3	630,000
Residential	1,750	2	210,000

Table 5-1- Fire Storage Sizing Criteria

Emergency Storage is the additional volume of water stored to meet unexpected events such as power outages. The volume of emergency storage provided by the system is a policy decision by the City. The criteria selected for total storage is to meet equalization and fire storage needs while maintaining 10 percent of total storage in reserve.

The total storage volume required is dependent on the supply available. Increased supply meets more of the peak demand, reducing the equalization volume required. Optimizing storage and supply volumes can help keep both capital and operation and maintenance costs down. This section balances supply and storage to achieve the lowest overall system cost.

5.2 Existing and Future Storage Evaluation and Recommendation

The following sections discuss existing storage as well as future storage requirements for each zone.

5.2.1 High Zone

The City's High Zone has 4.0 million gallons (MG) of storage, 2.0 MG of which is available elevated storage evenly divided between the Prairie and Industrial Standpipes. **Chapter 4** presented the relationships between the amount of supply available and the storage required. This analysis showed that additional storage will be required to meet the City criteria.

In order to meet the storage criteria for the High Zone, it is recommended that 2.0 MG of storage be added to the High Zone. The City has procured property east of the City at the end of Thomas Lane and are in the process of designing 1.0 MG of storage to be added in the northeast quadrant of the system. This tank is anticipated to begin construction in 2025. The addition of storage in this area provides required storage to meet system criteria and improves the hydraulic balance of the pressure zone. Since the majority of the supply is located on the west side of the High Zone, peak hour demands on the east side require significant water transmission across US 95. The east side storage will help to attenuate the peak demands, moderate pressure swings, and minimize transmission piping upgrades.

A second potential location for 1.0 MG of additional storage is located adjacent to the City's proposed 1.0 MG tank for the NE Quadrant.

One additional option for meeting the emergency storage in the High Zone is to add a booster station at the Prairie or Industrial Standpipe that would allow the bottom million gallons of water to be utilized. It appears to be more cost effective to build a new supply well and utilize aquifer storage than to construct an emergency supply booster storage; however, if well sites or tank siting become difficult to identify due to location or water quality, this may be considered.

It is also recommended that the City continue with water conservation education with patrons and monitor potential changes in water use characteristics.

5.2.2 General Zone

The Tubbs Hill Tanks and Best Hill Tank provide a total of 5.0 MG of usable storage to the General Zone. The existing storage in this zone is adequate for the long term, providing that a new well is brought online when peak day demands in the area rise above 12,400 gpm as recommended in **Chapter 4**. As previously described, the addition of an additional supply well keeps the equalization storage volume to a minimum by the supply meeting the peak hour demand. If the zone supply is
lower, more storage is required to meet the peaks. By adding new wells in a timely manner, the equalization, fire, and emergency criteria can be maintained until system build-out, as previously illustrated in **Figure 4-2**.

The General Zone storage, while adequate, has hydraulic connectivity problems between the Best Hill Tank and Tubbs Hill Tanks. A large pipeline project was completed in 2001, which significantly improved the situation. Additionally, a booster station was constructed at the base of the Best Hill Tank in 2017. The intent of the station is to pull water from Best Hill Tank during peak periods, providing additional capacity to the General Zone and increasing tank turnover. The station is currently operating as anticipated.

No additional storage is recommended for the General Zone. There are several general maintenance projects recommended as shown in **Table 5-3**.

The storage analysis assumes that the City will make the recommended supply improvements as described in the previous chapter. If supply improvements are not made when recommended, storage needs will be much higher and could easily exceed available capacity.

5.2.3 Stanley Hill

The Stanley Hill Tank has a usable volume of 0.20 MG and supplies the Stanley Hill Zone. Based on the review criteria, the existing service area, and pump capacity at the Elm Street Booster, a total of 1.5 MG, is required. This zone has significant potential future development, so any improvements will likely be tied to system expansion.

As noted in **Chapter 4**, the Stanley Hill Zone is recommended to be divided into a Stanley Hill Zone and a Fernan Hill Zone. Following that division, the recommended storage for the Stanley Hill Zone can be reduced to 0.7 MG.

5.2.4 Fernan Hill

The Fernan Hill Zone is currently part of the Stanley Hill Zone. Once these zones are split, the Fernan Hill Zone will be independent and will require storage. The recommended storage for this zone is 0.7 MG. A full analysis of this zone and the division of the two zones was completed during the 2012 Comprehensive Plan update and is included in **Appendix D** for reference.

5.2.5 Armstrong Park

The Armstrong Park Tank has a current capacity of 0.16 MG, which is less than the current storage criteria of 0.42 MG of storage based on current system demands. It is expected that this area will see some additional development within the planning period, and any improvements in this zone will be driven by development. The recommended total storage for this zone is 0.6 MG and could be completed by adding approximately 0.5 MG of storage to the system.

5.2.6 Blackwell Hill

Blackwell Hill currently has only a small storage tank, providing minimal equalization storage to the High Pressure Zone. This area is not expected to see growth, as it lies outside the City's area of impact. Assuming a booster pump station is capable of providing a firm capacity equal to the MDD for the zone, a 0.6 MG tank will be required.

This area is also very steep and will require detailed planning (**Appendix E** includes a technical memorandum completed by J-U-B in 2012 which provides additional discussion of the pressure zone analysis for this area). The overall storage requirement for the built-out plan area is 0.6 MG and may be supplied by one or several tanks, depending on design.

5.2.7 Storage Summary

Table 5 2 shows the expected storage needs by zone for the water system.

Scenario	Zone	Equalization Storage (MG) ^(a)	Fire Protection Demand (MG)	Total Min. Storage Required/Available (MG)
	High Zone	2.61	0.63	3.56 / 2.0
0	General Zone	1.93	0.63	2.82 / 5.0
Current Requirements	Stanley Hill Zone	0.58	0.21	1.58/0.2
	Armstrong Park Zone	0.02	0.21	0.42 / 0.16
	Blackwell Hill Zone	0.00	0.21	0.42 / 0.0
	High Zone	3.71	0.63	4.77
	General Zone	2.75	0.63	3.72
Build-Out Requirements	Stanley Hill Zone	0.10	0.21	0.63
	Armstrong Park Zone	0.09	0.21	0.60
	Blackwell Hill Zone	0.04	0.21	0.60
	Fernan Hill Zone	0.10	0.21	0.62

Table 5-2- Storage Requirements by Zone

(a) Equalization storage is based on firm pumping capacity exceeding maximum day demand.

5.3 Cost Opinions

A summary of the recommended storage projects and potential schedules is included in Table 5 3.

Project No.	Project	Anticipated Year of Construction	Capital Cost ^(a)
T-1	High Zone Storage – 1.0 MG	2025	\$6,800,000
T-2	Stanley Hill Storage – 0.5 MG	2040	\$1,700,000
T-3	Blackwell Hill - 0.6 MG	2033	\$1,800,000
T-4	Armstrong Park – 0.5 MG	2038	\$1,700,000
T-5	Fernan Hill – 0.7 MG	2032	\$2,100,000
T-6	Recoating Prairie Standpipe - Exterior	2027	\$600,000
T-7	Recoating Industrial Standpipe - Exterior	2031	\$600,000
T-8	Recoating Tubbs Hill – 1.0 MG Tank	2038	\$200,000
T-9	Recoating Prairie Standpipe - Interior	2034	\$760,000
T-10	Recoating Industrial Standpipe - Interior	2036	\$760,000
T-11	Recoating Stanley Hill – Interior/Exterior	2033	\$130,000
T-12	High Zone Storage – 1.0 MG	2032	\$6,800,000
T-13	High Zone Storage – 1.0 MG	2037	\$6,800,000
	Tota	I	\$30,750,000

(a) All opinions of cost are planning level 2023 dollars. Detailed cost estimates can be located in Appendix H. Escalating factors are recommended for future budgeting.

6 DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM

6.1 Distribution System Analysis

A hydraulic computer model using WaterCAD Version 10.04 software produced by Haestad Methods was used to understand how the system reacts to various demands, and project how the system growth will impact existing infrastructure. The water model used data from the City's existing GIS database of their water distribution system.

Current water demand was added to the system based on existing summer water meter reading data. Future water demands were extrapolated from existing meter data by determining water usage per acre for existing land uses and assuming full build-out within the planning boundary.

Operating conditions for the various model components (wells, water storage tanks, well and booster pumps, PRVs, and PSVs) were reviewed and updated based on discussions with the City and City provided setpoint data. Missing or questionable data was reviewed with the City and/or record drawings and then updated as needed. Additional information regarding the development, calibration, and utilization of the water model can be found in **Appendix F**.

6.2 Evaluation of Distribution System

The water model was utilized to identify locations within the existing distribution system that do not meet the system criteria and to understand the impact of future demands on the system within the Water Department's planning boundary. The analysis examined velocities and headlosses in each pipe as well as minimum and maximum pressures in the system. This section summarizes the examination of the current system condition and the system under future demands. Detailed description of the evaluation, system deficiencies lists, and the review criteria developed with City staff is included in **Appendix F**.

6.2.1 Existing Demand Conditions

The existing system was evaluated under the following conditions:

- Existing Maximum Day Demand Extended Period Simulation (EPS)
 - o 2023 Max Day Demand: 29,700 GPM
 - All wells and boosters operating on Summer Setpoints (reference Appendix F for setpoints).

Generally, the distribution system velocities, headloss and working pressure appear to be within criteria during the average day. Only localized high pressures and headloss gradients were observed near well and booster pumps in operation.

Under peak hour, several areas exhibit high velocities and headloss greater than the allowable system criteria of 10 feet per 1,000 feet (0.010 ft/ft). The map provided on **Figure 6-1** shows the

existing distribution system headloss in feet per 1,000 feet. **Figure 6-2** and **Figure 6-3** show the minimum and maximum existing system pressures observed over the 72-hour simulation.

6.2.2 Future Demand Conditions (Build Out)

As previously discussed, build-out conditions assume complete infill within the current service area and system expansion to the planning boundary according to City zoning. Future distribution pipes were routed to build-out areas (the actual location of future pipes will be determined by future development) to help understand impacts to the existing system under build out demand conditions, and additional supply was modeled as groundwater wells similar to the City's existing wells.

The build-out model was first run as an extended period simulation under MDD, utilizing the same daily demand diurnal as the existing system model to evaluate how the existing system responds throughout the day to the increased demand. Multiple areas exhibited pressure, velocity, and head loss outside of allowable criteria. Build out system (with no improvements) headloss in feet per 1,000 feet is shown on **Figure 6-4**.

The build-out model was also run as a static simulation under the following conditions:

- Minimum Pressures Static Simulation
 - Peak Hour Demand: 86,800 GPM
 - All Wells Except Huetter Operating
 - o Tanks Half Full
- Maximum Pressures Static Simulation
 - Peak Hour Demand: 86,800 GPM
 - o All Wells Except Huetter Operating
 - o All Tanks Full

Figure 6-1- Existing System Peak Hour Headloss



Figure 6-2- Existing System Minimum Pressures



Figure 6-3 - Existing System Maximum Pressures





Figure 6-4- Build Out System Peak Hour Headloss (No Improvements)

6.3 Pressure Zone Boundary Analysis

6.3.1 High Zone/General Zone

The existing boundary was evaluated to determine if any changes are warranted. Current system pressures in both zones appear to be within existing pressure criteria, and system operators indicate the current boundaries are functioning well. Evaluation of supply and storage in each zone indicates that the High Zone has excess supply but is short on storage. The General Zone is short on supply but has adequate storage. Since the General Zone can be fed by the High Zone, supply needs can be met currently, however the addition of storage in the High Zone is recommended in the short term. At this time, no change in boundaries appears necessary.

6.3.2 Stanley/Fernan Boundary

As discussed in previous sections, the Stanley Hill service will be split into the following three areas:

- 1. The higher elevation service area on Stanley Hill will be fed by the Elm Street boosters. Existing isolation valves near Ponderosa Golf Course will be closed.
- 2. The low-lying area near Ponderosa Golf Course will be served by the General Zone. This will require upsizing existing small lines under I-90 as part of the City's regular replacement program.
- 3. Service to residents on Fernan Hill will be from a new Fernan Hill booster pump station located adjacent to the Elm Street Booster. This will require construction of a new 12-inch transmission main to Fernan Hill Road.

The future system pressure zone boundaries are shown on Figure 6-5.

Figure 6-5 – Future Pressure Zone Boundaries



6.4 Recommended Improvements

Table 6-1 includes a summary of the deficiencies identified at the build-out scenario as well as therecommended solutions. Some observed problems do not necessitate an improvement project,therefore a detailed description of all build-out system deficiencies is included in Appendix F. Thebuild out system (including improvements) is included on Figure 6-6 and system headloss in feet per1,000 feet is shown on Figure 6-7. Figure 6-8 and Figure 6-9 show the minimum and maximum buildout system pressures observed under the previously listed simulation conditions.

6.4.1 High Zone

The recommended improvements in the High Zone include new transmission mains along Wilbur Avenue, Industrial Loop, Kathleen Avenue/Prairie Trail, and Thomas Lane to improve distribution of water from the west side to the east side of the zone. Upsizing the lines between the Prairie and Hanley Wells is recommended to reduce bottlenecking between the supplies. Upsizing the lines to the west of the existing Atlas Well is recommended to reduce headloss and velocities in the area.

6.4.2 General Zone

Recommended improvements for the General Zone include upgrading the mains in 4th Street, Government Way, and Lincoln Way to allow for some transmission from the supply wells in the northern portion of the zone to downtown, as well as upsizing other undersized reaches to eliminate existing bottlenecks. A parallel river crossing to supply the Blackwell Hill Zone is recommended for redundancy in case of failure of the existing crossing.

6.4.3 Stanley Hill Zone

The Stanley Hill recommendations include upsizing the supply lines to the storage tank and upsizing undersized lines.

6.4.4 Fernan Hill Zone

The recommended Fernan Hill Zone will require a new transmission main to supply the new zone from the Elm Street (and Future Fernan) Booster Station site. In addition to this new 12-inch transmission main, areas of future growth will require additional 12-inch transmission mains.

6.4.5 Armstrong Park Zone

It is recommended that the existing supply line to the Armstrong Park Zone be upsized to a 12-inch line in order to supply adequate flows to the booster station at build-out.

6.4.6 Blackwell Hill Zone

No specific recommended improvements to the Blackwell Hill Zone are planned at this time. Improvements in this area will be dependent on locations and sizes of future development(s).

Project Number	Pressure Zone	Observed Problem	Recommended Solution	Planned Year	Capital Cost ^(a)
D-1	High Zone - Transmission main piping for addition of NE storage	Headloss gradients are greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the area of recommended NE storage.	Install new 16-inch transmission main for new addition of storage to NE quadrant.	2024/2025	\$5,400,00 0
D-2	High Zone between Hanley and Prairie Wells	Existing pipes act as a bottleneck as demand is routed to the south-eastern portion of the zone.	Upsize mains between the Hanley Well and Prairie Well on Highway-95 to 24-inch.	2027	\$3,370,00 0
D-3	High Zone – New Transmission Main	Existing pipes act as a bottleneck as demand is routed to east portion of the zone.	Install new 18-inch transmission main piping in Wilbur Avenue from Atlas Road to N Roche Road, and from Moselle Drive to Pinegrove Drive.	2029	\$6,220,00 0
D-4	High Zone – New Transmission Main	16-inch main loop is incomplete.	Install new 16-inch main from Future Spiers Well in W Industrial Loop and connect to the existing 16-inch in Atlas Road.	2025	\$2,480,00 0
D-5	High Zone – New Transmission Main	Insufficient supply to the east side of the High Zone causing low pressures.	Install new 16-inch transmission main piping in Kathleen Avenue and the Prairie Trail from Atlas Road to the intersection of Neider Avenue and Howard Street to route flow from wells on the west side to the east side of High Zone.	2038	\$9,240,00 0
D-6	High Zone – Atlas Well Area	Headloss gradients are greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the area south of the future Spiers Well and west of the existing Atlas Well.	Upsize piping in Arrowhead Road, Sherwood Drive, Tamarack Road, and Nez Perce Road.	2031	\$3,210,00 0
D-7	General Zone - 4th Street Well Area	Existing pipes act as a bottleneck as demand is routed from the north side of the General Zone.	Upsize mains in Appleway Avenue, Best Avenue, Haycraft Avenue, Gilbert Avenue, and N 4 th Street.	2033	\$7,520,00 0

Table 6-1- Build-Out Deficiencies

Project Number	Pressure Zone	Observed Problem	Recommended Solution	Planned Year	Capital Cost ^(a)
D-8	General Zone - River Crossing	There is no redundancy for serving the Blackwell Hill Zone if the existing river crossing were to fail.	Install parallel 12-inch to existing Blackwell river crossing.	2040	\$670,000
D-9	General Zone – Government Way Piping	Headloss gradients are greater than 0.01 ft/ft along Government Way. I-90 widening construction will require replacement of piping on bridge overpass.	Replace the 12-inch main on the I-90 Overpass Bridge and upsize existing 8-inch pipes in Government Way from the I-90 Overpass to Lacrosse Avenue to 12-inch.	2030	\$1,670,00 0
D-10	General Zone – Emma Avenue Piping	Headloss gradients are greater than 0.01 ft/ft along Medina Street and Emma Avenue.	Upsize existing piping in Medina Street and Emma Avenue to 10 and 12-inch respectively.	2034	\$1,780,00 0
D-11	General Zone – Lincoln Way Piping	Headloss gradients are greater than 0.01 ft/ft along Lincoln Way. The single reach of existing 6-inch going east from the intersection of Lincoln Way and Emma Avenue creates a bottle neck.	Upsize existing mains in Lincoln Way, from Ironwood Drive to Emma Avenue to 12 and 16-inch. The 6-inch pipe reach going east on Emma Avenue should also be upsized to an 8-inch to eliminate the bottleneck.	2036	\$810,000
D-12	Stanley Hill Zone - transmission piping within the zone	Negative pressures near the Stanley Hill Tank/Johnson Ranch area due to additional future storage and large build-out demands in very small distribution lines. Headloss gradients are greater than 0.01 ft/ft in Stanley Hill area.	Upsize mains on Harrison Avenue to 12-inch and extend 12-inch transmission main to new Stanley Hill Tank #2 (booster pumps will be required to serve some areas of future development).	2040	\$2,390,00 0
D-13	Future Fernan Zone - new transmission piping from Elm Street Booster Site to Zone	Negative pressures near the Fernan build-out area due to large build out demands in distribution lines.	New Fernan Booster Station at the existing Elm Street site and new Fernan Hill Tank. 12-inch transmission piping between the booster and the new zone, as well as from the new tank will be required.	2032	\$3,390,00 0
D-14	Armstrong Park Zone transmission line to supply booster station	Headloss gradients are greater than 0.01 ft/ft in 6-inch transmission line to Armstrong Park area.	Upsize transmission line to Armstrong Park to 12-inch.	2038	\$2,000,00 0

Project Number	Pressure Zone	Observed Problem	Recommended Solution	Planned Year	Capital Cost ^(a)
D-15	General Zone - I-90 Widening at Northwest Boulevard	I-90 widening construction will require replacement of piping on bridge overpass.	Replace the 12-inch mains on the I-90 Overpass Bridge.	2037	\$430,000
D-16	Fernan Hill Future Development	Extensions will be required to service future areas.	Main extensions for future development.	2040	\$1,380,00 0
D-17	Miscellaneous Areas around the system	High headloss gradient observed in various areas with undersized mains.	Annual main replacement.	Annual	\$1,300,00 0
D-18	New/Replace Meter/ Hydrant/Service Line Work		Annual replacement projects.	Annual	\$500,000
			Total		\$53,710,0 00

(a) All Opinions of Cost are planning level in 2023 dollars. Detailed cost estimates can be located in Appendix H. Escalating factors are recommended for future budgeting.

Figure 6-6 – Build Out System (with Improvements)





Figure 6-7 – Build Out System (with Improvements) Peak Hour Headloss



Figure 6-8 - Build Out System (with Improvements) Minimum Pressures



Figure 6-9 Build Out System (with Improvements) Maximum Pressures



	50.50 % (1.100 Million 1
: 10/21/202	(JUB)
INT DATE:	INT DATE: 10/21/202

7 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN

Chapters 4, 5, and 6 described the recommended water system improvements for the City. These improvements and order of magnitude cost estimates are included in this chapter.

7.1 Order of Magnitude Cost Estimates

The order of magnitude estimates developed at this planning level are order-of-magnitude estimates without any detailed itemization. These estimates may have been based on:

- The advantages of recent bid prices for similar projects
- Budget pricing from specialty contractors or suppliers

These costs also include the following:

- 30 percent contingency
- 20 percent project soft costs (Engineering, etc.)
- 5 percent mobilization
- 2.5 percent bonding and administration

The accuracy of these numbers is expected to range from 50 percent above to 30 percent below the actual cost of design and construction.

7.2 Summary of Existing System Deficiencies and Improvements

Individual components of the system were identified and evaluated in previous sections of the Plan. They are generally grouped into three categories — water supply, water storage, and water distribution. Improvement recommendations and Opinions of Cost are summarized in the following sections. These costs are identified as either City-funded or developer-contribution. The City-funded portions are paid for by rates or cap fees, depending on if the project is due to replacement and rehabilitation (rates) or related to system growth (cap fees). The developer-contribution projects are projects fully funded by a property developer. Criteria for these future projects are included in **Appendix G**.

7.2.1 Water Supply

The water supply needs detailed in **Chapter 4** can be met by drilling additional wells. **Table 7-1** summarizes the City's water supply needs, timing/trigger flows for those improvements, and associated Opinion of Costs. This water supply is estimated to fulfill build out requirements for the Water Department.

Improvement	Trigger Maximum Day Demand for Upgrades by Zone gpm	Estimated Construction Date ^(a)	Recommended Pump Size gpm	Opinion of Capital Cost ^(b)
High Zone				
S-1: New Well	18,750	2025	4,000	\$2,800,000
S-3: New Well	25,000	2035	4,000	\$2,800,000
S-4: New Well	27,300	2040	4,000	\$2,800,000
General Zone				
S-2 : New Well	12,400	2030	2,000	\$2,500,000
S-13: New Well	13,800	2036	2,000	\$2,500,000
		Total		\$13,400,000

Table 7-1- Water Supply Improvement Costs by Zone

(a) Year is approximate based on projected growth rate City-wide.

(b) All Opinions of Cost are planning level in 2023 dollars and do not include land purchase costs. Detailed cost estimates can be located in Appendix H. Escalating factors are recommended for future budgeting.

The dates listed are based on wells that produce 4,000 gpm & 2,000 gpm for the High and General Zone respectively and projected increases in water demand, consistent with planning values. However, growth rates and water conservation effects will fluctuate, so the maximum day demand requirements dictate when a new source is needed. The demand triggers are listed in **Table 7-1**.

In addition to the additional supply required to meet the future needs, there is one wellhouse that will require replacement during this planning period and some other supply improvements that will need to be completed. These improvements are summarized in **Table 7-2**.

Project No.	Capital Project	Planned Year Capital Cost	
S-5	Pump to Waste Rerouting	2029	\$50,000
S-6	4th Street – Wellhouse Replacement	2037	\$1,790,000
S-7	Atlas PRV Installation	2025 \$90,000	
S-8	Regular Pump Rehabilitation	Annual \$100,000	
S-9	Onsite Chlorine Regeneration Maintenance	Annual	\$100,000
S-10	Soft Starter Replacement	Biennial	\$150,000
S-11	Water Rights (RAFN)	2024/ongoing	\$20,000
S-12	SCADA Maintenance	SCADA Maintenance Annual	
	Total		\$2,355,000

Table 7-2 – Additional Supply Improvements

(a) All opinions of cost are planning level 2023 dollars. Detailed cost estimates can be located in Appendix H. Escalating factors are recommended for future budgeting.

Several of the booster stations will also require upgrades within the planning period. These improvements are identified in **Table 7-3**.

Project No.	Capital Project	Planned Year	Capital Cost (a)
B-1	Elm Street – Additional Pump & Upgrades	2028	\$290,000
B-2	Elm Street – Booster Split	2032	\$100,000
B-3	Fernan Hill – Split with Stanley	2032	\$1,800,000
B-4	Fernan Booster – Additional Pump	2034	\$100,000
B-5	Blackwell Hill – New Station	2026	\$2,000,000
B-6	Armstrong Park – Additional Pump	2035	\$1,000,000
	Total		\$5,290,000

Table 7-3- Booster Station Improvements

(a) All opinions of cost are planning level 2023 dollars. Detailed cost estimates can be located in Appendix H. Escalating factors are recommended for future budgeting.

7.2.2 Storage

The recommended storage projects will provide fire, equalization, and emergency storage to buildout of the planning boundary, with the completion of the supply recommendation at the specified demands.

The recommended storage improvements are summarized in Table 7-4.

Project No.	Project	Planned Year	Capital Cost (a)
T-1	High Zone Storage – 1.0 MG	2025	\$6,800,000
T-2	Stanley Hill Storage – 0.5 MG	2040	\$1,700,000
T-3	Blackwell Hill – 0.6 MG	2033	\$1,800,000
T-4	Armstrong Park – 0.5 MG	2038	\$1,700,000
T-5	Fernan Hill - 0.7 MG	2032	\$2,100,000
T-6	Recoating Prairie Standpipe - Exterior	2027	\$600,000
T-7	Recoating Industrial Standpipe - Exterior	2031	\$600,000
T-8	Recoating Tubbs Hill – 1.0 MG Tank	2038	\$200,000
T-9	Recoating Prairie Standpipe - Interior	2034	\$760,000
T-10	Recoating Industrial Standpipe - Interior	2036	\$760,000
T-11	Recoating Stanley Tank – Interior & Exterior	2033	\$130,000
T-12	High Zone Storage – 1.0 MG	2032	\$6,800,000
T-13	High Zone Storage – 1.0 MG	2037	\$6,800,000
	Total		\$30,750,000

Table 7-4- Storage Requirements

(a) All opinions of cost are planning level 2023 dollars. Detailed cost estimates can be located in Appendix H. Escalating factors are recommended for future budgeting.

7.2.3 Distribution/Piping

The primary piping and booster station deficiencies in the existing distribution system were presented in **Chapter 6**. The existing distribution system is generally capable of meeting system demands due to a good distribution network. The City's distribution system is reported to be in overall good condition. This can be attributed to an annual pipe replacement program. The City's replacement efforts have been focused on improving gaps in the distribution grid and replacing AC pipe less than 8 inches in diameter as well as galvanized, OD, steel, and less common sizes such as 10-inch. The City replaces an average of 1 to 2 miles of pipe per year. The City's target is 1.5 to 2 miles per year, which correlates to an average replacement cycle ranging from 150 to 200 years. Typical guidelines suggest that the useful life of domestic distribution systems ranges from 50 to 75 years, with PVC life as long as 125 years.

Table 7-5 identifies the cost of distribution lines anticipated to be necessary through build-out. These improvements are required to complete the City's distribution main grid and to provide firm pumping capacity in the booster pump stations.

Project Number	Pressure Zone	Observed Problem	Recommended Solution	Planned Year	Capital Cost ^(a)
D-1	High Zone - Transmission main piping for addition of NE storage	Headloss gradients are greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the area of recommended NE storage.	Install new 16-inch transmission main for new addition of storage to NE quadrant.	2024/2025	\$5,400,000
D-2	High Zone between Hanley and Prairie Wells	Existing pipes act as a bottleneck as demand is routed to the south-eastern portion of the zone.	Upsize mains between the Hanley Well and Prairie Well on Highway- 95 to 24-inch.	2027	\$3,370,000
D-3	High Zone – New Transmission Main	Existing pipes act as a bottleneck as demand is routed to east portion of the zone.	Install new 18-inch transmission main piping in Wilbur Avenue from Atlas Road to N Roche Road, and from Moselle Drive to Pinegrove Drive.	2029	\$6,220,000
D-4	High Zone – New Transmission Main	16-inch main loop is incomplete.	Install new 16-inch main from Future Spiers Well in W Industrial Loop and connect to the existing 16-inch in Atlas Road.	2025	\$2,480,000
D-5	High Zone – New Transmission Main	Insufficient supply to the east side of the High Zone causing low pressures.	Install new 16-inch transmission main piping in Kathleen Avenue and the Prairie Trail from Atlas Road to the intersection of Neider Avenue and Howard Street to route flow from wells on the west side to the east side of High Zone.	2038	\$9,240,000
D-6	High Zone – Atlas Well Area	Headloss gradients are greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the area south of the future Spiers Well and west of the existing Atlas Well.	Upsize piping in Arrowhead Road, Sherwood Drive, Tamarack Road, and Nez Perce Road.	2031	\$3,210,000

Table 7-5- Build-Out Deficiencies

Project Number	Pressure Zone	Observed Problem	Recommended Solution	Planned Year	Capital Cost ^(a)
D-7	General Zone - 4th Street Well Area	Existing pipes act as a bottleneck as demand is routed from the north side of the General Zone.	Upsize mains in Appleway Avenue, Best Avenue, Haycraft Avenue, Gilbert Avenue, and N 4 th Street.	2033	\$7,520,000
D-8	General Zone - River Crossing	There is no redundancy for serving the Blackwell Hill Zone if the existing river crossing were to fail.	Install parallel 12-inch to existing Blackwell River crossing.	2040	\$670,000
D-9	General Zone – Government Way Piping	Headloss gradients are greater than 0.01 ft/ft along Government Way. I-90 widening construction will require replacement of piping on bridge overpass.	Replace the 12-inch main on the I- 90 Overpass Bridge and upsize existing 8-inch pipes in Government Way from the I-90 Overpass to Lacrosse Avenue to 12-inch.	2030	\$1,670,000
D-10	General Zone – Emma Avenue Piping	Headloss gradients are greater than 0.01 ft/ft along Medina Street and Emma Avenue.	Upsize existing piping in Medina Street and Emma Avenue to 10 and 12-inch respectively.	2034	\$1,780,000
D-11	General Zone – Lincoln Way Piping	Headloss gradients are greater than 0.01 ft/ft along Lincoln Way. The single reach of existing 6-inch going east from the intersection of Lincoln Way and Emma Avenue creates a bottle neck.	Upsize existing mains in Lincoln Way, from Ironwood Drive to Emma Avenue to 12 and 16-inch. The 6- inch pipe reach going east on Emma Avenue should also be upsized to an 8-inch to eliminate the bottleneck.	2036	\$810,000
D-12	Stanley Hill Zone - transmission piping within the zone	Negative pressures near the Stanley Hill Tank/Johnson Ranch area due to additional future storage and large build-out demands in very small distribution lines. Headloss gradients are greater than 0.01 ft/ft in Stanley Hill area.	Upsize mains on Harrison Avenue to 12-inch and extend 12-inch transmission main to new Stanley Hill Tank #2 (booster pumps will be required to serve some areas of future development).	2040	\$2,390,000
D-13	Future Fernan Zone - new transmission	Negative pressures near the Fernan build-out area due to large build out demands in distribution lines.	New Fernan Booster Station at the existing Elm Street site and new Fernan Hill Tank. 12-inch transmission piping between the	2032	\$3,390,000

Project Number	Pressure Zone	Observed Problem	Recommended Solution	Planned Year	Capital Cost (a)
	piping from Elm Street Booster Site to Zone		booster and the new zone, as well as from the new tank will be required.		
D-14	Armstrong Park Zone transmission line to supply booster station	Headloss gradients are greater than 0.01 ft/ft in 6-inch transmission line to Armstrong Park area.	Upsize transmission line to Armstrong Park to 12-inch.	2038	\$2,000,000
D-15	General Zone - I-90 Widening at Northwest Boulevard	I-90 widening construction will require replacement of piping on bridge overpass.	Replace the 12-inch mains on the I- 90 Overpass Bridge.	2037	\$430,000
D-16	Fernan Hill Future Development	Extensions will be required to service future areas.	Main extensions for future development.	2040	\$1,380,000
D-17	Miscellaneou s Areas around the system	High headloss gradient observed in various areas with undersized mains.	Annual main replacement.	Annual	\$1,300,000
D-18	New/Replac e Meter/ Hydrant/Serv ice Line Work		Annual replacement projects.	Annual	\$500,000
			Total		\$53,760,000

(a) All Opinions of Cost are planning level in 2023 dollars. Detailed cost estimates can be located in Appendix H. Escalating factors are recommended for future budgeting.

7.2.4 Additional Improvements

In addition to the previously-recommended projects, an annual meter replacement program is recommended along with regular Comprehensive Rate Study and Comprehensive Plan Updates. **Table 7 6**.

Project No.	Capital Project	Planned Year	Capital Cost (a)
M-1	Annual Meter Replacement Program	Ongoing	\$590,000 ^(b)
M-2	Comprehensive Rate Study	2028	\$50,000
M-3	Comprehensive Plan Update	2033	\$150,000
	Total		\$790,000

Table 7-6- Additional Recommended Improvements

(a) All opinions of cost are planning level 2023 dollars. Escalating factors are recommended for future budgeting.

(b) Cost varies per year, identified in Appendix H. Average value listed.

7.3 Alternatives Descriptions

The following alternatives have been identified for consideration for the City:

- 1. Alternative 1 No-Action
- 2. Alternative 2 All Identified Improvements

Each alternative is presented in more detail in the following sections, including potential advantages and disadvantages.

7.3.1 Alternative 1 – No Action

No improvements would be made to the distribution system through the planning period (2040). As a result, the system would likely experience decreased efficiency, and operation and maintenance costs will increase as components continue aging and degrading. This alternative is not recommended for the following reasons:

- 1. Mechanical equipment (e.g., well house pumps and booster stations) and controls will be beyond their useful life.
- 2. System becomes deficient in storage for all zones.
- 3. System becomes deficient in supply for all zones.
- 4. O&M cost increase due to aging water mains.
- 5. Decrease level of service to patrons due to aging water service lines and meters.
- 6. Increase in water loss with aging infrastructure (i.e. aging pipelines and meters).

7.3.2 Alternative 2 – All Identified Improvements

This alternative includes all identified improvements for the City's distribution system. Advantages and disadvantages for this alternative are presented in **Table 7-8.** Summary of improvements for Alternative 2 includes:

- 1. Replacement and maintenance of mechanical equipment (e.g., well house pumps and booster stations) and controls to extend their useful life.
- 2. Add storage to maintain compliance with City standards for each zone, including EQ storage, fire storage and excess storage.
- 3. Add supply facilities to maintain City standard for each zone.
- 4. Replace, and upsize, aging water mains as identified in the Chapter 6. Upgrades will reduce O&M costs for the City staff, reduce water loss, and assist with continuing to provide reliable water source to the users.
- Ongoing maintenance and replacement of meters and services lines. Upgrades will reduce O&M costs, reduce water and continue to provide patrons with an outstanding level of service.

Advantages	Disadvantages			
Distribution System				
 Mechanical equipment and controls are replaced. 	 Increased water rates to fund improvements. 			
 Continues to provide compliance with Storage and Supply goals for City. 	Highest cost impact to rate payers.			
Reduces 0&M costs				
Reduces unaccounted for water or water loss				
 Continues to provide City patrons with outstanding level of service. 				

Table 7-7- Alt. 2 Advantages & Disadvantages

7.4 Potential Environmental Impacts

At this time, the City does not intend to seek state or federal funding for any of the identified projects in the selected alternative. Therefore, preliminary evaluation of the potential environment impacts was not completed. If the City decides to pursue funding, environmental impacts for the individual project will be evaluated.

7.5 Alternative Selection

The City Water Department Staff indicated Alternative 2 – All Identified Improvements was their preferred alternative based on input from City staff and recommendations from J-U-B ENGINEERS, Inc. (J-U-B). Alternative 2 allows the City to focus on system-wide improvements for the distribution system.

An agency review draft of the Water System Plan was submitted to IDEQ on July 31, 2024. Review comments were received from IDEQ on October 2, 2024. The Plan was resubmitted to IDEQ on October 21, 2024 for review after addressing the initial review comments. Additional comments were provided from IDEQ on December 27, 2024.

7.6 Identification and Scheduling of Improvements

The projects detailed in the Plan have been scheduled based on priority and spread out over the planning period. Many of the projects are dependent on growth of the system and will be dependent on actual system demand. Individual development agreements may reduce capital requirements for the City but have not been considered here due to their unpredictable timing and scope. The overall summary of projected capital improvements is presented in **Table 7-8. Figure 7-1** includes a schematic of the locations of the improvements within the City. A detailed Capital Improvement Plan, sheet documents, all the projects and their anticipated funding source are included in **Appendix H**.

li and	City-Funded Capital Cost Opinion by Year (a) (b)			
Item	2023-2027	2028-2032	2033-2043	
Supply Improvements				
New Wells	\$2,800,000	\$2,800,000	\$8,100,000	
Other Supply Improvements	\$1,835,000	\$1,680,000	\$4,605,000	
Storage Improvements				
New Tanks	\$6,800,000	\$8,900,000	\$12,000,000	
Other Storage Improvements	\$600,000	\$600,000	\$1,850,000	
Distribution Improvements				
Distribution Improvements	\$11,250,000	\$14,490,000	\$26,220,000	
Annual Water Main Replacement	\$9,000,000	\$9,000,000	\$14,400,000	
Booster Stations				
Booster Station Improvements	\$2,000,000	\$2,190,000	\$1,100,000	
Additional Capital Improvements				
Additional Improvements	\$1,894,000	\$3,323,000	\$5,105,000	
Totals	\$36,179,000	\$42,983,000	\$73,380,000	

Table 7-8- City of Coeur d' Alene Capital Improvements

(a) All Opinions of Cost are planning level in 2023 dollars. Detailed cost estimates can be located in Appendix H. Escalating factors are recommended for future budgeting.

(b) Development-driven improvements are included at no cost to the City.

An analysis of the funding requirements for the recommended improvements will be completed by FCS group and included as a separate report.

Figure 7-1- Capital Improvement Projects



APPENDICES

- **Appendix A Coeur d'Alene Demographics Discussion/Evaluation**
- **Appendix B Water System Conservation Plan**
- Appendix C Well Data
- **Appendix D Technical Memorandum, 2012 Fernan Hill Evaluation, August 3, 2012**
- Appendix E Technical Memorandum, 2012 Blackwell Hill Zone Analysis, Sept 26, 2012
- Appendix F Model Assumptions and Calibration
- Appendix G Minimum System Development Criteria
- **Appendix H Capital Improvement Plan**
- **Appendix I DEQ Correspondence**
- **Appendix J City Council Meeting Minutes & Public Presentation**

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Appendix A

Coeur d'Alene Demographics Discussion/Evaluation

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK
APPENDIX A

Coeur d'Alene Demographics

Prepared by:



J·U·B ENGINEERS, INC. 7825 Meadowlark Way Coeur d'Alene, ID (208) 762-8787 | www.jub.com

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

CONTENTS

A1.Population Growth and Study Boundaries1-1				
A1.1	Population Data and Projections	.1-1		
A1.2	Infill within Existing City Limits	.1-3		
A1.3	Future Service Boundary Extents	.1-4		

Figures

Figure A.1 - City of Coeur d'Alene Population Projections (2020 to 2040)	1-2
Figure A.2 - City of Coeur d'Alene New Dwelling Units (2020 to 2040)	1-3
Figure A.3 – Study Boundaries	1-5

Tables

Table A.1 - US Census Bureau Population Data for Coeur d'Alene and Kootenai County	1-1
Table A.2 - Infill Acreage by Pressure Zone	1-3

A1. POPULATION GROWTH AND STUDY BOUNDARIES

A1.1 Population Data and Projections

The City of Coeur d'Alene has experienced variable rates of growth in its history. The most recent growth phases occurred in the late 1990s, between 2004 and 2008, and again after 2020. These periods of growth are bracketed with economic downturns; therefore, the last 30 years is considered representative of historical growth in the area. US Census data and annual average growth rates for the period of 1990 through 2020 are summarized in **Table A.1**.

Year	Coeur d'Alene Population	Annual Growth Rate from Prior Period	Kootenai County Population	Annual Growth Rate from Prior Period
1990	24,563	-	69,795	
2000	34,514	3.46%	108,685	4.53%
2010	44,137	2.49%	138,494	2.45%
2020	54,628	2.16%	171,362	2.15%

Table A.1 - US Census Bureau Population Data for Coeur d'Alene and Kootenai County

Population projections for the City of Coeur d'Alene have been developed by the Kootenai Metropolitan Planning Organization, KMPO. KMPO utilized US Census Bureau data for Kootenai County and the individual cities within the county from 1990, 2000, and 2010 to formulate projections for 2016, 2020, 2035 and 2040. In 2018, KMPO updated their growth projections for 2020, 2035 and 2040. For the forecast period extending through 2040, KMPO assumed a consistent annual growth rate of 2.5 percent for the City of Coeur d'Alene, and a Kootenai County growth rate of 2.4 percent. Forecasts for Coeur d'Alene were made with a baseline population from the 2010 census.

Observed US Census Bureau annual average growth rates are shown in **Table A.1.** KMPO's most recent update in 2018 did not include the 2020 Census Data.

Additionally, population growth was coordinated with the City's current Comprehensive Plan, adopted in February of 2022. The City's 2022-2042 Comprehensive Plan references KMPO's estimated 2040 population for the City to be approximately 85,000 people. The population projections from the City and KMPO, as well as an assumed growth of 2.5 percent, are shown on **Figure A.1**. Projections were not extended beyond 2040 due to the limitations on the KMPO study and to approximately match the near-term objectives of the study.



Figure A.1 - City of Coeur d'Alene Population Projections (2020 to 2040)

Based on discussions with the City's Water Department, a growth rate of 2.5 percent will be utilized for this study. This matches well with the City's 2022-2042 Comprehensive Plan and KMPO's planning documents. Actual growth rates should therefore be reviewed periodically and compared to the recommendations in this study.

The Census Bureau statistics indicate persons per household for the period of 2016 through 2020 was 2.27. The KMPO data shows a current value of 2.40 persons per household based on total occupied housing, which was used in KMPO's subsequent projections for the forecast period. For consistency with the stated KMPO assumption, it is assumed for this study that the number of persons per household will be 2.40 throughout the forecasting period. The corresponding number of new residential dwelling units (2020 baseline) based on the preceding population projections is shown on **Figure A.2**. In summary:

- The KMPO population estimates result in approximately 13,800 additional new residential households by 2040.
- At an assumed annual growth rate of 2.5 percent, approximately 14,000 additional new residential households will be developed by 2040.
- Although the water service area extends outside the City limits, there are areas within the City limits that are not served by the water system. These areas are approximately equal in size, so for the purposes of this study, the current City population will be assumed to be equal to the water system service population.



Figure A.2 - City of Coeur d'Alene New Dwelling Units (2020 to 2040)

A1.2 Infill within Existing City Limits

Approximately 908 acres of undeveloped land exist within the City limits and is identified by pressure zone in **Table A.2**. It is assumed that these areas will be developed consistently with the current zoning and the City's Comprehensive Plan (2022-2042). As growth occurs, these areas will likely be developed prior to growth outside the current City limits.

Pressure Zone	Infill Area (Acres)
General	176.5
High	708.7
Stanley Hill	9.2
Blackwell Hill	-
Tubbs Hill	-
Armstrong	13.6
Total	908

A1.3 Future Service Boundary Extents

During the 2012 Comprehensive Plan Update, the City of Coeur d'Alene Water Department Staff coordinated with the City's Planning Department to define areas of anticipated growth outside the City limits, including identifying the City's future water service boundary and the City's Area of City Impact (ACI). For the current Comprehensive Plan Update, City Water Department Staff provided updates on modifications to the water system boundary since 2013. The City's ACI was updated to reflect the City's current Comprehensive Plan (2022 -2042). The preliminary growth boundaries for the water, wastewater systems, and City ACI were integrated into a single planning figure—reference **Figure A.3.** The total area of growth for water represented by these boundaries is approximately 2,040 acres. Although build-out growth is not expected to the southern and eastern edges of the ACI, expansion to the western and northern edges of the ACI is expected.

Figure A.3 – Study Boundaries



WORKS CITED

KMPO. "2016/2020/2035/2040 Future Growth Projections." December 2018.

US Census Bureau. "State & County QuickFacts." April 1, 2022. https://www.census.gov/quickfacts/coeurdalenecityidaho.









J-U-B FAMILY OF COMPANIES

Appendix B

Water System Conservation Plan

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX B

Water Conservation Plan

Prepared by:



J·U·B ENGINEERS, INC. 7825 Meadowlark Way Coeur d'Alene, ID (208) 762-8787 | www.jub.com THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

CONTENTS

B1 W2	ater Sv	stem Conservation Plan	1-1	
B1.1	Introdu	iction		
B1.2	Water S	System Profile	1-2	
	B1.2.1	Source Water	1-2	
	B1.2.2	Existing Water Rights	1-2	
	B1.2.3	Coeur d'Alene Water Service Boundary	1-3	
	B1.2.4	Coeur d'Alene Population and Connections Served	1-3	
	B1.2.5	Existing Facilities and Water Use Categories	1-4	
	B1.2.6	Water System Growth and Planning Period	1-5	
	B1.2.7	Large Water Users	1-6	
B1.3	System	Demand Forecast	1-7	
	B1.3.1	Current and Future Water Consumption Demands	1-7	
B1.4	4 Planned Facilities			
B1.5	5 Conservation Goals			
B1.6	Water (Conservation Measures	1-9	
	B1.6.1	Water Rate Structure	1-10	
	B1.6.2	Promoting Xeriscaping	1-10	
	B1.6.3	Data Collection	1-10	
	B1.6.4	Non-Revenue Water Control	1-10	
	B1.6.5	Partnerships	1-11	
	B1.6.6	Public Outreach and Publicity	1-11	
B1.7	Effects	of Conservation Measures	1-12	
B1.8	Expecte	ed Savings		
B1.9	Future Conservation Measures1-1			

Figures

Figure B.1.1 – Water System Planning Boundary	1-15
Figure B.1.2 - Per Capita Water Use vs Temperature	1-16
Figure B.3 - MDD vs. ADD Comparison (2018 to 2023)	1-17

Tables

Table B.1.1 - Summary of Water Rights	1-3
Table B.1.2 – Wells and Capacities	1-4
Table B.1.3 - Categories and Land Use Designations	1-5
Table B.1.4 – Highest Water Users	1-7
Table B.1.5 – City of Coeur d' Alene Current and Future Water Demand	1-8
Table B.1.6 – Supply Improvements	1-9
Table B.1.7 - Residential Rate Structure	.1-10

Table B.1.8 - USEPA Water Conservation Measure Benchmark Savings	¹⁾
--	---------------

B1. WATER SYSTEM CONSERVATION PLAN

B1.1 Introduction

The City of Coeur d'Alene operates a water system that has 321 miles of pipe, utilizes 11 groundwater wells, 8 water storage tanks, and provides water to 6 pressure zones. The water is supplied from the Spokane Valley / Rathdrum Prairie (SVRP) Aquifer. This aquifer supplies drinking water to over half a million people in northern Idaho and eastern Washington and maintaining this supply for future use has become a significant focus for water purveyors in these areas.

Working to standardize efforts of the water purveyors that are fed from the aquifer, a Ground Water Management Plan was developed by the Rathdrum Prairie Ground Water Advisory Committee, and the final order was adopted in 2005 by the Idaho Department of Water Resources (IDWR). The Management Plan, which is included at the end of this document, defines six goals for use in management of the aquifer resources. The fifth goal of the Management Plan is to "Encourage water conservation efforts by all users of the resource." Part of this goal requires that a water conservation plan be in place for all municipal purveyors that request new water rights, or changes to their existing water rights.

After the Management Plan was adopted, the Idaho Department of Water Resources developed a document for "Water Conservation Measures and Guidelines for Preparing Water Conservation Plans." The draft plan guidance was issued from IDWR in February 2006. These guidelines include the recommended components to be included in a water conservation plan. The recommended components, and those included in this plan, are as follows:

- Development of a water system profile
- Preparation of a demand forecast
- Description of planned facilities
- Development of conservation goals and methods for stakeholder involvement
- Identification of water conservation measures
- Analysis of conservation measures
- Integration of resources and forecast modification
- Implementation and evaluation strategies

This water conservation plan has been developed to meet the requirements of the Management Plan and the IDWR Guidelines for the purpose of applying for future water rights or modifying existing water rights for the City of Coeur d'Alene, as necessary. The recommended components are discussed and addressed hereafter.

B1.2 Water System Profile

B1.2.1 Source Water

The Spokane Valley / Rathdrum Prairie aquifer is supplied by several large surface water sources, including Coeur d'Alene Lake, the Spokane River, Lake Pend Oreille, and Hayden Lake. Other small lake watersheds such as Hauser, Spirit, and Twin supply the balance of the surface water input to the aquifer. In an average year, precipitation also supplies the aquifer with one quarter of its recharge water. Of course, surface water flows and precipitation are subject to natural variations and will affect aquifer recharge rates. Detailed quantity, flow, and level analyses have been performed on both the Idaho and Washington side of the aquifer as part of the 2007 U.S. Geologic Surveys' "Bi-State" Study and are available on the IDEQ website.

The Spokane Valley/Rathdrum Prairie Aquifer is comprised of a thin layer of soil overlaying 200 to 400 feet of coarse sands and gravels. The alluvial material was deposited by Ice Age floods from Glacial Lake Missoula approximately 12,000 years ago. The 2007 "Bi-State" aquifer study completed by the U.S. Geologic Survey shows that annual estimated aquifer withdrawals are approximately 22 percent of estimated annual recharge for the aquifer. While adequate aquifer supply appears to exist, pressure has been building from conservation groups to reduce per capita consumption in order to maintain Spokane River flows and water quality.

The Spokane Valley/Rathdrum Prairie Aquifer is the largest source of drinking water within the City's hydrologic area. Treating water from the nearby Spokane River or other surface sources would remove water that recharges the aquifer. It is significantly more costly than continued use of groundwater and could also introduce minimum river flow constraints directly into water supply planning. As a result, it is assumed that the City will continue to use groundwater as its sole water supply.

B1.2.2 Existing Water Rights

The City currently has a total of 81.0 cfs in claimed groundwater rights and 16.78 cfs in claimed surface water rights. A summary of the rights and their priority dates is included in **Table B.1.1**.

Right Number	Priority	Amount (cfs)	Source	Nature of Use	Period of Use
95-2111	04/20/1955	3.00	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-2133	07/21/1960	2.27	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-2164	10/03/1964	3.61	Ground Water	Municipal	3/15 to 11/15
95-2198	12/13/1966	5.12	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-4322	10/31/1921	13.04	Surface Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-7142	05/03/1971	2.45	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-7181	03/14/1972	5.73	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-8565	12/07/1987	7.55	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-8647	03/19/1990	7.30	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-8672	08/27/1990	3.00	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-8938	02/08/1996	4.57	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-9007	01/25/1999	7.80	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-16580	5/14/2013	9.00	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
95-17815	5/5/2019	9.00	Ground Water	Municipal	1/1 to 12/31
Municipa	al Sub Total	70.4	Ground Water O	nly	
95-2131		8.0		Irrigation	
95-8262	2/14/1983	3.31	Surface Water	Fire Protection/Industrial	1/1 to 12/31
95-8716	9/11/1991	.03	Surface Water	Irrigation	04 /01 to 11/01
95-7216	10/19/1972	0.4	Surface Water	Irrigation	3/15 to 11/15
95-7096	3/10/1970	2.60	Ground Water	Irrigation	4/15 to 10/15
Other	Other Sub Total		Ground Water O	nly	
TOTAL		81.0	Ground Water O	nly	

Table B.1.1 - Summary of Water Rights

B1.2.3 Coeur d'Alene Water Service Boundary

The Coeur d'Alene Water Department serves an area that differs only slightly from the City limits. The existing water service boundary encompasses approximately 10,300 acres and is shown on **Figure B.1.1**. Most of the service area is relatively flat and is served by two main pressure zones and several smaller boosted zones. The perimeter of the service area along the east and south sides is more mountainous and is served by several relatively small pressure zones.

B1.2.4 Coeur d'Alene Population and Connections Served

Coeur d'Alene has been growing rapidly over the last decade. U.S. Census data indicates the City population in 2010 was 44,137 and grew to 54,628 in 2020, an increase of approximately 2.16 percent year-over-year.

The City Water Department service area differs slightly from the City boundary. The Water Department currently has 20,148 total metered connections, of which, 18,449 (2022 max month,

June) are identified as residential connections. Using the 2020 Census information of 2.40 persons per household, the population of the service area is approximately 55,836 people.

B1.2.5 Existing Facilities and Water Use Categories

The City is currently supplied by eleven groundwater wells. These wells and their relative capacities are shown in **Table B.1.2.**

Well	Capacity (gpm)
1. Atlas	4,150
2. 4 th Street	3,600
3. Hanley	3,600
4. Honeysuckle	2,000
5. Linden	3,200
6. Locust	2,800
7. Landings	3,450
8. Prairie	3,500
9. Annie	2,180
10. Huetter	4,300
11. Ralph Capaul	4,200
Total	36,980

Table B.1.2 – Wells and Capacities

The water use for the system has been divided into 13 key categories for planning purposes. The water use for each of these categories was evaluated and given a peak day usage designation in gallons per minute per acre (GPM/Ac). The key categories and land use designations are included in **Table B.1.3**.

Customer Type	Max Month (gpd)	Total AC Served	Demand/AC (gpm)
Assisted Living Facility	262,933	51	3.60
Church	239,867	93	1.79
Commercial	2,733,133	1,437	1.32
Hospital	108,300	16	4.80
Hotel	514,234	42	8.54
Industrial	41,533	37	0.79
Office	213,900	61	2.43
Open Space	978,967	289	2.35
Public	1,079,733	740	1.01
Residential – High Density	2,083,400	362	3.99
Residential – Low Density	15,636,670	3,828	2.84
Residential – Medium Density	2,105,366	522	2.80
Restaurant	471,366	79	4.16
School	1,149,266	266	3.01
Total	27,618,668	7,821	

Table B.1.3 - Categories and Land Use Designations

Historic monthly water use for the system from 2018 to 2022 is shown on **Figure B.1.2**. The trend line shown in the figure indicates there has been consistent water use over this period. The City water production is measured on an ongoing basis at the wells with flow meters. Individual services are all metered to accurately account for water sold. The service line meters are read monthly with a radio read metering system. For 2022, the total water produced was 4,656,703,350 and the total water sold was 4,097,693,000. The lost water was 12.0 percent for 2022. This unaccounted water total includes volumes for regular maintenance items such as hydrant flushing, street cleaning and other City maintenance issues. It is estimated that these maintenance uses account for about half of the unaccounted-for water.

To examine the difference between indoor and outdoor use, losses were also examined for summer months versus winter months. In 2022, the lost water over the year varied between 4 percent in July and 10 percent in January and February.

B1.2.6 Water System Growth and Planning Period

Growth of the water system is restricted on several sides due to adjacent water systems. Adjacent water purveyors exist on the north and west sides of the City along with one small private system within the City. Ross Point Water serves a large portion of the area north of Seltice and west of

Huetter, and the Hayden Lake Irrigation District (HLID) borders the City system to the north along Prairie Avenue. The south side of the system is bound by Coeur d'Alene Lake.

The majority of the expected growth in the City of Coeur d'Alene is generally progressing toward the east and south with infill in the northwest, as shown on **Figure B.1.1**. Specific areas of growth in the water system include:

- The northwestern portion of town as development fills in toward Prairie Avenue and Huetter
- The portion of town south of Seltice and north of the Spokane River, currently under development
- The area south of the Spokane River
- The area east of the existing City boundary in the foothills

There are also several relatively small areas within the future City water boundary serviced by smaller, independent water systems. These specific areas are Hoffman Water, the Kootenai County Fairgrounds, and the USFS Nursery. These water systems may become part of the City system in the future, increasing demands to the City water system. Build-out water demand projections include the incorporation of the USFS nursery and fairgrounds into the City for planning purposes. Hoffman Water is assumed to remain independent.

The equivalent served acreage for the City was estimated by comparing build-out water demands with current water usage for land use areas within the system boundary. Based on this equivalent area, it is assumed that there will be a significant increase in water demands within the current system boundary. The total serviceable area for the build-out scenarios of the water system is approximately 12,800 acres.

B1.2.7 Large Water Users

There were five water users identified as year-round large users when reviewing meter data from July 2022 through December 2022. These consistent large users were the Coeur d'Alene Resort, Metro Car Wash, Kootenai Health, Oak Crest Mobile Home Park, and Lake Villa Apartment. In December 2022, the total water use for these five facilities was 9,435,000 gallons, which was 7.28% percent of the total 129,637,000 gallons sold that month.

For the 2022 summer months, there were thirteen users that registered water uses greater than 3,000,000 gallons for the September reading. These users are listed in **Table B.1.4**.

Us	er	Water Use Gallons	Percent of Monthly Total (%)
1.	Courcelles Parkway Irrigation	8,304,000	0.91
2.	Oak Crest Mobile Home Park	5,301,000	0.58
3.	Coeur d'Alene High School	4,737,000	0.52
4.	Riverstone Irrigation	4,553,000	0.50
5.	Forest Cemetery Irrigation	4,291,000	0.47
6.	Coeur d'Alene Resort	3,855,000	0.42
7.	Metro Car Wash	3,830,000	0.42
8.	Home Depot	3,734,000	0.41
9.	Lake City High School Irrigation	3,712,000	0.41
10.	Bluegrass Park Irrigation	3,596,000	0.40
11.	Woodland Middle School Irrigation	3,480,000	0.38
12.	Canfield Park Irrigation	3,241,000	0.36
13.	Lake Villa Apartment	3,169,000	0.35
То	tal	55,803,000	6.14

Table B.1.4 – Highest Water Users

B1.3 System Demand Forecast

The anticipated increase in system demand for the water service area is accounted for by applying the growth rate of 2.5 percent for Coeur d'Alene to current peak day flow. Build-out demand for the system was estimated in conjunction with the City Planning Department using current zoning and water demand factors developed for major usage categories and applying these demands to the full build-out acreage. The growth rates were used to estimate approximate timing for service area build-out by projecting flows forward from today's MDD at a 2.5 percent increase. Future projects described in this Plan include dates for planning purposes; however, observed system demand is the more accurate and critical component to scheduling upgrades. The City's 2022-2042 Comprehensive Plan references KMPO's estimated 2040 population for the City to be approximately 85,000 people.

B1.3.1 Current and Future Water Consumption Demands

Water demands within the City are similar to nearby municipalities, with peak summer demands nearing three times the average daily demand (ADD). The terms below are typically used to define water consumption demands:

Average Day Demand (ADD): The average number of gallons of water consumed per day as calculated over the course of a year.

Maximum Day Demand (MDD): The maximum number of gallons of water used in one day as determined from well production records.

Peak Hour Demand (PHD): The maximum amount of water used in a one-hour period. This number is extrapolated from well production and tank level records.

Daily and hourly pumping records are kept at each well site. The maximum historical recorded maximum day demand on July 1, 2021, was 42.8 MG. The observed production has generally remained consistent, or slightly increased, from 2018 to 2022. Due to this consistent water use, an average of the observed peaks over the last five years has been used to develop a daily use for projections. The average maximum day from 2018 to 2022 is 39.02 MG, with an average MDD per capita water use of 714 gallons per capita per day. This value will be used in combination with the projected growth rate to develop future projected demands. **Table B.1.5** illustrates current and future water use within the City's water service area utilizing an annual growth rate of 2.5 percent.

	2023 (mgd)	2028 (mgd)	2033 (mgd)	Build-Out 2040 (mgd)
Average Daily Demand	14.11	16.0	18.1	20.5
Maximum Daily Demand	42.8	48.5	54.9	62.2
Peak Hour Demand	77.0	87.2	98.8	112.0

Table B.1.5 – City of Coeur d' Alene Current and Future Water Demand

The fluctuation in demands over a 24-hour period was developed during the 2012 Water System Comprehensive Plan Update using hourly SCADA information from the maximum day demand in 2011, taking into account pump run times, starts, and stops. The peak hour demand represents the highest rate of water use occurring in a one-hour period during the maximum day. Observed reservoir level fluctuations and pumping records indicate the PHD is approximately 1.8 times the MDD. This peak hour occurs at approximately 5:00 a.m., with a second lesser peak (1.2) at approximately 8:00 p.m. Demands above the base line show periods when equalization storage would be required if firm production capacity matched the peak day demand.

Domestic water use varies yearly primarily due to irrigation use. **Figure B.3** shows the maximum day pumping averages from 2018 through 2022. Comparing the average annual water demand of 14.1 mgd (9,800 gpm) to the maximum day demand of 42.8 mgd (29,700 gpm) yields a peaking factor of 3.0. This peaking factor is assumed to remain consistent through the planning period.

In addition to the domestic water use and irrigation, typical system demands include fire flow.

B1.4 Planned Facilities

In order to meet the projected build out demands new water supply sources will be required for the City in addition to other improvements outlined in The Comprehensive Plan Update. Four new, 4,000 gpm wells are required to be added to the system. **Table B.1.6** includes the projected supply improvements and the estimated date that the improvements will be required.

Improvement	Estimated Date of Construction	Recommended Pump Size gpm	Opinion of Capital Cost
<u>High Zone</u>			
New Well	2025	4,000	\$2,800,000
New Well	2035	4,000	\$2,800,000
New Well	2040	4,000	\$2,800,000
<u>General Zone</u>			
New well	2030	4,000	\$2,800,000

Table B.1.6 – Supply Improvements

B1.5 Conservation Goals

A. The City has implemented a number of conservation measures that have had an impact on water production. The following goals for the City are in accordance with Idaho Washington Aquifer Collaborative (IWAC)

The goals the City has selected are as follows:

- 1. Maintain a low unaccounted for water measurement. Industry standard for lost water is approximately 10 percent, and the City's monthly average for water loss is at or below industry standard. Maintaining or reducing this low value over the next few years is a goal for the City. The City plans to continue replacing aging or poor quality piping within the system to help maintain the unaccounted for water percentage below industry standards. The impact of this Plan can be measured from one year to the next. Keeping this value low and keeping the system in excellent condition will allow the City to minimize the lost water value from future demands.
- 2. Continue their public education program and promote IWAC efficient irrigation standards.
- 3. Continue to participate in xeriscaping promotions, including working towards creating a job position for a Conservation Specialist/Coordinator. The City will continue working towards a metering system with an App for customers to monitor their own usage in real time.

B1.6 Water Conservation Measures

This section includes a discussion of the conservation measures the City has implemented and is currently using.

B1.6.1 Water Rate Structure

The City of Coeur d'Alene implemented a block rate structure in 2008. This structure included a base rate and three blocks for usage for residential, non-residential and irrigation only users, with the upper block designed to discourage high water use. A summary of the residential rate structure for 2018 to 2023 is summarized in **Table B.1.7**. Rates begin April 1st of each year and are updated yearly. Rates for Non-Residential (Commercial) and Irrigation Only Users can be located on the City's Website.

Year	Block 1 (0-30,000 gal)	Block 2 (31,000 – 50,000 gal)	Block 3 (Over 50,000 gal)	Base Rate (3/4" Meter) ¹
2018	0.94	1.35	1.35	8.45
2019	0.95	1.37	1.86	8.78
2020	0.98	1.42	1.93	9.09
2021	1.01	1.47	2.00	9.41
2022	1.05	1.52	2.07	9.74
2023	1.09	1.57	2.14	10.08

Table B.1.7 - Residential Rate Structure

(1) Base Rates for additional meter sizes (i.e. 1", 1.5", 2" and etc.) can be located on the City's Website.

B1.6.2 Promoting Xeriscaping

The Water Department funded the installation of a Xeriscape demonstration garden adjacent to their Water Shop. The Department funded this project to demonstrate the look of native and low-water landscaping.

B1.6.3 Data Collection

Water production and sales are closely monitored by the City. Pumping data is collected daily, and water meters are read monthly. These data are used to monitor non-revenue water on a regular basis.

B1.6.4 Non-Revenue Water Control

The City has been reading meters on a monthly basis since 2005. The billing software identifies areas of unexpectedly high consumption, flagging a visit by City staff to determine if there is a leak on the customer's side of the meter. Since the meters are read monthly, this allows the City to rapidly identify any leaks and encourage repairs.

The City has replaced the larger meters within the system with compound meters that more accurately read both high and low flows to improve the reliability of the non-revenue water data. Smaller meters within the system were replaced during the conversion to radio read meter capabilities, increasing the overall accuracy of the system.

The City is in the process of installing several real-time monitoring meters throughout the system. This will allow City staff to monitor flow, pressure and temperature in real-time at several locations throughout the system using a web based program.

The City has an aggressive water main replacement program. The mains within the system are ranked based on age, size, and pipe material, with the oldest mains receiving a high replacement priority. Replacement of these mains helps minimize the length of leaky pipes within the system.

Any known leaks are immediately repaired.

The City is in the process of adding mag meters to all well sites to improve accuracy of overall production records. This will include real time reporting using the City's SCADA system. All mag meters are anticipated to be fully functional by 2024.

B1.6.5 Partnerships

The City actively participates in several local organizations including Idaho Washington Aquifer Collaborative (IWAC), Spokane Aquifer Joint Board (SAJB), and Spokane River Forum (SRF). These local organizations strive to encourage water conservation and protect the Spokane Valley / Rathdrum Prairie Aquifer.

The City coordinates with the local Parks Department to encourage water conservation and xeriscaping. They coordinate with local school districts including City of Coeur d'Alene School District, University of Idaho – Water Education Program and Idaho Water Education Foundation (IWEF).

B1.6.6 Public Outreach and Publicity

The City is obligated as part of "CDA 2030" to be the lead agency on conservation, meaning promoting water conservation within the local community. The City actively participates with the production and distribution of educational videos and materials through IWAC, SAJB and IWEF on various social media and public television channels.

Throughout the year, the City does tours of well sites for the local Coeur d'Alene School District science classes. They also attend local career fairs, providing education to local students on the aquifer and wells. The City has purchased the most recent aquifer maps to distribute to students and other members of the community.

City staff is continuing to work with the City Planning and Zoning department to discuss modifying the 10% required green space to include xeriscaping requirements or reduction in required percentage. City staff is working towards hosting a class on home irrigation care and sprinkler adjustments for their users.

B1.7 Effects of Conservation Measures

Prior to the 2012 Comprehensive Plan update, the following conservation measures were implemented:

- Block water rate structure for residential customers, which was implemented in 2008
- Monthly reading of water meters, which was implemented in 2005
- Funding of smart controllers which happened in 2008

The distinct impacts of these conservation measures were easily tracked when looking at water use over a period of years, as shown in the previous 2012 Comprehensive Plan Update. Following the 2012 Update, the City implemented the following conservation measures, in addition to the ones previously in place:

- Public outreach
- Partnerships with IWAC, SAJB, SRF, and IWEF
- Block water rate updates for all customers, including residential, non-residential and irrigation users.

To analyze potential impacts, the produced and sold water were both plotted on a per connection basis along with the average daily temperature for the month. This chart is included as **Figure B.1.1**.

City wide, the per capita water use in the peak months of July and August ranges from 488 to 622 over the previous five years (2018 to 2022). The trendline shown in **Figure B.1.1**, demonstrates a small amount of fluctuation in the per capita use over time.

B1.8 Expected Savings

While it is difficult to identify the impact on specific conservation measures, the United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) has provided benchmark data for what can be expected. Specific conservation measures and the expected savings for these measures are included in Appendix B of the USEPA Water Conservation Guidelines. A summary of the expected savings for some specific measures according to EPA is included in **Table B.1.8**.

Conservation Measure	Expected Reduction in Use (%)	Water Use Category Impacted
Universal Metering	20	All
10% Increase in residential rates	2-4	Residential
10% Increase in non-residential rates	5-8	Non-Residential
Increasing -block rate	5	All
Public Education	2-5	All
Outdoor Residential Use Audits	5-10	Residential
Large Landscape Water Audits	10-20	Non-Residential
Landscape Requirements for New Developments	10-20	All
Lawn Watering Guidelines	15-20	All
Low Water Use Plants	7.5	All

Table B.1.8 - USEPA Water Conservation Measure Benchmark Savings (1)

(1) USEPA Water Conservation Plan Guidelines Appendix B

The implementation of any or all of these measures would be expected to reduce or maintain peak water demand and not expected to drastically impact revenue from water sales.

B1.9 Future Conservation Measures

Conservation Goals: The City has three main conservation goals moving forward:

- (1) To maintain non-revenue water at a level below 10 percent
- (2) To reduce or maintain the peak day and peak month consumption to optimize the use of their existing supply capacity
- (3) Continue to participate in educational programs, data collection, non-revenue water control, and maintaining their partnership that promotes conservation. Reach a greater audience through social media and potentially hiring new staff to spearhead water conservation promotion.

In order to meet these goals, the City should continue with the water conservation measures they have been implementing, with continued focus on the following activities:

- Monitor meter reads to identify potential leaks at metered connections. Install real time
 meters that allow users to monitor their real time water usage via an App to encourage a
 better understanding of overall water use and catch leaks on irrigation systems quicker.
- Compare monthly pumped data with billed data to identify potential distribution system leaks.
- Regularly scheduled water main replacement
- Immediate leak repairs

- Continue use of smart controllers, especially for large irrigated spaces
- Partnerships with area groups such as IWAC, SAJB, SRF and IWEF
- Public education
- Block Rate Structure
- Coordination with Planning and Zoning

Some other measures the City may want to consider in the future are as follows:

- The use of reclaimed water for City irrigation
- Mandatory installation of moisture sensors for services that provide irrigation

Figure B.1.1 – Water System Planning Boundary





Figure B.1.2 - Per Capita Water Use vs Temperature



Figure B.3 - MDD vs. ADD Comparison (2018 to 2023)









J-U-B FAMILY OF COMPANIES
Appendix C

Well Data

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK



1 of 1

E		_	_				Office Use Only		
Form 238-7 6/02	IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RES	OUR	CES			Well ID	No		_
	WELL DRILLER'S REPOR	Т				Inspecte	ed by		-
1. WELL TAG NO.	D D0046175				,	Twp	RgeSec		
DRILLING PERMIT NO	850685					1	/4 1/4	1/4	
Water Right or Injection	Well No. 95-2133	12. V	VELLT	ESTS	:	Lat:	: : Long:	:	
		······	P	ump	Bailer	Air	Flowing Artesian		
2. OWNER:	O a a b b b c c c b c c c c c c c c c c		Yield gal./	/min.	Drawoowr	n	Pumping Level	Time	
Name <u>CIEV UI</u>	Coeur d Alene Water Dept								
City Coour d									
ony <u>cocur u</u>		Mator	Tomp	<u>_</u>			Batta a la fa		
3. LOCATION OF W	VELL by legal description:	Mater	Cuality.				Bottom hole	.emp	
You must provide addre	ess or Lot, Blk, Sub. or Directions to well.	water	Quality	resi oi	comments:		<u>.</u>		
Twp. <u>50</u>	North 🖾 or South 🗔	40.1		0010			Depth first Water End	ounter _	
Rge. 04	East or West Tx	13. L	ITHUL	UGIC	LUG: (Descrit	be repairs	or abandonment)	W	ater
Sec,	$\frac{1/4}{10 \text{ acres}} \frac{1/4}{10 \text{ acres}} \frac{1/4}{160 \text{ acres}} \frac{1/4}{160 \text{ acres}}$	Bore Dia.	From	То	Remarks: Li	ithology, Wa	ater Quality & Temperatur	, Y	N
Lat: : ·								·	+
Address of Well Site	4th Ave & Best Street				PERFORM	ED AC	UA FREED PR	OCES	25
	City Coeur d'Alene								
(Give at least name of road + Dista	Ince to Road or Landmark)			! .	Brushe	d all	screen sur	face	s
Lt DIK	OUD. INATHE								
				2.	Bailed	out	sediments		
4. USE:									
Domestic	X Municipal 🗌 Monitor 🗔 Irrigation			3,	Inject	ed 26	,700 lbs CO	2	<u> </u>
L. Thermal L	□ Injection I. Other							—	•
5. TYPE OF WORK	check all that apply (Beplacement etc.)			4.	Rebrus	hed a	<u>ll screen s</u>	urfa	ices
New Well	Modify CAbandonment 😿 Other			E	Pailad				
						UUU alau	sand & sedi	mets	
6. DRILL METHOD:					<u>rinai</u> (αερτη	300.5 It.	+	
I Air Rotary	Cable : Mud Rotary : Other			6.	Owner	to te	st pump wel	1	
7. SEALING PROCI	EDURES						<u> pamp ner</u>		· · · · · ·
Seal Material	From To Weight / Volume Seal Placement Method								
		·							
		1						.	
Was drive shoe used?	□ Y □ N Shoe Depth(s)								
Was drive shoe seal tes	ted? [Y]] N How?								
8 CASING/LINER					R	ECE	IVED	_	+
Diameter From	To Gauge Material Casing Liner Welded Threader	, <u> -</u>				· <u></u>			
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					i	MAR 0	4 2008		
		- +					~ 2000	_	+
					H	DWRA	lorth	-	+{
Length of Headpipe	Length of Tailpipe								+
Packer CY IIN	Туре							+	+- 1
					··				
Perforation Method							<u></u> .	<u> </u>	
Screen Type & Method c	of Installation								
From To S	lot Size Number Diameter Material Casing Liner								
		Com	pleted E	Depth	<u>306.5'</u>			Measura	able)
		Date	: Starte	ed <u>2</u> -	-15-08		Completed $2-2$	9-08	
		14. DI	RILLEE	R'S CE	BTIFICATION				
10. FILTER PACK		I/We c	ertify the	at all m	inimum well cons	struction st	andards were complied v	vith at th	e
Filter Material	From To Weight / Volume Placement Method	time th	ie rig wa	as remo	oved.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
·		Comp	any Nan	no Wa	ator Roc	10170m	· Corrigo		4
		oomp	any nan	ne <u>ne</u>	<u>icer nec</u>	<u>'Over</u> '	<u> </u>	NO. <u>3 6 4</u>	4
11. STATIC WATER L	EVEL OR ARTESIAN PRESSURE:	Princip	ai Drille	er <u>S</u> C	ott Bar	ratt	Date <u>2 - 2</u>	9-01	8
185 ft. below ground	Artesian pressurelb.	and Drillor	or Oner	ator II	1. 1	R	A 100 21	201	20
Depth flow encountered	ft. Describe access port or control devices:	Shilo	o oper		7 cur	m		~// 6	47_
		Operat	ior I	~			Date		
GON 41	NT			One	rator I must have	and Rig Op e signature	perator <i>Hequired</i> .		
			теро	EROU	DOEC				

Forevariate and Rig Operator Required. Operator I must have signature of Driller/Operator II. FORWARD WHITE COPY TO WATER RESOURCES



Annie Pump Curve

CHAPTER 2 - ANNIE AVENUE WELL HOUSE

2.1 Description

The Annie Avenue Well House is located on Annie Avenue just west of 3rd Street at the end of a cul-de-sac. The Annie Avenue well site was purchased by the City from the Seventh-Day Adventist Church in January 2004. The Annie Avenue well serves the City's lower pressure zone and is operated based on water level in the Tubbs Hill tanks. Figure 1-1 shows the location of the Annie well in relation to the other City wells, while Figure 2-1 shows the existing site plan. Water leaves the well house through a 16-inch ductile iron main, then enters a 16-inch tee that delivers water to the east and the west through 12-inch PVC mains. The main to the west connects to another 12-inch main shown in Figure 2-1 in Ironwood Drive. A second 12-inch main extends east where it ties into an existing 12-inch main that runs north-south in 4th Street.

2.2 Annie Avenue Well Pump and Motor

The Annie Avenue well pump was supplied by H_2O Well Service and manufactured by Sterling Fluid Systems, Inc.

H₂O Well Service	Sterling Fluid Systems, Inc.
Jim Johnston	2005 Dr. Martin Luther King Jr. Street
582 W. Hayden Avenue	PO Box 7026
Hayden, ID 83835	Indianapolis, IN 46207-7026
Phone: 208-772-4004	Phone: 371-925-9661
FAX: 208-772-4892	FAX: 371-924-7388

The Annie Avenue well pump is a Peerless Model 16 HXB, 5-stage, vertical line shaft rated at 2500 gpm at 429 feet TDH. The serial number is 635702A and the impeller number is 4601399-023. The motor is a premium efficiency 350 HP unit manufactured by U.S. Motors.

A variable frequency drive (VFD) controls the speed of the 350 hp motor and in turn controls the amount of water pumped. The pump ramps to full frequency at each call to start from the Tubbs Hill tanks. The frequency lowers as the tanks reach their maximum levels. The minimum frequency set point utilized is 48 Hz, which produces approximately 900 gpm. The pump curves are included in the manufacturer's O&M Manual. The pump curve shown in **Figure 2-2** shows the approximate system performance based on well drawdown and distribution system data. The figure also shows pump operation at several points based on different percentages of full speed.

2.3 Operations—Annie Avenue Pumping System

Operation of the Annie well pump begins when a signal from the City's telemetry system indicates a pump-start elevation has been reached in the Tubbs Hill tanks. The

			Office Use O	nly	
6/02 IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESC	DURCES		Well ID No		-
WELL DRILLER'S REPORT			Twp Rae	Sec	-
1. WELL TAG NO. D DO33505 HECEIVED)		1/4 1/4 _	1/4	
Water Bight or Injection Well No. No 1/ 9/ 2 SEP 3D 2004	12. WELL TESTS:		Lat: : : Long	: : :	
Water right of hijection went to.	X Pump	Bailer	Air Flowing A	rtesian	
2. OWNER: DWR/North	Yield gal./min.	Drawdown	Pumping Level		
Name CITY OI COEUR DATANE	2300		200	1 nr	<u>.</u>
City Coeur D Alem State Z/ Zip 83815					
	Water Temp.	•	Bottor	n hole temp.	
3. LOCATION OF WELL by legal description:	Water Quality test or	comments:	queloty -good		
Twp. \underline{SON} North \Box or South \Box (\mathcal{D})			Depth first Wa	ter Encounter	<u>250</u>
Rge. <u>4</u> W East □ or West □ V	13. LITHOLOGIC L	OG: (Describ	e repairs or abandonment) w	/ater
Sec. <u>12</u> , <u>SW</u> 1/4 <u>10 acres</u> 1/4 <u>10 acres</u> 1/4	Bore Dia. From To	Remarks: Li	hology, Water Quality & Tem	perature Y	N
Lat: : :/Long: /: :	30 0 60	Browns	, Hy sund + Gr	mel	K
Address of Well Site 3 stand Anne Ave		.,9			+
(Give at least name of road + Distance to Road or Landmark) City Cocor & Alence	29 60 65	Browns	11 Hy Samt Gra	ul	X
Lt Blk Sub. Name	24 105-117	Those h	rawn Sound 4 n		4
		10-5-3	1 2001 211-101 -	/ /	
4. USE:	74" 117 180	loss bro	wn sund grav	2	X
Domestic 🗙 Municipal 🛛 Monitor 🗆 Irrigation		1066/2	<u> </u>		
□ Thermal □ Injection □ Other	7/ 14 150 225	1			-6
5. TYPE OF WORK check all that apply (Replacement etc.)	24 180 23	brown	and some go	wa	
New Well 🗌 Modify 🗌 Abandonment 🗌 Other	24" 235 250	brown-9	vau sand a si	14	X
6. DBILL METHOD:					,
Air Rotary Cable Mud Rotary Other	24 250 33	brown C	Ourse sand se	ome X	
		grang			
7. SEALING PROCEDURES		<u>,</u>	A		
Bentonity 060 8 15 tremin					
			<u>0</u> E		
Was drive shoe used? $X Y \square N$ Shoe Depth(s) <u>30</u>			10, 01	· E	
was drive shoe seal tested? U Y IX N How?			- WRA	04	
8. CASING/LINER:			OTH		
Diameter From To Gauge Material Casing Liner Welded Threaded					
27 72 201 30 3709					
Length of HeadpipeLength of Tailpipe					
Packer AY UN Type <u>Neoprene</u> 293					_
9. PERFORATIONS/SCREENS PACKER TYPE					
Perforation Method Zay Store Lice					+
From To Slot Size Number Diameter Material Casing Liner					
303 343 60 22" Stainles	Completed Depth	353		(Measura	able)
	Date: Started 2	14104	Completed	4/20/0	,4
	14. DRILLER'S CE	RTIFICATION			
Filter Material From To Weight / Volume Recomment Mathematical	I/We certify that all mi	inimum well con wed	struction standards were co	mplied with at th	he
N/A Promition Pracement (viethood		/ IL A	11		- ~ /
	Company Name	-	Wing Inc	Firm No	,76
11. STATIC WATER LEVEL OR ARTESIAN PRESSURE:	Principal Driller	versen	Date	e	
t. below ground Artesian pressurelb.	and Driller or Operator II		Data	e	
Depth flow encountered		1.7.		DIAL	
	Operator I	Principal Driller	and Rig Operator Bequired	3 <u> </u>	24

Coperator I must have signature of Driller/Operator II.



Atlas Pump Curve

WORTHINGTO



Atlas Well



COEUR D'ALENE/ATLAS P.S. IMP. R. BEIELER/YKM

B22914A SEA and the second

No. And

The second

Eller .

and the second se

. And the second

Stratiget

and the second

Deschierty

A Manual A

internet.

COLUMN SHAFT DATA

Column Size	14"
Type Column Couplings	THREADED
Lineshaft Size	23/16
Lineshaft Insert Material (SLEEVE)	18-8 55
Lineshaft Coupling Material	STEEL
No. Lineshaft Bearing Spacers	25
Lineshaft Bearing Materials	Rubber
Lineshaft Bearing Description	SLEEV E
Lineshaft Bearing Spider Material	CAST IRON

DISCHARGE HEAD

Manufacturer	WORTHINGTON
Model No.	F-2416
Guide Bearing Material	BRONZE
Guide Bearing Lubrication	Pumped FLUID
Guide Bearing Type	SLEEVE
Weight	12.70 #

THRUST BEARING

Manufacturer	U.S. MOTOR
Manufacturer's No.	5KF-29430-MC
Max. Total Thrust at Guar. Point	14757 LBS
Rating Life in Hours	MIN. 50,000 HRS

MOTOR

Manufacturer	U.S MOTOR
Model No. and Type	5808 PH HU

B22914.A1 VERTICAL TURBINE PUMPS Atlas Well .

COEUR D'ALENE/ATLAS P.S. IMP. R. BEIELER/YKM

IC. 'E

е)[3.

Nominal HP	600
Power Factor at Guar. Point	
Weight	3600
Overall Efficiency at Guar. Point	94.6 motor
Heater Rating - Watts	NONE
Max. Diameter	39 1/2

The following data are the actual performance characteristics of the pump proposed for installation as specified, but the quantity, head, horsepower, and efficiency at points other than the guarantee point proposed are not guaranteed.

OPERATING CHARACTERISTICS

Quantity GPM	Total Head Feet	Hp Demand by Pump	Efficiency Percent
0 (Shutoff)	791	580	ð
1500	651	493	50.0
3000	525	513	77,5
<u>4006</u> (Guar.)	420	527	80.5
<u>4700</u> *	287 INCLUDES COLUMN	512 FRICTION AND SHA	Lolo.5 FT H.P. Losses
COMBINATION RIGHT	-ANGLE GEAR DRIVE	DATA	
Manufacturer		AN	ARILLO
Model No.			A-600
RPM 175	Speed 1	Ratio (Drive - Drive	en) \.\
Rated HP			600
AGMA Service Fact	or		1.5
B22914.A1 11004	13	VERTICAL	SEPT 1987 TURBINE PUMPS

ÚSE TYPEWRITER OR BALL POINT PEN State law requires that this report be	of Idah ater Ad ER'S filed v	io Iminis 5 RE vith the	tration EPOF		0 E V	7E n /	
within 30 days after comple 1. WELL OWNER Name / DAHO WATER CO. Address COEUR D'ALENE IDAHO	tion or 7. V S F T	abando VATER Itatic wa Iowing Cempera	LEVEL ater leve ?	of the well. Department 1.231 feet below land su 2.5 A No G.P.M. flow 2.6 F. Quality <u>Goor</u> n pressurep.s.i.	n of Waler Adm Irface	inistra	ati ca
Owner's Permit No. 95-7142 2 NATURE OF WORK 95-71-N-39				□ Valve □ Cap	🗆 Plug		
Sr New well Deepened Deplacement	2	(Pump	0 0	Bailer Other			
Abandoned (describe method of abandoning)	5		<u>G.P.M.</u>	Draw Down 19.5 FT 23.2 FT	//		1
3. PROPOSED USE	9. 1	ITHOL	-ÒGIC I	-OG	<u>]</u>		
🕱 Municipal 🗆 Industrial 🗆 Stock	Hole Diam.	De From	apth To	Material		Wa Yes	ater No
4. METHOD DRILLED	20	0	255	SAND + GRAVEL	15 MINUS		X
	20	<u>255</u> 315	315	SAND + GBAUEL	1" MINUS	X	-
A Cable Catory Dug Other	50	340	350	JANDY CLAY C	RLUS)	F	X
5. WELL CONSTRUCTION		·					
Diameter of hole <u>20</u> inches Total depth <u>350</u> feet Casing schedule: ⊠ Steel □ Concrete							
20 inches 375 inches 42 feet 278 feet							
inches inches feet feet							
inches inches feet feet		Ì		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		—	
inches inches feet feet						<u> </u>	
Was a packer or seal used? 🛛 😫 Yes 🗆 No					•	<u> </u>	
Perforated? Ves X No How perforated? Factory Knife Torch							
Size of perforation inches by inches			<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
Number From To							·
perforations feet feet				·		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
perforations feet feet							
Well screen installed?							
Manufacturer's name <u>U.O.P. JOHNSON</u> Type <u>STAINLESS</u> Model No. PIRE SIZE 18 ¹¹			<u> </u>				
Diameter 10 Slot size 50 Set from 200 feet to 340 feet						<u> </u>	+
Jumeter Slot size Set from feet to feet			-				
Gravel packed? Yes X No Size of gravel						<u> </u>	
riaceu irom teet to feet				U	C33		
Surface seal? X Yes I No To what depth <u>20</u> feet Material used in seal X Cement grout I Puddling clay				· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
6. LOCATION OF WELL							<u> </u>
Sketch map location must agree with written location.	10. W	ork stai	rted J	<i>TUNE</i> 30 -71 finished	Aug 19 -	·7/	_
	11. D T tr	RILLE his well rue to th	R'S CE was dri he best c	RTIFICATION lled under my supervision an of my knowledge.	nd this report i	s T	1
County KOOTENAL		401 riller's or E 34	1 A N r Firm's N 7/0	DRILLING CORF lame 9774 AUE Spakan	ο /0ξ Numb <u>ε ων</u>	er	_
Gout. Lot 1 OF SEC. 4 Township Soll. Rance 4 W 1/4 1/4 Sec, T N/S, R E/W		ddress Zuw gned By	es .	S. Holman	SEPT 3 Date	-7	Z

USE ADDITIONAL SHEETS IF NECESSARY FORWARD THE WHITE, BLUE, AND PINK COPIES TO THE DEPARTMENT

COEUR D'ALENE/ATLAS P.S. IMP. R. BEIELER/YKM

.

1000

atral Rec.

B22914A SEA

ATLAS WELL

PUMP DATA SHEET NO. 1 VERTICAL TURBINE PUMPS

BIDDER'S NAME	DATE			
PUMP DATA				
RPM	1775			
Manufacturer	WortHington			
Model No.	1544410			
No. of Stages				
Nominal Size of Bowls	. 15″			
Max. OD of Bowls	14 1/z			
Overall Length Bowl Section	169.38			
Pump Shaft Diameter	2 1/4			
Pump Shaft Material	416 35			
No. of Pump Bearings	8			
Pump Bearing Material	BRONZE			
Description of Pump Bearings	SLEEVE			
Pump Bowl Catalog No.	15 HH			
Pump Bowl Material	C.I.			
Pump Bowl Lining	PORCELAIN ENAMEL			
Impeller Type (Open, Closed)	CLOSED			
Impeller No.	1544410			
Impeller Material	BRONZE			
Type Lubrication	PUMPEd FLUID			
HP Demand at Guar. Point	527			

Hanley Pump Curve

STERLING

Sterling Fluid Systems (USA), Inc

P O Box 7026, Indianapolis, Indiana, 46202

Customer: Welch Comer 1626 Lincoln Way Couer d' Alene, ID

83814

Contact : Steve Cordes Fax: 208-664-9382 Hanley Well for the city of Couer d' Alene Phone : 208-664-5946 Project : Date : Tuesday, January 21, 2003 US-6965-11 Page No : 1 Quote No. : Pump Model: Peerless Vertical - 16HXB 5 Stages 1770 RPM, 60 Hz Electric Nom. Speed: Vertical Turbine Pump Market : 4601399 Impeller No.: Material Spec. Group: A - B: CIE; I: Brz = Standard 3500 US gpm Flow rate Q: Fluid: Water 1 Item : Bowl Total Head: 440 ft Your Ref. : 85 % Efficiency : 1.007 cSt Viscosity: Power Required : 469.69 hp Sp. Gravity: 1 **NPSH Required :** 23.788 ft Performance curve according to Hyd Inst-Peerless Std 800 -80 700 ~ % 600 ين -60 Efficiency -lead -500 400 40 300 200 -20 100 ين 30 - HSUN 20 10 Power - hp 400 200 4,500 5,000 3.000 3,500 4,000 1,500 2.000 2,500 500 1,000 0 Flow - US gpm

Comments

Refer to factory for all single point bowl performance guarantees. Pumps must be selected with Hydraulic Institute-Peerless Std. See Std Hydraulic Performance document in RAPID for testing tolerances & contractual guarantees.

	Flow (US gpm)	Head (ft)	Pump Efficiency (%)	Power Required (hp)	NPSH Required (ft)	Thrust (Ib)	
	0.0	702.51	0.0	360.45		17745.65	
	534.7	665.07	23.9	376.21		15724.84	
	1069.5	626.24	43.0	392.96		14223.36	
	1604.2	587.06	58.2	408.37		12977.86	
	2138.9	549.35	70.0	424.07		11693.23	
	2673.6	513.39	78.4	442.10	20.34	10178.14	
	3208.4	475,59	83.5	461.26	22.01	8348.87	
1	3743.1	426.12	85.1	473.50	25.77	6192.16	
-	4277.8	346.62	81.3	460.29	33.20	3765.26	

Sterling Fluid Systems (IP) by - RAPID v6.20 - 13th May 2002.

Hanley Well





Honeysuckle Well MAY- 9-97 FRI 14:35 H20 WELL SERVICE

2087724892

1.18

GOULDS PUMPS, INC. - WTG TURBINE DIVISION HYDROSTATIC TEST CERTIFICATION

HONEY SUCICLE WELL

CUSTOMER:	H20 WELL SERVICE	S.O. NUMBER:	385209
P.O. NUMBER:	18209	DATE:	05/07 /97
PUMP MODEL:	14RJMC	CUST. SPECS:	YES
PUMP TYPE:	DWT	WITNESSED:	NO

Goulds Pumps, Inc. certifies that the parts or assemblies listed below have been hydrostatically tested to the pressures and length of time indicated, in accordance with the Goulds Pumps Hydrostatic Test Procedure, QA SPI-40135, or the Customer Specification below.

PART	OTY	PART NUMBER	MATERIAL	PSI	TIME
INTERBOWL	1	C00880B 01	6911	425	5 MIN
INTERBOWL	4	C00880B 03	6911	425	5 MIN

CERTIFIED BY:

RAYMOND LEWIS

F.04

P. A/A

Form 238-7 6/93	42	Canil
Fórin 238-7 6/93		

City_COEUR D'ALENE

2. OWNER:

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES

WELL DRILLER'S REPORT suffer changed

400

16

ID_{Zip} 83814

State

Use Typewriter

		•			
0. V	VELL	TESTS:			

Department of Water Resources

🗆 Pump	🗀 Bailer	🗆 Air	Flowing A	Artesian
Yield gal./min.	Drawdov	vn	Pumping Depth	Time
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Temperature of wa	ater Was	a water ana	alysis done? Ye	s 🗋 No 🗇
By whom?				
Water Quality (odd	or, etc.)			

Bottom Hole Temperature_

11. STATIC WATER LEVEL:

ft. below surface	Depth artesian flow found
Artesian pressure	_lb. Describe access port
Describe Controlling Dev	ices:

12. LITHOLOGIC LOG: (Describe repairs or abandonment)

				and the second se		
Bore Dia.	From	То	Remarks: Litholog	y, Water Quality & Temperature	GPM	SWL
24	0	338	PLUGGED B	OTTOM OF WELL		
			INSTALLED	TREMIE PIPE TO 338		
			AND PUMPED	IN CEMENT GROUT		
			5% BENTONI	TE TO 334'		
			PULLED TRE	MIE PIPE AND		
			COVERED WE	LL HEAD.		
						[
					I	
				RE	L	
R	ΕO	E	VED		i	ļ
				MA TEL	ļ	<u> </u>
	UG	1 1	1994			
Trane	uimar	i cá tá	FIRE FIREOUTCES		 	
nohe				A State of the second sec	<u> </u>	
					<u> </u>	
				Children and a state	\	
					$\left \cdot \right $	
	tr:=	V			+	
	ĥ	in ann	G Kong & K Corry & W			
		S line	Sx9 Print & Part & M	A WHETHERIN REGIU	P	
	A E			DWR DWR	and the second second	· ·
	2 2	SI.	1 1 2 / 1993 -	Harriston and State		<u> </u>
	1	1.140	C SARDOR & ROMANNA AND	THE THE AR		
	E C	13071	10Wn	PROVINCE PRESS	F	
	¥ ,	· · · · ·	and when when you are also the provide strategy of			
				MAY 0 8 100-		
Det		- 	7/12/93	Completed 7/12/9	<u>,</u>	
Dat	e: 5/a	nea -	/ 126/ 23	Completed/_12/ 9	<u> </u>	

13. DRILLER'S CERTIFICATION

I/We certify that all minimum well construction standards were complied with at the time the rig was removed.

Firm Name_	PONDEROSA	DRILLIN	G	Fi	rm No228	
Firm Official	When	Baar	d	Date	7/20/93	
and Supervisor o	W. SCOTT B	ARRATE	MM	Date	7/20/93	
	(9)01	once if Firm Offic	cial & Operator)	RON	LINNEMON	
	ESOURCES	/				

3. LOCATION OF WELL by legal description:

Name CITY OF COEUR D'ALENE Address C 1306 HANLEY

1. DRILLING PERMIT NO. 95 - 90 - N -Other IDWR No. 95 - 08647

Sketch map location must agree with written location.

	N	1			$\mathcal{D}^{-} p^{-2}$					
	×		 т	്റ് 51	North	ž	or	South		
w	 		ER	4 35	– East NE	 	or NW	West	ĕ	1/4
			Gov't	Lot	_ County_	ROO	TÊNAI	- 16	0 acres	

Address of Well Site	<u>a 1306 hai</u>	NLEY					
COEUR D'AL	ENE, ID 8	83814					
(Gi	ve at least Direction +	Distance to Road or	Landmark)				
Lot NoBlo	ock No	_Subd. Name					
4. PROPOSED	USE:						
Domestic	🛿 Municipal	Monitor	Irrigation				
🗆 Thermal	🗋 Injection	Other					
5. TYPE OF WO	DRK						
🗋 New Well 🛛 🛛	🗴 Modify or Rep	air 🗆 Replacen	nent 🛛 🗆 Abandonment				
6. DRILL METHOD							
Mud Rotary	Air Rotary	🗆 Cable	X Other AUGER				

7. SEALING PROCEDURES

SEAL/FILTER PACK		AMOUNT	METHOD	
Material	From	То	Sacks or Pounds	
CEMENT/BENTONITI	: 33	83	34 9	GROUT PUMP &
5%				TREMIE PIPE

Was drive shoe seal tested? Y NO How?_

8. CASING/LINER:

Diameter	From	То	Guage	Casting	Liner	Steel	Plastic	Welded	Threaded		
							Ü				
Final locat	Final location of shoes										

Bottom Tailpipe

Top Packer or Headpipe_

9. PERFORATIONS/SCREENS

Perforations	Method	
Screens	Туре	Material

From	То	Slot Size	Number	Diameter	Tele/Pipe Size	Casting	Liner
<u> </u>							
50	N	J	35	51) 4,	د	

FORWARD WHITE COPY T

Honeysuckle Well

1.12

PSI

RPM

GPM

TDH

HP

MAY 2397 FRI 14:33 H20 WELL SERVICE GOULDS FUMPS, INC. - WTG TURBINE DIVISION PERFORMANCE TEST RESULTS

2087724892

F.02 P.214

CUSTOMER: H2O WELL SERVICE P.O. NUMBER: 18209 8.0. NUMBER: 385209 ITEM: HONEY SUCKLE PUMP STATION DATE 05/08/97 CONDITIONS **PUMP NUM:** 1 SP. GR: 1.00 BOWL TDHI PUMP TYPE 375.0 DWT VISC. SSUL 32 FUMP TDH: PUMP MODELI 0.0 14RJMC WATER TEMP F 66 REQ GPMI STACES: 2000 5 WITNESSED: N MAX. GPMI IMP. MATL 2600 1102 EFFICIENCY:% 1ST IMP, DIA: 84.5 9.82 1\$T IMP. QTYI 5 TEST RPM: 2ND IMP. DIAL 1185 0.00 2ND IMP. QTY: D TEST MOTORI CUST RPM: 150 1770 TEST LINE: CUST HP: 250 TESTED BY RAYMOND LEWIS CURVE RPMI 1770 **READINGS DURING TEST** POINTS 1 2 3 4 8 6 1 115.2 95.9 90.3 83.1 72.0 58.6 44.8 DISCH. FT. 266.11 221.53 208,59 191.96 166,32 135.37 103.49 ELEY. FT. 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 5.00 VEL. FT. 0.00 0.14 0.23 0.34 0.47 0.62 0.79 PIPE FRIC. 0.00 0.01 0.01 0.02 0.03 0.03 0.04 "ORQUE 90.15 118,05 130.20 138.00 140.55 139.20 134.70 PUTKW 36.45 46.60 50.95 53,80 \$4.63 54.15 52,43 MPERES 63.40 76.10 82.70 84.60 \$5.20 \$6,40 84.60 VIBRATION TEST DATA RECORDED AT TEST RPM 1197 1196 1196 1195 1195 1195 1195 0 743 . 946 1148 1350 1553 1755 271.1 226.7 213.8 197.3 171.8 141.0 109.3 43.7 57.1 63.0 66.7 68.0 67.3 65.1 PUMP EFF 0.0 74.5 81.1 85.7 86.2 32.2 74.4

B B 4		TEST DATA C	ONVERTED T	O CUSTOMER	RPM		
H.PM	1770	1770	1770	1770	1770	1770	1970
TTM	0	1100	1400	1700	2000	 2300	
1 L/M	592.8	496.5	458.3	432.9	376.9	309.4	230 3
ele Plure ence	141.2	185.2	204.2	216.8	220.8	219.7	2116
I OTHE ELFE	0.0	74.5	81.1	85.7	86.2	82.2	74,4

CERTIFIED TEST RESULTS BY Jon Halling TITLE Engineer). May 9,1997

ଭ GOULDS PUMPS TEXAS DIVISION

	DED	ΟÞ.	г		1		by Use C	JUIA		
JAN 1.5 (997			l		Two	ectea	oy Bae	Sec		
NORT AND		•	- 09	97117		1/4	1/4	_000_ 1/4		
DRU L RUS BERMIT NO. 95-96- N -7/	. 11. V	NELL	. TES	TS:	Lat:	: :	Long:	:	:	
Dther IDWR No. 73-08938		≱ Pi	ump	🗆 Bailer	L] Air		Flowing	Artesian		_
2. OWNER:	Y	ield gal./	min.	Drawdow	n 	Pump	ing Level		Time	-
Varie Z/12 OF GOEDR & ALENE		7 <u>000</u> 7000	, 	201	<u>, "</u>	<u>- 200</u> 211	/0 ///"		<u>2 11</u> 1 11	<u>K</u> 12
Dity COEUR d'ALENE State / D Zip 838/4		2500	<u>, </u>	34'0	, "	22	5 6"	1 6	3 11	ק .
	Wate	r Tem)	51°			Bottom	hole tem	p5	7
3. LOCATION OF WELL by legal description:	Wate	r Qual	ity test	or comments:	6	000				
Sketch map location must agree with written location.					De	pth first	Water End	countere	d 24	37
<u>N</u>	12. L			IC LOG: (D	escribe	repairs	or aband	onment)	Wa	ater
Two. 57/ North X or South	Bore Dia.	From	То	Remarks: Lith	nology, V	/ater Qua	ality & Tem	perature	Y	N
Rge. <u>_</u> 4East □ or West ⊠	30	0	20	SAND +	GRA	Vel	3" Mu	148		X
Sec. <u>36</u> , <u>3E</u> 1/4 <u>SE</u> 1/4 <u>SW</u> 1/4	24	20	65	SAND +	GRA	IEL	4" M.	100		X
Gov't Lot County 160 acres	24	65	148	SAND HE	d + 6	NAVEL	. /" Mu	NLV.S	ļ	X
S Address of Andress S	24	148	165	SAND ME	<u> 50 70</u>	FINE -				Ŕ
+ 4/74 ST City Company Allower	24	193	207	SANDY C	- <u>117</u> CLAV	<u>. 1917/</u> 171	ν		┢┈	贷
(Give at least name of road + Distance to Road or Landmark)	24	207	210	SAND 1		3 FIN	<u> </u>	·······	X	ſ`
tBlkSub. Name	24	210	224	SAND +	GRA	VEL -	5 " Mu	103	X	
	24	224	230	SAND +	GRA	IEL -	2" MIN	<u>us</u>	X	L
I. USE:	24	230	222	SAND +	<u>- G-13A</u>	UEL -	<u> ぎ" Min</u>	105	Η Υ	
☐ Domestic X Municipal ☐ Monitor ☐ Irrigation	24	202	<u>c 71</u> 272	SNAD -	COAR	<u>86</u> 161 - J	- * M		₩.	\vdash
	24	273	775	SAND -AM	E JO	ACL 72			╞╌	X
New Well Modify Abandonment Cother Other	24	27.5	293	SANDY	CLAY -	GRI				ÍX X
	24	293	300	SANDY	CLAY	- 1312	K			X
Air Rotary 🖾 Cable 🗆 Mud Rotary 🗆 Other			ļ	<u> </u>					L	Ľ
									1	
					_					
7. SEALING PROCEDURES	,								—	
7. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK AMOUNT METHOD Material From To Backs or Pounds							······································			
AMOUNT METHOD SEAL/FILTER PACK AMOUNT METHOD Material From To Sacks or Pounds Material From To Sacks or Pounds METHOD NEAT CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMP: CHSING							· · · ·			
SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK AMOUNT METHOD Material From To Sacks or Pounds METHOD MEAT CEMENT 0 20 3 yps TEMP, CHS(NG)										
SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK AMOUNT METHOD Material From To Sacks or Pounds NEAT CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMP: CHSING							· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK AMOUNT METHOD Material From To Sacks or Pounds METHOD MEAT CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMP: CHS(NG- Nas drive shoe used? X Y \square N Shoe Depth(s) 220 Mas drive shoe used? X Y \square N How?										
SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK AMOUNT METHOD Material From To Sacks or Pounds NEAT CEMENT O 20 TEMP: CHS(NG Nas drive shoe used? X □ N Shoe Depth(s) 228 Nas drive shoe seal tested? □ Y 本 How? B. CASING/LINER: Casing / Line Casing / Line Casing / Line										
SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK AMOUNT METHOD Material From To Sacks or Pounds MEAT CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMP: CHS(NG MEAT CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMP: CHS(NG Material From O 20 3 yes TEMP: CHS(NG Mass drive shoe used? X N Shoe Depth(s) 278 Was drive shoe seal tested? Y X How? Backston B. CASING/LINER: Diameter From To Gauge Material Casing Liner Weided Threaded										
SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK AMOUNT METHOD Material From To Sacks or Pounds METHOD MEAT CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMP: CHS(NG: MEAT CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMP: CHS(NG: Mean Shoe Depth(s) 228 28 Was drive shoe seal tested? Y IN Shoe Depth(s) 228 Statistical colspan="2">CASING/LINER: Diameter From To Gauge Material Casing Liner Weided Threaded Diameter From To Gauge Material Casing Liner Weided Threaded										
SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK AMOUNT METHOD Material From To Sacks or Pounds Pounds MERT CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMp: CHS(NG- MERT CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMp: CHS(NG- Nas drive shoe used? Y N Shoe Depth(s) 278 Vas drive shoe seal tested? Y N How? Casing Liner Welded Threaded Diameter From To Gauge Material Casing Liner Welded Threaded 22 206 216 375 $5TEFL$ a a a										
SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK AMOUNT METHOD Material From To Sacks or Pounds MEAT CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMP: CHS(NG: MEAT CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMP: CHS(NG: MEAT CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMP: CHS(NG: Was drive shoe used? Y N Shoe Depth(s) 2 28 Vas drive shoe seal tested? Y N How? Casing Liner Welded Threaded Diameter From To Gauge Material Casing Liner Welded Threaded 22 21 21 375 STEFL M M Image: Casing Liner C										
SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK AMOUNT METHOD Material From To Pounds Pounds MEAT CEMENT 0 20 3 yos TEMP: CHS(MG Was drive shoe used? Y N Shoe Depth(s) 228 Vas drive shoe seal tested? Y N How? Casing Liner Weided Threaded B. CASING/LINER: Casing Liner Weided Threaded Casing Liner Weided Threaded 22 27/ 29/ 375 STEEL Casing Liner Weided Threaded ength of Headpipe /// 29/ 375 STEEL Casing Liner Casing Liner Casing Liner Casing Liner Casing Liner Casing										
SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK AMOUNT METHOD Material From To Sacks or Pounds MEAT CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMP: CHS (NG MEAT CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMP: CHS (NG MEAT CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMp: CHS (NG Material From O 20 3 yes TEMp: CHS (NG Nas drive shoe used? X IIII N Shoe Depth(s) 270 Nas drive shoe seal tested? Y IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII										
SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK AMOUNT METHOD Material From To Sacks or Pounds MEAT CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMP: CHS(NG: MEAT CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMP: CHS(NG: MEAT CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMP: CHS(NG: Material From To 20 3 yes TEMP: CHS(NG: Was drive shoe used? Y N Shoe Depth(s) 278 Was drive shoe seal tested? Y N How? 3. Sch CASING/LINER: Diameter From To Gauge Material Casing Liner Weided Threader 22" 206" 216" 375 STEFL M M Image: Casing Liner Weided Threader 22" 206" 21.6" 375 STEFL M M Image: Casing Liner Weided Threader 22.01 21.6" 375 <	Con			2.91					surat	
SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK AMOUNT METHOD Material From To Sacks or Pounds MEAT CEMIENT O 20 3 yos TEMP: CHSING MEAT CEMIENT O 20 3 yos TEMP: CHSING MEAT CEMIENT O 20 3 yos TEMP: CHSING Was drive shoe used? Y N Shoe Depth(s) 228 Was drive shoe seal tested? Y N How? Casing Liner Welded Threaded Diameter From To Gauge Material Casing Liner Welded Threaded Diameter From To Gauge Material Casing Liner Welded Threaded Diameter From To Gauge Material Casing Liner Welded Threaded 22 27/1 29/1 375 STEEL X I I I I I I I I I I <	Con			2.91				(Mea	surat	
SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK AMOUNT METHOD Material From To Sacks or Pounds MEAT CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMP: CHS (NG MEAT CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMp: CHS (NG MEAT CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMp: CHS (NG Nas drive shoe used? Y N Shoe Depth(s) 220 Nas drive shoe seal tested? Y N Bhoe Depth(s) 220 Nas drive shoe seal tested? Y N Bhoe Depth(s) 220 Nas drive shoe seal tested? Y N How? Casing Liner Weided Threaded Biameter From To Gauge Material Casing Liner Casing Liner Biameter From To Gauge Material Casing Liner Diameter From To Slot Size Number Diameter Material Casing Liner Perforations Method <td>Con Date</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2.9 I 7-8-96</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>bleted_//</td> <td>(Mea ~</td> <td>surat</td> <td></td>	Con Date			2.9 I 7-8-96			bleted_//	(Mea ~	surat	
SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK AMOUNT METHOD Material From To Sacks or MEAT CEMENT O 20 TEMP: CH&(H & MEAT CEMENT O 20 TEMP: CH&(H & MEAT CEMENT O TEMP: CH&(H & MEAT CEMENT O TEMP: CH&(H & MEAT CEMENT O TEMP: CH&(H & Material Casing Liner Welded Threaded Material Casing Liner Welded Threaded To Gauge Material Casing Liner Welded Threaded To Casing Liner Welded Threaded Z 200 Z 100 Z 100 <th< td=""><td>Com Datu 13. [</td><td>npleted e: Star DRIL</td><td>Depthted</td><td>2.9 I 7-8-96 5 CERTIFIC minimum well</td><td>ATION</td><td> Comp</td><td>pleted_//</td><td>(Mea </td><td>surab 96</td><td>ith :</td></th<>	Com Datu 13. [npleted e: Star DRIL	Depthted	2.9 I 7-8-96 5 CERTIFIC minimum well	ATION	Comp	pleted_//	(Mea 	surab 96	ith :
SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK AMOUNT METHOD Material From To Sacks or Pounds MEAT CEMIENT O 20 3 yes TEMP: CHSING MEAT CEMIENT O 20 3 yes TEMp: CHSING MEAT CEMIENT O 20 3 yes TEMp: CHSING Material From To Backs or Pounds Was drive shoe used? Y N Shoe Depth(s) 2 28 Was drive shoe seal tested? Y N How? Casing Liner Welded Threaded Diameter From To Gauge Material Casing Liner Welded Threaded 22 27/1 29/1 375 STEEL M X Image: Casing Liner Welded Threaded 22 27/1 29/1 375 STEEL X X Image: Casing Liner 23 27/1 29/1 57/5 STEEL X M <td>Con Date I3. [</td> <td>npleter e: Star DRIL certify ne the</td> <td>Depth ted</td> <td>2.9 I 7-<i>B</i>-96 6 CERTIFIC minimum well s removed.</td> <td>ATION</td> <td>Comp</td> <td>pleted_//</td> <td>(Mea</td> <td>isurat 96</td> <td>ith a</td>	Con Date I3. [npleter e: Star DRIL certify ne the	Depth ted	2.9 I 7- <i>B</i> -96 6 CERTIFIC minimum well s removed.	ATION	Comp	pleted_//	(Mea	isurat 96	ith a
SEAL/FILTER PACK AMOUNT METHOD Material From To Pounds MEAT CEMENT O 20 3 yos TEMP: CHS(NG: Material From O 20 3 yos TEMP: CHS(NG: Material Casing Liner Welded Threaded Nas drive shoe seal tested? Y N How? Casing Liner Welded Threaded Barneter From To Gauge Material Casing Liner Welded Threaded Barneter From To Gauge Material Casing Liner Casing Liner Barneter From To Stot Size Number Diameter Material Casing Liner Perforations Method Casing Liner	Con Date 13. [//We of the tir	npleted e: Star DRIL certify me the	Depth ted LER'S	2.9 1 7-8-96 5 CERTIFIC minimum well s removed. 4 A# D F2/1	ATION	Comp	pleted_//	(Mea 	surat 96	ith a
SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK AMOUNT METHOD Material From To Sacks or Pounds MEAT CEMENT 0 20 3 Yes TEMP, CH&(NG WEAT CEMENT 0 20 3 Yes TEMP, CH&(NG Was drive shoe used? Y N Shoe Depth(s) 228 Nas drive shoe seal tested? Y N How? 28 CASING/LINER: Diameter From To Gauge Material Casing Liner Weided Threaded 22 ?? 208 2/8 375 STEFL X X Casing Liner Biameter From To Gauge Material Casing Liner Weided Threaded X X Casing Liner Diameter From To Gauge Material Casing Liner X X Casing Liner Diameter From To Gauge Material Casing Liner X Casing Liner Length of Headpipe D FT Length of Tailpipe 2 OFT Colstic Size Number Diameter Material Casing Liner Screens Screen Type TELES OPE X-HEAUY X X X X Perforations Method Z Static Size Number Diameter Material Casing Liner X	Con Date 13. [//We of the tir	npleted e: Star DRIL certify me the Name_	Depth ted LER'S that all rig was	CERTIFIC minimum well s removed.	ATION Construct	Comp	ndards we	(Mea (Mea fire compl Firm No	surat 96	ith a
SEAL/FILTER PACK AMOUNT METHOD Material From To Sacks or Pounds MEAT CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMP, CHS(NG Material From To Sacks or Pounds METHOD Mean CEMENT O 20 3 yes TEMP, CHS(NG Nas drive shoe used? Y N Shoe Depth(s) 220 Was drive shoe seal tested? Y N Bhoe Depth(s) 220 Was drive shoe seal tested? Y N Bhoe Depth(s) 220 Was drive shoe seal tested? Y N Bhoe? 200 Sc CASING/LINER: Casing Liner Weided Threader Diameter From To Gauge Material Casing Liner Weided Threader 22 271 291 S75 STEFL M M Image: Material Casing Liner ength of Headpipe D FT Length of Tailpipe 20 FT Image: Material Casing Liner Z M <th< td=""><td>Con Date I/We of the tir Firm I</td><td>npleted e: Star DRIL certify me the Name_</td><td>Depth ted rig was</td><td>CERTIFIC minimum well s removed. YAN DIRVL</td><td>ATION construct LING</td><td>Comp Comp Comp Comp Comp Comp Comp Comp</td><td>ndards we</td><td>(Mea </td><td>surat 96</td><td></td></th<>	Con Date I/We of the tir Firm I	npleted e: Star DRIL certify me the Name_	Depth ted rig was	CERTIFIC minimum well s removed. YAN DIRVL	ATION construct LING	Comp Comp Comp Comp Comp Comp Comp Comp	ndards we	(Mea 	surat 96	
SEAL/FILTER PACK AMOUNT METHOD Colspan="2">Material Colspan="2" <th colspan="2</td> <td>Con Date 13. [//We of the tir Firm of and</td> <td>npleted e: Star DRIL certify me the Name_ Dfficial</td> <td>Depth ted LER'S that all rig was</td> <td>2.9 1 7-8-96 5 CERTIFIC minimum well s removed. 4 AN DIRIL U.e.C.) E</td> <td>ATION Construct LUNG</td> <td>Comp Comp Ction sta</td> <td>pleted_// ndards we</td> <td>(Mea </td> <td>surat 96 100</td> <td></td>	Con Date 13. [//We of the tir Firm of and	npleted e: Star DRIL certify me the Name_ Dfficial	Depth ted LER'S that all rig was	2.9 1 7-8-96 5 CERTIFIC minimum well s removed. 4 AN DIRIL U.e.C.) E	ATION Construct LUNG	Comp Comp Ction sta	pleted_// ndards we	(Mea 	surat 96 100	

Huetter Pump Curve

ч.



Form 238-7 6/07

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES WELL DRILLER'S REPORT

1. WELL TAG NO, D 50822973		
Drilling Permit No. 89,2143	12. STATIC WATER LEVEL and WELL TESTS:	, 2
Water right or injection well # 95-17815	Depth first water encountered (ft) Static water level (ft;]	led
2. OWNER: City OF COEUR D Alene	Water temp. (⁰ F) Bottom hole temp. (⁰ F)	
Name	Describe access port	
Address Water Dept, 710 Milland Auge	Well test: Test method:	
City ("OBUR D'ALENDERING TO TO OF OF 2014	Drawdown (feet) Discharge or Test duration yield (gpm) (minutes) Pump Bailer Al	Ir Flow
3.WELL LOCATION:	<u> </u>	ם נ
		ם נ
$\operatorname{Rge}_{2} = 2$ Korth $\operatorname{Rge}_{2} = 2$ Kort	Vater quality test or comments:	
Sec 1/4 1/4 1/4 1/4	Bore Bore	
Gov't Lot County (OCTING)	lin) (ft) (ft) Remarks, lithology or description of repairs or abandonment, water temp.	Water
Lat. 0 (Deg and Desired electron)	36 0 55 GRAVES Faul cithes	- T
Long (Deg. and Decimal minutes)	30 55 115 Silty SAND	
Address of Well Site Fast of Huetters Rd	Some GRAVES	
1835 N PoliNe Ave Cin Cours Dillar TD	24 115 147 Silty SAND	
(Give at least name of road + Distance to Hoad or Landmark)	147 180 GRAVELS	
Lot Blk Sub. Name	180 223 Boulders & Cephies	
	1 205 500 GRAVELS and SAND	
Other Other	inter i post	1
5. TYPE OF WORK:	unerat 250	
New well Replacement well Modify existing well		
Abandonment Other		
6. DRILL METHOD:		
La Air Rotary Li Mud Rotary Cable Cable Other		_
7. SEALING PROCEDURES: Seal material From (II) To (II) Comprise (Ib) or (IV)		
Beat, chies D us 27/2 beas 2011		
Later routes 0 113 Ale May Drey		
8. CASING/LINER		
Diameter From (tt) To (ft) Geuge/ Material Caster Lines Throaded Material		
		-
Was drive shoe used?		
9. PERFORATIONS/SCREENS:		_
Perforations 🔲 Y 🗋 N Method		
Manufactured screen NY TIN Type Shaulass Sload		
Method of installation		
From /#) To /#) District Automation Diameter		-
And the second s	Completed Depth (Measurable): 363	
320 565 100 43 22° SS	Date Staded: DOV 19 ELIC -	20
	14. DRILLER'S CERTIFICATION	-0
	I/We certify that all minimum well construction standards were complied with	íh ei
ength of Headpipe Length of Tailpipe	the time the rig was removed.	ur at
Packer VY IN Type Kpacker	Company Name O'Keete Co No 29	11
0.FILTER PACK:	*Principal Dillar (OAPUA (DAPUACO) 51 72	1 20
Filter Material From (ft) To (ft) Quantity (ibs or ft ³) Placement method	Date Heb A	+
	*Driller Date	
	*Operator II	
1. FLOWING ARTESIAN:	*Operator II Date	



Landings Pump Curve

Landings Well CHAPTER 3 - LANDINGS WELL HOUSE

3.1 Description

The Landings Well House is located in the northwest corner of Coeur d'Alene on Atlas Road just south of Prairie Avenue. The Landings Well House serves the City's upper pressure zone and is operated on water level signals from the Industrial Park standpipe. **Figure 1-1** shows the location of the Landings well in relation to the other City wells, while **Figure 3-1** shows the existing site plan. Water leaves the well house through a 16-inch ductile iron main, then enters an existing 16-inch PVC main that delivers water south on Atlas Road to the upper zone distribution system and storage. **Figure 3-1** shows the Landings Well site plan.

3.2 Landings Well Pump and Motor

The Landings well pump was supplied by Dickerson Pump manufactured by Flowserve.

Dickerson Pump	Flowserve
Chuck Goodman	Richard Audler
E. 3627 Broadway	2349 South Orange Avenue
Spokane, WA 99201	Fresno, CA 93725
Phone: 509-534-2671	Phone: 559-268-9243
FAX: 509-534-2616	FAX: 559-268-6709

The Landings well pump is a Flowserve Model 15 EHM, 5-stage, vertical line shaft rated at 3000 gpm at 512 feet TDH. The curve number for the pump provided is EC-2392. The motor is a 500 hp unit manufactured by U.S. Motors. The pump and motor at the Landings well operate at a constant speed. Figure 3-2 shows the pump curve for the Landing Well

3.3 Operations-Landings Pumping System

Operation of the Landings well pump begins when a signal from the City's telemetry system indicates a pump-start elevation has been reached at the Industrial Park standpipe. The system operator selects pump-start and pump-stop elevations through the City's SCADA (telemetry) system interface at the Water Department office. These operational setpoints vary with season and are directly dependent on the water demands within the City.

3.4 Pump-Start Sequence

The pump-start sequence for the Landings well house includes several steps. Please refer to Sheet C6 of the **Record Drawings** in **Appendix A** for specific appurtenances described in the list below, which describes the steps involved with each pump-start.

Form 238-7 IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RES	SOURCES	We	Office Use C	Dnly
WELL DRILLER'S REPOR	RT	Ins	pected by	
1. WELL TAG NO. D 0033506		Twp	Rge	_Sec
DRILLING PERMIT NO. 810404	-		1/4 1/4 _	1/4
Water Right or Injection Well No.	12. WELL TESTS:	Lat:	: : Long	I: : :
2 OWNER:	L Pump	Bailer	Air 🗌 Flowing A	rtesian
Name CITY OF CORUN & Alcar			Pumping Level	Time
Address 3800 Ramsey Rd	5100		276	- 7 hr
City LOCUT N Alend State ID Zip 83815	-			
	Water Temp.		Botto	m hole temp
You must provide address or Lot Blk. Sub or Directions to well	Water Quality test or co	omments: 66	od	
Twp. $_SIN$ North \Box or South \Box		5	Depth first Wa	ter Encounter 32
Rge. 4 K East Or West D	LITHOLOGIC LO	OG: (Describe rep	airs or abandonment	
Sec. 2.8 , <u>NE 1/4</u> <u>NE 1/4</u> 1/4	Bore From To	Remarke: Litholog		
Gov't Lot County Kostenae	Dia.		y, water Quality & Temp	perature Y N
Lat: : : Long: : :	2000	Brown Scl	ty sand-gu	ave
City Cash A Mar Land	5	COSSIC		
(Give at least name of road + Distance to Road or Landmark)	24 10 320	Room C.L	tientie	
Lt Blk Sub. Name		Cobbler	ty sand 4	grand X
	-			
4. USE:	24 4320 410 4	Sand - ara	el caboles	
Domestic XMunicipal Monitor Irrigation		har	u	
☐ Thermal ☐ Injection ☐ Other				
5. TYPE OF WORK check all that apply (Beplacement etc.)				
New Well		RECEN		
		021	ED	
O. DRILL METHOU: Air Botany Air Botany Coble Air Botany		```````````````````````````````````	Π <u>μ</u>	
Air Hotary Cable I Mud Rotary U Other		IDIMD ()	<u> </u>	
7. SEALING PROCEDURES		Nort	h	
Seal Material From To Weight / Volume Seal Placement Method				
bentonote 0 60 8 15 Trimie				
Was drive shoe used? VV DN Shoe Death(s) 2 40				
Was drive shoe seal tested? \Box Y \Box N How?			RECEN	
				ED
8. CASING/LINER:				104
24^{4} 4^{2} 24^{2} 32^{4} 4^{-2} 4^{-2}			DWDA	•
			vivort	h
Length of Headpipe 10 Length of Tailpipe 10 C				
racker XY UN Type <u>Neoplen 333'</u>				
9. PERFORATIONS/SCREENS PACKER TYPE				
Perforation Method				
Screen Type & Method of Installation 304 Stain CSS				
245 395 80 225 cm timer	Completed Death	400		
				(Measurable)
	Date: Started	10/09	Completed	8/21/04
10. FILTER PACK	14. DRILLER'S CERT			
Filter Matarial From To Weight / Volume Placement Method	time the rig was removed	num well constructio	n standards were com	plied with at the
N/P				
	Company Name	OFT Devi	ng ful	Firm No. 59
1. STATIC WATER LEVEL OR ARTESIAN PRESSURE:	Principal Driller	ade file	Sh Data	9/9/nU
∆∠_ft. below ground Artesian pressurelb.	and //	ITT		1107
Jeptn flow encounteredft. Describe access port or control devices:	Uniller or Operator II	meta	Date	
	Operator		Date	
51N 4W 28	Prir	ncipal Driller and Rig	Operator Required.	

Operator I must have signature of Driller/Operator II. FORWARD WHITE COPY TO WATER RESOURCES

Locust ST Sterling P O Box 70	Pump E R Fluid S 026, India	Curve	USA), Inc	2		Customer	: Welch 1626 Li Couer o 83814	Comer ncoln Way I' Alene, ID				
Project : Quote No. :	Locust US-69	Well for the C 55-8	ity of Couer of	d' Alene Page No	: 1	Contact : Phone : Date :	Steve C 208-664 Tuesda	ordes I-5946 y, January 21,	Fax : 208 2003	-664-9382		
Pump Model Nom. Speed: Market :	: Pee 1770 Vert	rless Vertical D RPM, 60 Hz ical Turbine I	- 14HH 7 Si Electric Pump	leges								
Impeller No.: Material Sper	2621	1959 / HC A - B: CIE:	I: Brz = Sta	ndard								
Item : Your Ref. : Performance	1 curve acco	ording to Hyd i	nst-Peerless	Fluid: Viscosity: Sp. Gravity: Std	Water 1.007 cSt 1		Flo Bo Eff Po NP	w rate Q: w Total Head: iciency : wer Required : SH Required :	33 12	3200 US g 337 ft 84.1 % 2.73 hp 3944 ft	pm	
700		<u> </u>										
600												%
≠ 500				<								- 7
pe 400-				T					n dan di saman dan generata yang dan seri		Lan	Sien
I 300-	und de announter construction		T						<u></u>		F	Effe
100-		1									-20	
		<u></u>		<u></u>					na program a construir de sector de la construir de la construir de la construir de la construir de la constru La construir de la construir de			
# 40	teatra anna an ann an an ann an an an an an a											
HS 20											_	
Ž			<u> </u>									
± 400									1			
e 200	9000, 1999, 2000, 1995, 1995, 1995, 1995, 1995, 1995, 1995, 1995, 1995, 1995, 1995, 1995, 1995, 1995, 1995, 19	1									1	
0	5	500 1,0	000 1,	500 2	,000 2, Flow -	500 3,00 US gpm	0 3,5	600 4,0	00 4,5	i00 5	,000	

Comments

Refer to factory for all single point bowl performance guarantees. Pumps must be selected with Hydraulic Institute-Peerless Std. See Std Hydraulic Performance document in RAPID for testing tolerances & contractual guarantees.

	Flow (US gpm)	Head (ft)	Pump Efficiency (%)	Power Required (hp)	NPSH Required (ft)	Thrust (Ib)	
	0.0	628.79	0.0	403.58		18543.09	
	555.4	576.40	21.9	369.85		16224.61	
	1110.8	520.45	41.3	353.49		13845.84	
	1666.2	472.23	57.5	345.70		12213.16	
	2221.6	430.58	70.7	341.65	18.06	10977.54	
	2777.0	386.90	80.3	337.97	12.28	9531.95	
	3332.4	330.18	84.2	330.13	13.91	7503.65	
/	3887.8	252.02	79.8	309.91	19.31	5036.94	
	4443.2	151.66	64.7	262.82	52.83	2702.14	

Sterling Fluid Systems (IP) by - RAPID v6.20 - 13th May 2002.

Locust Well



to 2 amin all .	
Sup	UU JAN 2 51960 L
WETT LOOP AND REPORT TO THE	Log No Department of Reclama
WELL LOG AND REPORT TO THE	Rec 19
STATE RECLAMATION ENGINEER OF IDAHO	Well No. 95=55-N-1
	Permit No 2 5-671
025964	
	(DO NOT FILL IN)
Owner Idato Water Co. Address Cours a	lalen da
Driller C. fr. Halle, Address Port 4	Palls, Lic. No. to 9 123
Location of Wall: N.W. 1/2 St. 1/4 Sec. 12. T. 50 Ment	
and feet N/S, and feet E/W from Corner of	
Since of Deillod Hole $\frac{g}{k'}$ Total doubt of Wall	202.
	51 Semantait
Give depth of standing water from surface	
On pumping test delivery was 73.00 g.p.m. or	_c,f.s. Drawdown was <u>homesteet</u> .
2 Size of pump and motor used to make the test 150 rt 14 pump	m packson Suntime
Length of time pumped during check wash	r.,minutes.
If flowing well, give flow in c.f.s or g.p.m	and shut in pressure
If flowing well, describe control works	VALVE, ETC.)
Water will be used for Provident Weight of casing per l	inear foot
Thickness of casing	stut sign .
all C. J. M. M	E.G., PIPE, CONCRETE, WOOD.
Diameter, length and location of casing <u>the</u> (Casing 12" in Diameter and U (Casing 04P 12" in Diameter	NDER GIVE INSIDE DIAMETER;
Number and size of perforations 700 slots 1" X 4" located From	175 fast to 9,00 fast
from surface of ground.	
Other perforations	
•	
Date of commencement of well May 1 1955 Date of completion of	well Intre 1 1753
Date of commencement of well May 1 1955 Date of completion of	well frily 1 1953
Date of commencement of well <u>May 1 1955</u> Date of completion of Type of well rig	well fruly 1 1953
Date of commencement of well May 1 1955 Date of completion of Type of well rig	well fruly. 1. 1953
Date of commencement of well May 1 1955 Date of completion of Type of well rig CASING RECORD	well fully 1 1953
Date of commencement of well May 1 1955 Date of completion of Type of well rig CASING RECORD DIAM. FROM FEET FEET LENGTH "REMARKS" 21.11 0 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 1	well fully. 1 953
Date of commencement of well May 1 1955 Date of completion of Type of well rig CASING RECORD DIAM. CASING FROM TO FROM FEET LENGTH "REMARKS" JUL 0 J02 J03	SEALS, GROUTING, ETC.
Date of commencement of well May 1 1955 Date of completion of Type of well rig CASING RECORD DIAM. CASING FROM FOR FOR POET LENGTH "REMARKS" JUN 0 J02 J03	SEALS, GROUTING, ETC.
Date of commencement of well May 1 1955 Date of completion of Type of well rig CASING RECORD DIAM. CASING FROM	well fuly. 1 953
Date of commencement of well May 1 1955 Date of completion of Type of well rig CASING RECORD DIAM. FROM FOR POET LENGTH "REMARKS" JW 0 J02 J03 GENERAL INFORMATION-Pumping Test. Quality of V	well fuly. 1 9 5 3
Date of commencement of well May 1 1955 Date of completion of Type of well rig CASING RECORD DIAM. CASING FROM FROM FRET PEET LENGTH "REMARKS" JUT 0 J02 2 0 2 GENERAL INFORMATION—Pumping Test, Quality of V Hand Pung, 22'X 3 2" Januar, T	vell fuly. 1 953
Date of commencement of well May 1 1955 Date of completion of Type of well rig CASING RECORD DIAM. CASING FROM FEET FOR LENGTH "REMARKS" JU" O JO2 903 GENERAL INFORMATION—Pumping Test, Quality of V Hand Purg. 32'X 32" Januar Jun Casing Wit from and June	Vater, Etc.
Date of commencement of well May 1 1955 Date of completion of Type of well rig CASING RECORD DIAM. CASING FROM FROM FRET PEET LENGTH "REMARKS" JU" 0 J02 2 0 2 GENERAL INFORMATION—Pumping Test, Quality of V Hand Puy, 32'X 32" Januar Jun Casing Dist and back	vell fuly, 1 1953 SEALS, GROUTING, ETC. Vater, Etc. fulled muth
Date of commencement of well May 1 1955 Date of completion of Type of well rig CASING RECORD DIAM. CASING FROM FEET PEET LENGTH "REMARKS" JU" O JO2 JO3 GENERAL INFORMATION—Pumping Test, Quality of V Hand Puy. 32'X 32" Januar Jun Casing Dist in and back Mathing from excention	vell fuly 1 1953 SEALS, GROUTING, ETC. Vater, Etc. find fulled muth
Date of commencement of well May 1 1955 Date of completion of Type of well rig CASING RECORD DIAM. CASING FROM FRET TO LENGTH "REMARKS" JU" O JO2 JO3 GENERAL INFORMATION—Pumping Test, Quality of V Hand Puy, 32'X 32" Januar Jun Casing Dist and back material from excention	vell fully 1 1953 SEALS, GROUTING, ETC. Vater, Etc. fulled muth

95

025965

	<u>_</u>				WELL LOG .						
From	To			ne of Mate	rial		Drillir	ng Time	searing stion s or No	ing rated t or No	
Feet	Fuet L D	la	., 	vi mate			Hrs.	Min.	Water4 Form Ans. Ye	Ces Perfoi Ans. Ye	
1.	20	1,									
20	30	4									
30	40	11			<u> </u>						
40	50	<u> </u>									
50	60	11									
40	70				A		·				
10	80	loose	- pea g	novel	/					<u></u>	
80	90	**									
<u>70</u>	100	- 10	• *	· •							
100	110	~	0								
110	120	pen	growl	with	lime d	lynnito		• •			
20	130	11	<u> </u>	11	"	0 11		<u></u>			
130	140							· · · · · ·			
140	150	· · · · ·									
150	140	/·			<i> </i>	′ı					
163	172										
170 } 8 C	110	<i>h</i>							.		
· \$0.	200		N	()	<u></u>						
	1	lf	more space i	s required u	use Sheet No.	.2					

WELL DRILLERS STATEMENT

This well was drilled under my jurisdiction and the above information is true and correct to the best of my knowledge

and belief.

Signed C. J. Waller

By

License No. 408123

Dated from 18 , 1960.



D-1093-T



Prairie Well

)RT 72 719 719																		T or T	TL-003R0
XEPC		EFFICIENCY												 1				04	
ST] 13-1- 13-1- 14AFT 14AFT 1460											T			HEIGHT / DIFF H1		ATE O	6.99	2201	
TE: 350- 11A 120 0LTS-		BHP S 1.222												ATTINA LI		DUED	100	8-3 SELF	
LAB NN #		K = BHb © ¹⁷³⁷ KbM												ER WEIGH		100	166.	99	
3TH		ВНР												COUNT		UPNE L	5-24	5-5	
LENG LENG		TOTAL LBS = (10 + (2)														ST EQU	10E	14	
Z POL		CORR DEAD WT CORR FACTOR = Q x														TE Equip No	71/120	2021-2	The supervised in the supervis
ADJUS		MEICHL IN FB2	26360	127.51	-	393.9	AVA			102 0	1010	9.797		HT HT DITT, H			1.1	11	
POLE AXIAL		CORR FACTOR = CORR FACTOR =												เสากก่อ สายาน เมืองน		DESCRIP	200# 00#	IR PAX	
A LE STREET	Ĺ	IN LES = $\bigcirc + \bigcirc$											-	TURN SCALE SCALE			77	Ad A	
R HP. C		IN FB2 CATE DEVD ML	T																
R STAGES IPELLE ON 3 STR		CALE READING	C 141	40.7		13.5	1 0	7 I L	1.970	N N	59.4	20		I SPEE					
STOME 0 OF S IM CRIPTI	-	KA THE (BEE ONLY) OMEE MELEE EDGS	70.4	r 2 2 2 2	100	T-JOI		1.03	31		102.6			- ×	W IN			- DATE	
2 CUS	j	K ₅ = © 1182 KbW. HEVD IN LELL	C.	2401		10-151	Lioh .	2141	01.101	64141	82-161	106.1		AD CPH					
2 4 2 0 E	5	TOTAL HEAD IN FEET (A+@+(C+(D))												TOTAL HE BHP (3	711				
C- C- C'		VELOCITY HEAD			ŀ									EFF	9			1	
NO. P. 16 D. 242 C. 242 C. 12 "SI		IN LEEL CAGE ELEV.			+	1	+	+	+			>		NISTANT NISTANT TT /1	<i>3</i> ¹ /13/14			SED B	
TEST ON PE 12 ⁵ VKE <u>1</u>	1	IN LEEL													10			TNESS	
-99 2.8.1971 & TY & TY A. M/	- RANK	CAGE HEAD												LIBS.	A.			M	
<i>best best best best best best best best </i>		.I.Z.9 JATOT												x TOTAL	@ Wo			5(5-11-	
DATE PUMF HEAD MOTO METE	MAM	CORRECTION DISCHARCE CAGE		.10	21-	0/1-	-115	-120	-,25	00	001	-,10		RPH SCAL	Haigh			TE: 6	
	2244	READING IN P.S.L. DISCHARGE GAGE		140.3	2.2	122.8	111.7	1.00	90.1	5.5	49.4	0.61		BHP S	D.			DA	
	L HSI	PRESSURE CACE		8					-	100#				× 2.310	260				
	FIN	K= ZPM ⊚ ¹³⁸ KPM												P S.I.	: LN				
Y PULLER	7:40	TOTAL CPM	1											GE HEAL	llog N				8
MENTS	STAR	ВЕЕДЛИС IN СРМ МАИОМЕТЕR	I	0	550	8	0097	2150	2400	05.972	3150	3 dec		ULAE: GA	4DITI01	U		TED F	0150 5
	TIME	TEST RPM		5611	2611	0611	6211	1135	2211	1128	0611	C 611		FORM	CON	LON		1	-

WELL DRILLER'S REPORT							a Insp	S Office Use Only Inspected by TDK			
WELL TAG NO D 0005	794			_	.		iwp	V 1/4 Slav	lge <u>e / ⊪</u> oec / 1// // ⊊ 1//	1	•
				4 14/61 B	954	166	· <u> </u>	<u>v</u> 1/4 <u>v w</u>	1/4 <u>/v = 1/</u>	4	ł
Other IDWE No break Carbon	# 25 - 04		1162	WELL Ard	. (66 100	n Bailar			Long:		
She low to Man Provide August	- 70 070			old and	ump min			Pumping	Lavel		<u> </u>
2. OWNER:	NAL	2			/min.	1	r r		Lever		
	AICHE			011	<u> </u>	+		دد ک		<u>, ,,,,</u>	<u> </u>
Address ILD MUSILAN HU	2	TT COVIL				· · · · ·				<u> </u>	
	50	aret <u>m</u> cip <u>o>8#7</u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u></u>	./		Batta a bala taa		
LOCATION OF WELL by	logal dooor	intion	· vvater	Cuelline		ry col	<u>4</u>	· class		^{mp.}	
S. ECCATION OF WELL by	legal desci	iption.	water	Guainy	IASE OF	comments:		7 CIEGE			•
sketch map location must agree wit	h written locatio)n.	12	ITHO			/Decerity	Depin	inrst water Enco	ounter	
N							(Descript	e repairs o	abandonnie	<u>, w</u>	Vater
	North 🗆	or South 🗆	Bore Dia	From	Τo	Remarks:	Lithology,	Water Quality	/ & Temperatu	re Y	- •
• Bae 04 L	✓ Fast □	or West⊡	Z	·					······		+-
E Sec. 24	ا مباکر <u>ا</u>	14 SW 1/4 NE 1/4	30	0	6	Brow	in silt	y Cours	+ umut	7	· •
Gov't Lot	County K	Agarres 160 acres	30 "	6	22	Bron	n Sun	il + Dr	aul		·
Lat: :	Lo	ng: : :	24"	22	132	Brow	n San	1 + 200	vel Cathl		
S Address of V	Well Site W.	1600 St. 1/4	24	132	240	Brown	silt-s	and + A	ravel (abbl	6	
when west of Hickey	95 Cit	ty Coour & Aline	244	260	322	Brown	sand	gravel	Some Sil	<u>4 IX</u>	Ι
(Give at least name of road + Distance to Road or	Landmark)		24	332	413	Gray 5	and + s	1+			
_t BlkS	Sub. Name		244	413	425	Kran .	sand r	silty C	lays		
··· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Ŀ.	ļ		<u>י</u>			
4. USE:					<u> </u>	<u>↓ </u>					
🗆 🗇 Domestic 🛛 🗶 Municipal	- 🗂 Monitor	□ Irrigation									
🗆 Thermal 🛛 İnjection	🗆 Other	-									Ē
5. TYPE OF WORK check all I	that apply	(Replacement etc.)		 							
🗙 New Well 🗆 Modify 🗆	Abandonment	□ Other				Ļ		<u>~swl</u>	+ 252	<u> </u>	
6. DRILL METHOD		. —	ļ			I E					
🗆 Air Rotary 💆 Cable 🗆	Mud Botary	Othor	1			++-			_		
					<u>.</u>	you !					
					- Ar	Packer					
7. SEALING PROCEDURES)			10	ot	Packer H-le					
7. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK	AMOUNT Sacks or	METHOD		10	ot 28	Packer H-le	4		Drive		
7. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK Material From To	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds	METHOD		10	0 ot 28	Parter H-la			Drive Shot 29	2	
7. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK Material From To Cement growt D 20	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 24555	METHOD Slurvy		10	1 of 28	295			= Drive Shol 29	2	
7. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK Material From To Cement growt D 20	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 24555	METHOD Slurry			28	Packer H-6 295			= Drive Sho(2A	2	
7. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK Material From To Cement growt D 20	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 24 SKs	METHOD Slurry		10	28	Packer H-6 295		- 130	Drive Shol 29	2	
7. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK Material From To Cement growt 0 20 Nas drive shoe used? DY N Nas drive shoe used? DY N	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 24 sks Shoe Depth(s)_	METHOD Slurvy 2.92 1		1 0	28	Pacter H-6 295 210			Jeive Shol 29	<u>2</u>	
SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK Material From To Cement growt D 20 Was drive shoe used? QY N Nas drive shoe seal tested? YX N CASING/LINED CASING/LINED CASING/LINED	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 2 24 SKs Shoe Depth(s) N How?	METHOD <i>Slurry</i> 2.92 1		10	28	Packer H-6 295 310		- 130 siot		2 	
7. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK Material From To Cement growt D 20 Was drive shoe used? DY D N Was drive shoe used? DY D N Was drive shoe used? DY D N B. CASING/LINER:	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 2 24 SKs Shoe Depth(s) N How?	METHOD Slurry 292 '			28	295 310		- 130 510t	= Drive Isho(2A	2 2	
7. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK Material From To Cement growt D 2.0 Mass drive shoe used? Marce Nass drive shoe seal tested? Ya B. CASING/LINER: Diameter From To Gauge 74 +2 29 325	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 2 2 4 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	METHOD Slurry 2921		1 0	28	Pactor H-16 295 310 310			Drive Shol 29		
7. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK Material From To Cement growt D 2.0 Mas drive shoe used? DY N Nas drive shoe used? DY N Nas drive shoe used? DY N B. CASING/LINER: Diameter From To Gauge 24 +2 292 375	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 24 Sks Shoe Depth(s) N How? Material Casi Casi Casi Casi Casi	METHOD Slurry 292' ng Liner Welded Threaded MII			28	295 310 245			Drive Shol 29		
Z. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK Material From Tr Tr Cement growt 0 20 Nas drive shoe used? MY N Nas drive shoe used? MY N 8. CASING/LINER: Gauge 24 +2 292 325	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 24 Sks Shoe Depth(s) N How? Material Casi Material Casi	METHOD Slurrry 2921 Ing Liner Welded Threaded I R Ind I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		Т е 	28 	295 210 345			Drive Shoe 29		
SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK Material From To Cement growt D 20 Mass drive shoe used? DY N Nass drive shoe used? DY N Nass drive shoe used? DY N B. CASING/LINER: Diameter From To Gauge 24 +2 292 375 B erigth of Headpine 12 4	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 24.5ks Shoe Depth(s) N How? Material Casi Material Casi Casi Casi	METHOD METHOD Slurrry 2.92 1 ng Liner Welded Threaded A Millione 20 1		1. 1. 1. 100		295 310 345			E Drive Sho(29 F		
7. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER Material From To Cement growt D 20 Material From To 20 Mass drive shoe used? Y N N Nas drive shoe used? Y N N B. CASING/LINER: Diameter From To Gauge 24 + 2 292 375 B ength of Headpipe 12 4 12 4	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 2 24 SKs Shoe Depth(s) N How? Material Casl Material Length of Tai	METHOD Slorvy 2.92 1 ng Liner Welded Threaded A Mill D D D Liner Welded Threaded Millipipe 20 1	CFOF 6 2 5	Т¢ П.Мі 199		295 310 345 375 3270 3270 3270 3270 3270 3270 3270 3270			E Drive Shot 29 F		
7. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER Material From Material From To Cement growt D 20 Mass drive shoe used? QY N Nas drive shoe used? YY N As drive shoe used? YY N B. CASING/LINER: Diameter From To Gauge 24 +2 292 375 Lerigth of Headpipe 12 4	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 2 24 SK5 2 24 SK5	METHOD Slorry 2921 ng Liner Welded Threaded MII MII Ipipe 20		То 11.Мі 199	6 28 	295 310 345 375 360			E Drive Shol 29 F Departs		
7. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER Material From Material From Cam cm + growt 0 Cam cm + growt 0 Mass drive shoe used? YY Nass drive shoe used? YY B. CASING/LINER: Diameter From Ya 292 24 + 2 4 292 PERFORATIONS/SCREE Perforations Metho Screens Screens	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 2 24 SKs 2 24 SKs 3 N How? 4 Material 2 Cast 2 Ca	METHOD Slurry 2921 ng Liner Welded Threaded MII I I III IIII IIIII IIIIII IIIIIIII		199	6 28	295 310 345 345 360			E Drive Shot 29 F Departs		
Z. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK Material From To Cancent growt D 2.0 Mass drive shoe used? DY D Nass drive shoe used? DY N Nass drive shoe seal tested? Yd B. CASING/LINER: Diameter From To Gauge 24 + 2 292 375 Length of Headpipe12 *	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 24555 24555 26555 Shoe Depth(s) N How? Material Casi C	METHOD Slurry 2921 ng Liner Welded Threaded B 2 MIL B 1 MIL	CF IOF 6 2 5			295 210 310 345 345 390 epth 2-2		- 130 slot slot - 20 	E Drive Shot 29 F Departs		2 E
Z. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK Material From To Cam cm4 growt D 2.0 Mass drive shoe used? Image Image Image Nass drive shoe used? Image Image Image B. CASING/LINER: Image Image Image Diameter From To Gauge 24 + 2 292 375 Image ength of Headpipe 1 Image Image Perforations Metho Screens Screen From To Slot Size Number	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 2 2 4 5hoe Depth(s) N How? Material Casi A Casi Casi Casi Casi Casi Casi Casi Casi	METHOD Slurrry 2921 ang Liner Welded Threaded A Milling 201 A Milling A Milling		ILMi 199	D	295 295 310 375 376 380 epth 2-2			Departn (h eted	2 2 7 E C NAY Neasura - 99	2 E
Z. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK Material From To Cement grout D 2.0 Material From To Cement grout D 2.0 Nas drive shoe used? DY N Nas drive shoe used? DY N Nas drive shoe used? DY N As drive shoe used? DY N As drive shoe used? DY N Nas drive shoe used? DY N B. CASING/LINER: Diameter From To Gauge 24 +2 292 375 A. CASING/LINER: Diameter From To B. CASING/LINER: Diameter Perforations Metho Screens Screen Screen Screen From To Slot Size Number 29.5 31.0 150 Screen	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 24 Sk- Shoe Depth(s) N How? Material Casl Casl Material Cas	METHOD Slurrry 2921 agginar Welded Threaded Agginar Welded Threaded		ILMI 199 Sta	Contraction of the second seco	295 210 310 345 20 140 345 20 140 340 epth 2 - 2.			Departs (Not 29 Departs (Not 29 Departs (Not 29 Departs (Not 29 Departs (Not 29 (Not 29 (Not 29) (Not	2 2 7 2 7 2 7 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	2 E
7. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK Material From To Cement grout D 2.0 Mas drive shoe used? DY D 2.0 Nas drive shoe used? DY N As drive shoe used? DY N Nas drive shoe used? DY N Nas drive shoe used? DY N As drive shoe used? DY N Nas drive shoe used? DY N Nas drive shoe used? DY N As drive shoe used? DY N As drive shoe used? DY N B CASING/LINER: Diameter Diameter From To Gauge 24 +2 292 375 A ength of Headpipe 12 4 4 Diameter From To Slot Size Number	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 2 24 SK- Shoe Depth(s) N How? Material Casl Material Length of Tai NS d Diameter Materi 22 * Stack	METHOD METHOD Slurry age 1 age 1	CFOF G 2 5 U Con Date UWe.c	ILMI 199 DRIL ertify th	Dirted _	295 210 310 340 245 245 245 25 25 20 40 390 epth 2-2. S CERT inimum well	2 - - - - - - - - - - - - -		Departn (N Leted S- 1 re complied witt	2 2 1 E C 1 AY Aeasura - 99	
7. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER Material From To Cement growt D 20 Material From To Cement growt D 20 Material From To 20 Mass drive shoe used? Y N Nas drive shoe seal tested? Y Y B. CASING/LINER: Y Diameter From To Gauge 24 + 2 292 375 B. CASING/LINER: Second 100 Length of Headpipe	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 2 24 SK- Shoe Depth(s) N How? Material Cast Material Cast Material Length of Tai ENS d Diameter Materi 22 * Star 22 * Star 22 * Star	METHOD METHOD Slorry age 1 age 1	CFOF G 2 5 Com Date We.c Wwe.c	ILM 199 DRIL ertify the e the ri	Di rtted	295 210 310 310 310 310 310 310 310 310 310 3	391 2-99	- 130 si≠t 100 5/07 - 20 	Departn (N neted_S-1	2 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	
7. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER Material From To Cement growt 0 20 Material From To Cement growt 0 20 Material From To 20 Mass drive shoe used? DY N Nas drive shoe seal tested? Yd N B. CASING/LINER: Diameter From To Diameter From To Gauge 24 + 2 292 375 Diameter From To Gauge 24 + 2 292 375 Demoter From To Gauge Perforations Metho Screens Screens Store 310 345 100 345	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 2 24 SK- 2 24 SK- Shoe Depth(s)_ N How?_ Material Casi Material Casi Material Casi Material Casi Material Diameter Materi 22 * Star S	METHOD Slorry 2921 ng Liner Welded Threaded A Mill A Mill B A Mill B	CFOF GZ5 UCorr Date 13. We.c the tim	ILM 199 199 199 199 199 199 199 19	Di rted LER'S	295 210 310 345 375 376 376 375 376 376 375 376 376 376 376 376 376 376 376 376 376	391 2-99 IFICATIO construction		E Drive Shot 29 F Departs (h eted_S-/	2 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	2 E
7. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER Material From Material From Cam cm + growth 0 Cam cm + growth 0 20 Mas drive shoe used? If Y N Nas drive shoe used? If Y N Nas drive shoe used? YI N Barneter From To Gauge 24 + 2 2 375 Barneter From To Gauge 4 Perforations Metho Screens Screens Screens 3/o 3/o 3/o 3/o 3/o 3/o 3/o 3/o 3/o 2/o 100 0 3/o 3/o <	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 2 24 SK5 2 24 SK5 3 Noe Depth(s) N How? Material Cast Cast Material Cast Material Cast Material Cast Cast Cast Cast Cast Cast Cast Cast	METHOD Slorry 2921 ng Liner Welded Threaded 2921 ng Liner Welded Threaded MII a a a a Casing Liner 1644 Casing Liner 1644 Casing Liner	CFIOF G Z 5 G Z 5 U Control Date 13. We.c the tim Compa	ILMI 199. pleted sta DRIL ertify the the ri any Nar	Di rted LER'S at all mi g was r	2-2- 3-0 3-0 3-0 3-0 3-5 3-70	391 2-99 IFICATIO construction		E Drive Shot 29 F Departs (N teted_S-1 re complied with Firm No.S	2 2 1 2 1 2 1 4 2 1 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	2 E
7. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER Material From To Material From To Cam cm4 growth 0 2.0 Mas drive shoe used? DY N Nas drive shoe used? DY N Nas drive shoe used? DY N Nas drive shoe seal tested? Yd Biameter From To Gauge 24 + 2 292 325 Biameter From To Gauge 10 24 + 2 292 325 30 Sereens Screens Screen Screen Screens Screen Screen 3/0 3/0 3/0 3/0 3/0 3/0 2.0 100 3/3 3/0 2.0	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 2 24 SK- Shoe Depth(s) N How? Material Cast Material Cast Material Cast Material Cast Cast Cast Cast Cast Cast Cast Cast	METHOD METHOD Slorry 2921 ng Liner Welded Threaded 2921 ilpipe 22 Casing Liner Liner Liner Casing Liner Liner Casing Liner Liner Casing Liner Liner Casing Liner Liner	CFIOF G Z 5 L Corr Date 13. Www.c the tim Compa	ILMI ISTER	Di rted LER'S at all mi ig was r	295 310 310 345 345 345 345 345 345 345 345 345 340 epth 2-2. 360 epth 2-2. 360 epth 2-2. 360 epth 2-2. 370 360 epth 2-2. 370 360 epth 2-2. 370 370 370 370 370 370 370 370 370 370	391 2-99 IFICATIO construction		E Drive Shot 29 F Departs (N leted(N leted(N strm No(N)	2 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
7. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK Material From To Cam cm + growt 0 2.0 Mas drive shoe used? DY 0 Nas drive shoe used? DY 0 Nas drive shoe used? DY 0 S. CASING/LINER: Diameter From To Gauge 24 + 2 292 375 24 + 2 292 375 3 Length of Headpipe/2 ^	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 2 24 SK- Shoe Depth(s) N How? Material Cast Material Cast Material Cast C	METHOD METHOD Slorry 2921 ng Liner Welded Threaded 2921 ng Liner Welded Threaded MIL a MIL a MIL	CFIOF G 2 5 L Corr Date 13. Wwe.c the tim Compa	ILMI ILMI ISTA ISTA IPIETED IPIETED INV Nar INV Nar INV Nar		295 310 310 345 345 345 345 345 345 345 345 345 345	391 2-99 IFICATIO construction	- 130 5107 - 130 5107 - 20 - 20	Departn (N leted(Firm No(Firm No(2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1
7. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK Material From To Cam cm4 growt D 2.0 Mas drive shoe used? PY D D Nas drive shoe used? PY N Nas drive shoe seal tested? YQ B. CASING/LINER: Diameter From To Gauge 24 + 2 292 375 Jameter From To Gauge 24 + 2 292 375 B Length of Headpipe12 - - - - Perforations Metho Screens Screen Screens Screen Screen - - From To Slot Size Number 23/S 2/0 /SO - - 3/O 3/S 1/OD - - 0.0. STATIC WATER LEVEL - - Screent Good area - - - 2/S 3/O 2/O <t< td=""><td>AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 2 24 SK- Shoe Depth(s) N How? Material Casi Amount Casi C</td><td>METHOD METHOD Slovry 2921 ag Liner Welded Threaded ag Liner Welded Threaded ag Liner ag L</td><td>CFOF G 2 5 Corr Date 13. We.c the tim Compa Firm C and</td><td>ILMI 199 191eted Prilt Prilt Prilt Prilt Prilt Prilt</td><td></td><td>295 210 210 310 310 310 310 310 310 310 310 310 3</td><td>IFICATIO construction rulling Holf</td><td>- 130 slot 100 slot - 20 - 20</td><td>Departn (N Eted Firm No Firm No</td><td>2 2 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</td><td></td></t<>	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 2 24 SK- Shoe Depth(s) N How? Material Casi Amount Casi C	METHOD METHOD Slovry 2921 ag Liner Welded Threaded ag Liner Welded Threaded ag Liner ag L	CFOF G 2 5 Corr Date 13. We.c the tim Compa Firm C and	ILMI 199 191eted Prilt Prilt Prilt Prilt Prilt Prilt		295 210 210 310 310 310 310 310 310 310 310 310 3	IFICATIO construction rulling Holf	- 130 slot 100 slot - 20 - 20	Departn (N Eted Firm No Firm No	2 2 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
Z. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK Material From To Cam cm4 grout D 2.0 Mas drive shoe used? DY D D Nas drive shoe used? DY N Nas drive shoe used? DY N Nas drive shoe seal tested? YA B. CASING/LINER: Diameter From To Gauge 24 + 2 292 375 24 + 2 292 375 B Length of Headpipe	AMOUNT Sacks or Pounds 2 24 SK- 2 24 SK- Shoe Depth(s) N How? Material Casi C	METHOD METHOD Slovry age 1 age 1	CFOF CFOF Corr Date 13. IWe.c the tim Compa Firm C and Driller	ILMI 199 1910 1910 1910 1910 1910 1910 1910	Di rted g was r ne H Ram	a + b a	IFICATIO construction construction construction construction	- 130 slot 100 slot - 20 - 20	Departs (N Departs (N Departs (N Leted Firm No S: 6 - 9 : . 6 - 9 : . 6 - 9 :	2 2 1 2 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	



509 534 3382 P.03 SPECIALTYPUMP DEC-03-2014 09:43 PM 5094565843 >> 509 534 3382 P 3/4 Lyn-Tron, Inc. 2014-12-04 11:17 RALPH CAPAUL WELL Ralph Capaul Well FLOWBERVE PUMP GROUP PUMP INSPECTION & START-UP PUMP NAMEPLATE DATA Motors SIZE & TYPE 16ENH Driver-Ma. Seriel No. 140566-691770-1 しのわ Driver-HP Liquid Mater Motor Englanure THO NO. GC91770-001 Volts 30 14 h Discharge Head Phase R 60 50 CX. Discharge Head PRI Hertz Cycles INSTALLATION 1. Are suction and discharge pipes independently supported? (NOT by pump) Yes No 2. Were euclion and discharge pipe flanges carefully signed with discharge head flanges? Yes No Comments: 8. Does rotating element turn freely? Does it coast to a stop effer being rotated? ves Comments: 4. Is direction of rotation correct? (Agrees with nameptale.) YER No___ 5. Hes impeter its been checked? 1 Vee No_ (Messured By Derek Soci した the read that the 27
DEC-03-2014 09:43 F	M SPECIALTYPUMP	509 53	4 3382 P.0. 500 514 7782 D L/L
Ralph Capaul Well		2474203040	an sar graf i jil
in a pri Capaciti i git			
UTERALION	l'alator	1	
	VUQICI D	Specific Gravity	، ۱۹۷ پور باهند، تکن هوریانیانی کنیده او او در می بین مربود
Subligh precision	XEDC7		
Discharge press	DZ PJ		
	the level to certenine of decherge	an a	and the first of the second states and the second states and the second states of the second
UERERAL		4. 1 4.14 A.1.4	
1, MEVE OPSTEL	ng and maintenence procedures been	i leviewed and discussed with qubit	pimer's personnen
Yes, where a second sec			
2. Heve cleight	er e personner heosived operating and	meintenence kret votlone?	
Y QAR			
	or deve abequate spares for watting ;		
n not, neve pe	ite deen orderes?		
YOF STATE		4 M	
		When?	
9. Misjerintolik	Commerce Delow;	•	
	المحمد مع ماد الاستفاد علمان معرفت والم المحمد المحمد المحمد المحمد المحمد المحمد مع المحمد مع المحمد مع المحم 	איז	אין אינערעיין אייזיאר אייאראינערעייערע איז
	annan an annan an annan an an an an an a	Benefitier and a second of the second s	
and the second	ann ghaillean a far ann an		ار المان (المان (المان ا مورسه محافظ المان الم
<u>Aller televisteren soneren son</u>	Weyl & Dies of and Martine a summaring the second state of the second state of the second state of the	a file and a second	د من المانية (10 من 10 من
	المان المعين المحافظ المعالية الموالي المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المراجع المحافظ المحافظ المراجع ا المراجع المراجع	د می می این این این این این این این این این ای	<u>ﻣﯩﺪﯨﺪﯨﺪﻩﯞﻩ ﯞﻩﯞﻩﻩ, ﺩﻩﺩﻩ, ﺩﻩ, ﺩﻩ, ﺩﻩ, ﺩﻩ, ﺩﻩ, ﺩﻩ, ﺩﻩ, ﺩﻩ</u>
a home and a second		N (1) has a second statement of a 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1	an a
Constantion of the second second		^{را} معاملی میں جو جو جو جو میں معاملی میں بین ویکر کر اور کر میں جو جو کر کر کر کر کر کر	
		<u>٢٠٠٣] ٢٠٠٠ ٢٠٠٠ ٢٠٠٠ ٢٠٠٠ ٢٠٠٠ ٢٠٠٠ ٢٠٠٠</u>	The of a second product of the state of the second second state of the second second second second second second
		01941-14-04-04-04-04-04-04-04-04-04-04-04-04-04	
والمحاوية والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع المراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع وال	יייני אין איז		$(1,1,2,\dots,2,1,2,2,\dots,2,2,2,2,\dots,2,2,2,2,\dots,2,2,2,2$
para dan ara 11.4 Art da Mana Maria Mana an	<u>المستحمد (1</u> 5) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (
	A Par Print Saluise	The Alexburg	The second s
			alilie
(Signed)	fines figures	Flowerve Co. Deta	214117_
(Sgntd)	and for	Customer Dale	with the first provide the statement of the

1

Flowing artasian

Water N Y

×

×

×

 $\boldsymbol{\times}$

Form	238-7
6/07	

IDAHO DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES WELL DRILLER'S REPORT

1 WELL TAG NO D 0061673	12 STATIC WATER EVEL and MELL TESTS.		
Drilleo Bormit No. 9/9/1/0	12. STATIC WATER LEVEL and WELL TESTS:		
	Drilling Permit No. <u>FC/CCC</u> Static water level (1) <u>Static water level (1)</u>		
	Water temp. ("F) Bottom hole temp. ("F) 56		
2, UWNER: CLEUR & HEENE	Describe access port 2 COUPLING WITH PLUG		
Name	Well test: Test method:	Flow	
Address 110 E MULLAN	Drewdown (feel) vield (gpm) (minutes) Pump Bailer A	ir artas	
City COEUR d'ALENE State IDANO Zip 839/4	2.33 4000 200 🛛	ן ב	
3.WELL LOCATION:		J L	
Twp. <u>51</u> North 🛛 or South 🗋 Rge. <u>4</u> East 🗖 or West 🗷	Water quality test or comments:		
Sec. 27 SN 114 SW 114 114	13. LITHOLOGIC LOG and/or repairs or abandonment:	tal ator	
JU ACTRIS NU SCIENE 100 SCIENA	Dia. From To Remarks, intrology or description or repairs or finit (ft) (ft) abandonment, water temp.	Y	
Gov't Lot County KOOTENAI	30" O 15 BROWN SAND + BAVIS		
Lat. <u>47</u> 0 <u>43.908</u> (Deg. and Decimal minutes)	30" 15 60 BRINN SAND GLUIS COBRIES		
Long. // 6 0 49.73 (Deg. and Decimal minutes)	+ BOULDERS		
Address of Well Site ATLAS ROAD	24" 60 149 BLACK/BRAY FINE - MED SAND.	<	
City <u>Coeur d'Alenie</u>	BRULS, + COBRLES		
int Bik Sub Name	24 149 260 BROWN SILT BOUND SANA GRULS.	2	
	24" 260 285 BROWN SILTY SAND + GRVLS,	×	
4. USE:	24" 285 360 GRAY FINE-COARSE SAND + GRALS,	X	
Other	24" 360 383 BROWN FINE-COARSE SAND+GRVLD	×	
5. TYPE OF WORK:			
🔂 New well 🔲 Replacement well 🔲 Modify existing well			
Abandonment Other			
6. DRILL METHOD:			
7. SEALING PROCEDURES:			
RENTAUTE CHIPS O 60 7600 LASS. POUR			
R CASING(LINER-			
Diameter From the To (t) Gauge/ Material Casing Liner Threacad Welded			
24 +2-6 316:9" 375 STEEL			
Was drive shoe used? X IN Shoe Depth(s) 3/6-9"			
Manufactured screen & Y LIN Type Johnson			
Method of installation LOWERED WITH HOOK ON DRILL STEM			
From (ft) To (ft) Slot size Number/ft D ameler Material Gauge or Schedule	Completed Depth (Measurable): 382-9" FRAM KRND.		
711'9" 257's 120 40'8" 22" 304 stants			
201'5 201'5 120 20'4" 22" 20'4 20 5	Date Started: 37779 Date Completed: 77777		
357-5 577-7 .750 du 1 au 107 374/ALES :3	14. DRILLER'S CERTIFICATION: IAVe certify that all minimum well construction standards were complied	with at	
	the time the rig was removed.		
Length of Headpipe Length of Tallpipe	Company Name NOLT SPRINCES FAIL. CO NO 50	9/-	
Packer 🛛 Y 📋 N Type <u>Jeu 3 Ber</u>			
10.FILTER PACK:	Principal Driller Seelles Principal Date 1-1	7-14	
Filter Material From (ft) To (ft) Quantity (lbs or ft ²) Placement method	Driller File Mth Date 1-17	-14	
·		and the second	
	*Operator II Date		
11. FLOWING ARTESIAN:	Operator I Date		
Flowing Artes an? TY XIN Artesian Pressure (PSIG)	t Signature of Bringing Briller and die operation and measured		
	orgnature of Frincipal officer and ng operator are required.		

REPORT OF WELL DRILLER

DEC 16 1966

123

State law requires that this report shall be filed with the **persinent Engineer** within 30 days after completion or abandonment of the well.

WELL OWNER:	Size	of d	Irilled hole	: <u>20</u> " Stan	Total	 P
	leve]	l bel	Low ground:	161.5FT	Temp. 53	3 °
Address Coeur D Alene (DAHO	Fahr.	·	53° Test	delivery:	3100	_gpm
<u>45-66-N-4</u>	or		cfs Pump?	X Bail		. + .
Owner's Permit No.	Size	or p	pump and mot	or used to	o make tes	st: ໄດ້ມີເຊິ່ມ
New well X Deepened Abandoned	Leng	$\frac{12}{12}$ C	time of te	st: 2	Hrs. 15	Min.
	Draw	lown:	10.7 ft. A	rtesian p	ressure:	ft.
Water is to be used for: <u>MUNICIPAL Supply</u>	above	e lan	nd surface	Give f	lowc:	fs
METHOD OF CONSTRUCTION: Rotary Cable X	or	gr	om. Shutoff	pressure	:	<u></u>
Dug Other	Conti	rolle	ed by: Valve	∐ Cap	Plug	
(explain) (explain)	No co Ves			Well lear	around ca	asing.
20 "Diam. from 42 ft. to 2/8.4ft.	D	EPTH	MA	TERIAL	1	WATER
/8""Diam. from 26/ ft. to 247 ft.	FROM	TO			YE	S OR NO
"Diam. fromft. toft.	FEET	FEET	[
"Diam. from ft. to ft.	0	4	BLACK	DIRT	19	NO
Thickness of casing: Material:	4	3/	SAND + GI	RAUFL	I TY MINUS	NO No
Steel 🔀 concrete 🗌 wood 📃 other 🗌	60	73	HARD DA	<u>}</u>		NO
	73	90	SAND + LA	RGE GRAVE	1 70 6"	No
(explain)	90	123	GRAUEL +	LARGE ROC	R TO G"	No
PERFORATED? Yes No X Type of	123	124	BOULDER	100000	12 04 / 4	NA NA
periorator used:	1427	170	CANNEL +	NO TH GRAN	<u>ск 100°</u> еј 1"м	
Size of perforations: "by "	170	250	GOARSE SA	ND - PEA	GRAVEL	YES
perforations fromft. toft.	250	264	FINE SA	<u>ם א</u> ם		JES
perforations from ft. to ft.	264	247	GREY CL	A4		No.
perforations fromft. toft.	219	270	BED ROC	RU (BASI	<u>927)</u>	NO.
		L	<u> </u>			
WAS SUREEN INSTALLED / IES A NO		-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
Type Armco Iron Model No. Telescope						
Diam. 18 Slot size 50 Set from 216 ft. to	234	_ft.				
Diam. 18 Slot size 60 Set from 234 ft. to	242	_ft.				<u>├</u>
Diam. 18 Slot size 50 Set from 242 ft. to	252	- ^{ft.}	·			<u> </u>
Diam	201					
provided? Yes Y No To what depth?		F	<u> </u>			<u> </u>
56 ft. Material used in seal: BENTONITE						+
SLURBY			+			
Did any strata contain unusable water? les						
Depth of strata ft. Method of sealing		ļ	<u> </u>	- 		
strata off:]	↓	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	·
			<u> </u>	1 . P		+
The first sector was a low to the low				10 10 CM		
Cemented in place? Yes No.						
		<u> </u>	ļ			·
Locate Well in section		 	<u> </u>			
		L				
	Work	star	rted: Apr.	<u> </u>	166	
12	WOrk	Dril	lsned: <u>May</u>	<u>25</u> /	<u>966</u> swell wa	5
Sec.	dril	led 1	under my sup	ervision	and this	report
	is t	rue f	to the best	of my kno	wledge.	-
	Name	:/	HOLMAN D	RILLING	CORP.	
Well	Addr	ess:	3410 E9	ITH Spo	HANE L	Un.
	Sign	ed by	v: and	E 1 Lel	nan P	RES
	Lice	nse l	No. +82	Date:	une 20	19.66
LOCATION OF WELL: County KeeTENAL	1		108	7		_
<u>5W X 5W X</u> Sec. <u>12</u> I. <u>50</u> N R. <u>4</u> W, B.M.	I					
Use other side for						
	addi	tiona	al remarks	_ ^	C	10
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	addi	tiona	al remarks	USG	S	1. 1.1
,	addi	tiona	al remarks	ប្ទG	, S	17.17

Form 238-7 1/94 FEB 0 5 2004 IDAHO DEPARTMENT WELL DRILL	OF WATER RESOURCES BECEIVSET ppewriter or Ball Point Pen
1. DRILLING PERMIT NO. 7 - 9 - 7 - 063 Other IDWR No. Well Tag Doo28286 2. OWNER: Name City of Coever & Alene	11. WELL TESTS: DWith orth Pump Bailer Air Flowing Artesian Yield gal/min. Drawdown Pumping Level Time 3500 27 232 8 hrs
City Coeur & Alem State Id Zip 8385 City Coeur & Alem State Id Zip 8385 Clayfon Ave. Well 3. LOCATION OF WELL by legal description: Sketch map location <u>must</u> agree with written location.	Water Temp. <u>48°</u> Bottom hole temp. Water Quality test or comments: <u>Arsnic va</u> water Depth 1 st wtr, <u>225</u>
W Twp. SIN North or South Rge. $O+W$ East or West Sec. 35, WE 1/4 SE 1/4 1/4 Gov't Lot County Kootenau	Bore From To Remarks: Lithology, Water Quality & Temperature Y N 20 0 85 Briwn Sand + grad Co.5bby X 11 X5 110 Sand + PCa grade X 11 110 Sand + PCa grade X
(Give at least name of road + Distance to Road or Landmark) City Cause & Alcue Lt BlkSub. Name	1/95 210 Sand T clay
4. PROPOSED USE: Domestic Municipal Thermal Injection Other	1 225 267 Sund + grucet X 1 267 283 Gray setty clay X 1 283 310 Sand worth chay tayens X A 310 318 Gray (Jaco
7. SEALING PROCEDURES SEAL/FILTER PACK Material From To Sacks or Pounds High Solid Grift O 60 94	" 318 340 sand with clay layers X " 340 410 Sand + avained hater X
Was drive shoe used? Y X N I 340 ' Was drive shoe seal tested? Y I N X How? 8. CASING/LINER:	10 rist = Top of Scritch 340
Diameter From To Gauge Material Casing Liner Welded Threaded 20" + 2 340 375 5+cc(Image: Compare the second secon	
9. PERFORATIONS/SCREENS Perforations Method Screens Screen Type 30 4 Starw LUS Screen	Completed Depth 409 Date: Started 417/03 Completed Started 417/03
$From$ To Slot Size Number Diameter Material Casing Liner 340 360 360 030 18 5.5 \Box \Box 360 380 950 18 ⁴ 5.5 \Box \Box 380 390 070 18 ⁴ 5.5 \Box \Box 390 400 80 18 ⁴ 5.5 \Box \Box	Somption of 1905 13. DRILLER'S CERTIFICATION I/We certify that all minimum well construction standards were complied with at the time the rig was removed. Firm Name_HotH Firm Name_HotH Firm Name_HotH
10. STATIC WATER LEVEL OR ARTESIAN PRESSURE: 2.05 ft. below ground Artesian pressurelb. Depth flow encountered 2.25 ft. Describe access port or control devices: 2° n p μ with μ μ fo ρ f with μ fo ρ f	Firm Official Rundy Hoff Date Date and Supervisor or Operator <u>Wade Iversun</u> Date ate

FORWARD WHITE COPY TO WATER RESOURCES

Appendix D

Technical Memorandum, 2012 Fernan Hill Evaluation, August 3, 2012

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK





MEMORANDUM

DATE: 8/3/12

TO: Steve James, PE

CC: Michelle Johnson, PE

FROM: Nicolas Hiebert, EIT

SUBJECT: 2012 Coeur d'Alene Water Update – Fernan Hill Build-Out Area

The purpose of this technical memorandum is to present a conceptual layout of a future water system in the Fernan Hill area. This includes necessary components and pressure zones required to serve the existing and build-out areas as dictated by City. This analysis was conducted using the following information and assumptions:

- Existing Fernan Hill service elevations range from 2300' to 2450'
- Highest future build-out area elevation of 2560' to the east.
- Sole 12" transmission pipe feeding the Fernan Hill area via new booster pump station near existing Elm St. Booster Station.
- Future Fernan Hill storage reservoir necessary to regulate pressures at high demands
- Future intermediate booster station required to service build-out area.

A cursory review of the Fernan Hill area shows steep contours, indicating multiple pressure zones will likely be required to maintain a desired 50 – 90 psi pressure range. The following conceptual layout was developed and can be seen in the attached Figure:

- A. A minimum pressure of 50psi at the highest elevation in the build-out area (2560') requires an system hydraulic grade line (HGL) of approximately 2675'. Therefore, a future storage reservoir overflow elevation should be set to 2675'-2680'. This elevation can be achieved following the ridge line contours east of the existing Fernan Hill area.
- B. A high pressure of 90 psi would be reached at an elevation of approximately 2445'. Therefore, Fernan Hill area should be split into two pressure zones, an Upper Fernan Hill and a Lower Fernan Hill pressure zone. A reduction of 40 psi across this boundary would allow for a minimum pressure of 50 psi in the Lower Fernan Hill zone.
- C. The Lower Fernan Hill zone would reach a high pressure of 90 psi at an elevation of approximately 2375'. This elevation should be the lowest elevation served by Fernan Hill under the conceptual layout.

- D. However, this layout appears to leave a gap in the service areas between the General Zone and the conceptual Lower Fernan Hill zone. The existing HGL in the General Zone is approximately 2350', yielding allowable service pressures at ground elevations of approximately 2240' 2250'. This leaves a gap between the Lower Fernan Hill zone (2375') and the General Zone (2250') of approximately 125' of head or 55 psi. Potential solutions include:
 - 1. Serve residents within the gap from Lower Fernan Hill Zone utilizing pressure reducers at the service connection.
 - Distribute the pressure difference evenly between the Upper and Lower Fernan Hill zones by lowering the zone boundary elevations approximately 75' (thus increasing pressures in the by 25 - 30 psi). This would increase maximum pressures from 90 psi to approximately 120 psi in both the Upper and Lower zones.

Depending on where these pressure zone are established, existing pressures to the Fernan Hill residents may change. This should be given consideration before future layout improvements are selected.



Coeur d' Alene 2012 Water Model Potential Fernan Hill Layout

PINE MOUNTAIN

TRADITIONAL

SLEEPY

GIFTEDVIEW

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Appendix E

Technical Memorandum, 2012 Blackwell Hill Zone Analysis, September 26, 2012

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK





GATEWAY MAPPING INC.

MEMORANDUM

DATE: 9/26/12

TO: Steve James, PE

CC:

FROM: Michelle Johnson, PE

SUBJECT: 2012 Coeur d'Alene Water Update – Blackwell Hill Zone Analysis

This technical memorandum presents a conceptual analysis of the Blackwell Hill Area at buildout of the current planning boundary. This analysis includes the necessary components and multiple pressure zones required to serve the area. This analysis was conducted using the following information and assumptions:

- The Blackwell Hill planning boundary as established by the City.
- The General Zone serves elevations up to 2225'.
- Highest elevation within the planning boundary is approximately 2455'.
- The future area will be served by two river crossings; the existing crossing will be upsized to a 16-inch crossing and a new 18-inch crossing near the Mill River area on the north west corner of the service area.
- A future Blackwell Hill storage tank will be included to meet City criteria.
- Future intermediate booster stations and pressure reducing valves will be required to service the area.

The Blackwell Hill area is a steep, wooded area overlooking the Spokane River and Coeur d'Alene Lake. The contours indicate that multiple pressure zones will be required to maintain a pressure range of 50 - 90 psi. This approach for this concept is to serve the entire zone with one booster pump station that boosts from the General Zone up to a common storage tank for the entire Blackwell Zone. Several pressure reducing valves would then be required to supply the lower elevations within the zone. This operational scenario is expected to have lower cost and maintenance for the system than the installation of multiple storage tanks and booster stations.

A triplex booster station is recommended, and the required pump capacity for a single pump would be 310 gpm at 140 psi head. This setup would provide a firm capacity equal to the

projected peak day flow of 620 gpm. The required storage for a single tank for this zone would be 0.6 million gallons.

The following conceptual layout was developed and can be seen in the attached Figure:

- A. A minimum pressure of over 40 psi at the highest elevation in the build-out area (2450') requires a minimum system hydraulic grade line (HGL) of at least 2550'. In order to maintain this minimum pressure, a future elevated storage reservoir would be required with an overflow elevation set at a minimum of 2550'.
- B. Based on a tank overflow elevation of 2550', a high pressure of 90 psi would be reached at an elevation of approximately 2440', requiring a second pressure zone.
- C. A third pressure zone would cover elevations from 2340 to 2225.

Depending on the final elevations selected for the future pressure zones, pressure for the existing Blackwell Hill residents may change. Consideration of existing water pressures and any significant changes should be taken into consideration and addressed with the property owners when establishing future pressure zones.

Appendix F

Model Assumptions and Calibration

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX F

Model Assumptions and Calibration

Prepared by:



J·U·B ENGINEERS, INC. 7825 Meadowlark Way Coeur d'Alene, ID (208) 762-8787 | www.jub.com

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

CONTENTS

F1. Mo	odel As	sumptions And Calibration	1-1
F1.1	Backgro	ound	1-1
F1.2	Existing	Model	1-1
	F1.2.1	GIS Development	1-1
	F1.2.2	Existing Demand Development	1-2
	F1.2.3	Model Calibration	1-2
	F1.2.4	Existing System Deficiencies	1-8
F1.3	Future N	Model	1-12
	F1.3.1	Build-Out Demand Development	1-12
	F1.3.2	Build-Out System	1-14
	F1.3.3	Build-Out System Deficiencies	1-14
	F1.3.4	Recommended Improvements	1-17

Figures

Figure F.1.1 - Demand Diurnal Pattern	1-4
Figure F-1.2 – Dynamic Calibration Results	1-6

Tables

Table F.1.1 - Existing Demands	1-2
Table F.1.2 - Pipe C Factors	1-3
Table F.1.3 - Well & Booster Pump Setpoints	1-5
Table F.1.4 - PRV/PSV Setpoints	1-5
Table F.1.5 - Static Model Verification Results	1-6
Table F.1.6 - System Deficiency Parameters	1-8
Table F.1.7 - Existing System Deficiencies	1-9
Table F.1.8 - Land Use Types and Unit Flows	1-13
Table F.1.9 - Build Out Average Day Demands by Land Use Type	1-14
Table F.1.10 - Build-Out System Deficiencies	1-15

F1. MODEL ASSUMPTIONS AND CALIBRATION

F1.1 Background

This appendix summarizes the development and analysis of the City of Coeur d'Alene's 2023 water model. The primary purpose of the water model is to provide a hydraulic analysis of the system and to identify system deficiencies. This generally includes:

- A 72-hour extended period simulation (EPS) of the current system
- Identification of potential existing capacity issues
- An estimate of build-out conditions for the future system
- Identification of potential future capacity issues

The existing model is based on assumptions and parameters that characterize the area and existing system. The assumptions are based on the City's GIS data, characteristics learned from the physical system, similar studies done in the region, as well as general and historical knowledge gained through previous work for the City.

F1.2 Existing Model

F1.2.1 GIS Development

The City operates and maintains a detailed GIS database of their water distribution system. This database was used as the main source to develop the base water model and included information of pipe size, length, material, and connectivity. The GIS database was provided in shapefile format and converted into a WaterCAD database utilizing software interface tools. The pipe connectivity and pressure zone boundaries were updated based on GIS isolation valve data, City comments, and the previous water model updated for the Northeast Quadrant Pressure Stabilization analysis (J-U-B Engineers, 2016). Elevation data was assigned to the base model junctions by use of Kootenai County contour data in shape file format. The base model generated by the above process was validated without errors or warnings.

Model components, including wells, water storage tanks, booster stations, PRVs, and PSVs were imported from a combination of City provided shapefile data and the previous (2016) water model. Operating conditions for these components were reviewed and updated based on City provided data and discussion with City staff. These operating conditions included:

- PRV setpoints for maintaining downstream pressures
- Storage tank elevations
- Well and booster station pump curves and control setpoints

Missing or conflicting data was reviewed with the City and/or record drawings and then updated as necessary.

F1.2.2 Existing Demand Development

The demand for the existing model was developed from City of Coeur d'Alene recorded water meter data from July 2023 and exclude water meter data from KC District No. 1, Hoffman, and HLID. The City's water meters were supplied in a geo-referenced shape file showing the location of each meter and the monthly usage data reported as a volume in thousands of gallons. This data was used to establish a daily maximum month usage (July) for each meter within the shape file. The customer meter data was then imported into the water model using the "Model Builder" feature within WaterCAD. This feature geospatially analyzes the location of the meter and corresponding usage and then distributes the usage for each individual meter to the nearest model junction.

The average maximum month demand applied to the model was compared to the maximum day demand (MDD) that was established by historical usage. The average maximum month demand was found to be less than the MDD by a factor of 1.40; this factor was then used to escalate demands, establishing a system MDD. Similarly, peak hour demand (PHD) was established by applying a peaking factor of 1.96 to the MDD. This peaking factor is based on the demand diurnal pattern generated through model calibration (reference Section 1.1.3 for additional discussion on the daily diurnal pattern). **Table F.1.1** summarizes the existing demands assigned to the model:

Domond	Value)
Demano	GPM	MGD
Maximum Month	19,241	27.7
Maximum Day (MDD)	26,947	38.8
Peak Hour	52,816	76.1

Table F.1.1 - Existing Demands

F1.2.3 Model Calibration

Field data obtained for the basis of calibration consists of the following:

- System pressure monitoring Recording pressure gauges were set to record on a 5-minute interval from July 10th to July 24th, with a greater level of detail when hydrant flow testing occurred (15-seconds). Data was gathered from five locations across the High and General Zones.
- Hydrant flow testing Performed at five sites with assistance from City Staff the morning of July 19, 2023. The process involves measuring flow from fire hydrants at strategic locations inducing high demands to reduce pressure in the immediate vicinity of the flow test. System pressure drop was recorded in the immediate vicinity as well as in key locations in the High and General Zones with pressure data loggers.

Calibration is the process of modifying assumptions and parameters in order to best approximate the actual system performance observed in multiple locations. The calibration process requires an understanding of the limitations of the data and achievable system accuracies (typically \pm 15%

accuracies are considered acceptable for water models per industry standards). Both static (snapshots in time) and 72-hour extended period simulations (EPS) were run using the water meter demand data. Comparison of model results to the field data was followed by modulating several factors in the hydraulic model to achieve calibration.

F1.2.3.1 Model Assumptions and Parameters

Hazen Williams Roughness Coefficient

Calibration of the water model can be affected by adjusting the Hazen-Williams roughness coefficient, C, of system pipes. These adjustments were based on typical values observed and applied globally based on pipe material. **Table F.1.2** provides a summary of Hazen-Williams C factors used in the model.

0	
Pipe Material	"C" Factor
AC	139
Cast Iron	95
Ductile Iron	120
Galvanized Iron	125
HDPE	150
PVC	139
Steel	119

Table F.1.2 - Pipe C Factors

Diurnal Pattern

To proportion the demand over time in the system, a daily demand pattern, or diurnal, is applied at each junction. The result is a 72-hour calibration scenario that simulates the reactions of tank levels, pump operation, and system pressures to the demands at various times of the day. **Figure F.1.1** shows the diurnal pattern applied to each junction.





Controls Setpoints

Model calibration is greatly dependent on the controls setpoints utilized for interconnected components such as water storage tanks, well and booster station pumps, pressure reducing and/or sustaining valves (PRV/PSVs). Controls setpoints utilized for this model were provided by City Staff based on their summer month settings and are presented in **Table F.1.3** and **Table F.1.4**.

		Setpoint		
Pump	Pressure Zone	LOOKS TO TAIL	Start (ft)	Stop (ft)
Atlas Well	High	Industrial	125	142
4 th Street Well	General	Tubbs Hill	18	20
Hanley Well	High	Prairie	125	135
Honeysuckle Well	High	Prairie	142	150
Linden Well	General	Tubbs Hill	17	19
Locust Well	General	Tubbs Hill	16	19
Landings Well	High	Prairie	135	148
Prairie Well	High	Prairie	139	150
Annie Well	General	Tubbs Hill	15	18
Ralph Capaul Well	High	Prairie	137	149
Huetter Well	High	Industrial & Prairie	126 (Ind.)	149 (Prairie)
Elm Street Booster	Stanley	Stanley	22	28
Best Hill Booster	General	Tubbs Hill	17	18

Table F.1.3 - Well & Booster Pump Setpoints

Table F.1.4 - PRV/PSV Setpoints

Valve Location	Setpoint (psi)
PRV-1 Atlas and Appaloosa	45
PRV-2 Lee Ct and Appleway	50
PRV-4 Blackwell Lower	170
PRV-5 15th and Lunceford	60
PRV-6 Huetter Rd	60
PRV-7 12th and Crawford	60
PRV-8 Newbrook and Atlas	Inactive

F1.2.3.2 Model Verification Results

Static Calibration

Verification of the model calibration was performed by comparing the fire flow testing data to the model output. As listed in **Table F.1.5**, the calibration results for all five fire hydrants are within the target 15% accuracy range. Given the size and complexity of the City's water system and the limited accuracy of the hydrant tests, this is an acceptable amount of error. Therefore, the model is considered calibrated.

Location	Flow Hydrant ID	Residual Hydrant ID	Static or Hydrant Flow (gpm)	Observed Pressure (psi)	Model Pressure (psi)	Pressure Difference (psi)	% Error
Riverstone	286-F	287-J	Static	82	76	6	7.3%
		201-5	3,438	70	65	5	7.3%
Best Hill (Booster Off)	er Off) 214-J	214-J 214-G	Static	66	69	3	4.4%
			2,978	68	67	1	1.8%
Mullan Ave	096-0	С 096-Е	Static	80	82	2	2.0%
	0000		3,727	78	78	0	0.3%
Landings	504-N	504-0	Static	56	58	2	2.7%
Landings	00411	0040	2,978	50	55	5	9.2%
Shadduck Park	396-F	396-B	Static	77	79	2	2.5%
		000 D	3,438	65	66	1	2.0%

Table F.1.5 - Static Model Verification Results

Dynamic Calibration

The model output from the EPS scenario is compared to the pressure monitoring data to verify the controls logic, daily demand pattern, and model system reactions are representative of the actual system. Weighted calibration results for the system are within the 8% accuracy range. Figure F-1.2 displays the model system pressure at the five locations pressure data logger information was obtained over a 24-hour period.









F1.2.4 Existing System Deficiencies

The existing system model was evaluated for compliance within the parameters summarized in **Table F.1.6.** High pipe velocities and headloss indicates a pipe may be undersized and restricting flow. Extreme high or low pressures indicates there may be a supply issue in certain areas or zones. Any areas in the model resulting in deficiencies are reviewed for potential upgrades.

Parameter	Minimum Value	Maximum Value
Velocity	-	7 fps
Proceuro	20 psi Fire Flow	80 psi
FIESSUIE	40 psi Normal	
Headloss Gradient	-	0.01 ft/ft

System deficiencies in the existing model were identified under MDD.

 Table F.1.7 details the existing system deficiencies observed in each scenario and discusses

 potential solutions to each deficiency, although not all observed problems are recommended for action.

Observed Problem	Туре	Zone	Potential Solution
Localized high velocities and headloss gradients observed near the wells in operation.	-	General & High	None
Velocity higher than 7 fps and headloss gradients greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the 6" and 8" lines east of the 4 th Street Well in Haycraft, Gilbert, and Best Avenue.	Undersized	General	Upsize mains in Best Avenue, Haycraft Avenue, and Gilbert Avenue.
Headloss gradient greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the 8" line in Lee Court from Ramsey Road to Appleway Avenue.	Bottleneck	General	None
Headloss gradient greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the 2" dead end lines near the Mill River apartment complexes.	Dead-Ends	General	None
Headloss gradient greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the 8" line from Lincoln Way, crossing through the Kootenai Health parking lot to Ironwood Drive.	Bottleneck	General	Upsize existing mains in Lincoln Way, from Ironwood Drive to Emma Avenue.
Headloss gradient greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the 2" line in N 5 th Street from Annie Drive to E Hattie Avenue.	Bottleneck	General	None
Headloss gradient greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the 2" line in N Medina Street between Emma Avenue and Ironwood Drive.	Bottleneck	General	Upsize existing piping in Medina Street and Emma Avenue.
Headloss gradient greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the 8" line in Government Way from Spruce Avenue to just North of Davidson Avenue.	Bottleneck	General	Upsize existing 8-inch piping in Government Way.
Velocity higher than 7 fps and headloss gradients greater than 0.01 ft/ft within 4-inch line to/from Blackwell Hill tank.	-	Blackwell	None
Velocity higher than 7 fps and headloss gradients greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the 6" and 8" lines West of the Atlas Well site.	Undersized	High	Upsize piping in Arrowhead Road, Sherwood Drive, Tamarack Road, and Nez Perce Road.
Headloss gradient greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the 8" line in Shawna Avenue West of the intersection with Daly Drive.	Bottleneck	High	None
Headloss gradient equal to 0.01 ft/ft at Peak Hour in the 8" lines in Trinity Court from N Anne Street to N Cynthia Street and in Hoffman Avenue from N Anne Street to Mount Carol Street.	Bottleneck	High	None
Headloss gradient equal to 0.01 ft/ft at Peak Hour in the 6" line in N Isabella Drive from Ocean Avenue to Dalton Avenue.	Bottleneck	High	None

Table F.1.7 - Existing System Deficiencies

Headloss gradient equal to 0.01 ft/ft at Peak Hour in the 2" dead end line in W Horizon Court, East of N Sunrise Terrace.	Dead-End	High	None
Headloss gradient greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the 6" and 12" lines in Hanley Avenue from N Sunrise Terrace to Highway 95.	Bottleneck	High	None
Velocity higher than 7 fps and headloss gradients greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the 12" line in Wilbur Avenue, just East of the Prairie Well and Standpipe, from Mineral Drive to Highway 95, continuing South on the Highway.	Undersized	High	Upsize mains between the Hanley Well and Prairie Well on Highway-95.
Headloss gradient equal to 0.01 ft/ft during Peak Hour in the 8" line in Pinegrove Drive from W Sumac Avenue to Grove Way.	Bottleneck	High	None
Headloss gradient greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the short 8" line from the intersection of W Industrial Loop to the North.	Bottleneck	High	None
Velocity equal to 7 fps and headloss gradients greater than 0.01 ft/ft during Peak Hour in the 12" line in La Rochelle Drive from Atlas Road to Versailles Drive.	Bottleneck	High	None
Headloss gradient greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the 8" line in W Sorbonne Drive from Atlas Road to Pascal Drive.	Undersized	High	None
Headloss gradient greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the 8" line in N Roche Drive from Wilbur Avenue to Rubel Loop.	Undersized	High	None
Pressures less than 40 psi observed in the North end of the High Zone at Peak Hour.	Supply	High	Install new transmission main to improve flow across the zone.
Pressures less than 40 psi observed in the North-East quadrant of the system at Peak Hour.	Supply	High	Install 16-inch transmission main for new addition of storage to NE quadrant.
Pressures less than 40 psi observed in the higher elevation reaches of the Stanley Hill area on E Royal Anne Drive and Elton Lane at most times of day.	Supply	Stanley	Addition of storage to the Stanley Zone.
Headloss gradient greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the 6" line in Harrison Avenue from Elton Lane to N Hill Drive.	Undersized	Stanley	Upsize mains on Harrison Avenue to 12- inch.
Pressures less than 40 psi observed in the higher elevation reaches of the Fernan Hill area on E Fernan Hill Road at all times of day.	Supply	Stanley	Create new Fernan Pressure Zone with future Fernan Booster and addition of storage to the future zone.

Pressures less than 40 psi observed in the higher elevation portion of the Blackwell Hill area.	Supply	Blackwell	Addition of storage to the zone and booster station upgrades.
Pressures higher than 80 psi observed in the North-East quadrant in the early afternoon time period.	Supply	High	Evaluate for potential areas that can be separated by PRVs.
Pressures higher than 90 psi observed in the area between Highway 95, Kathleen Avenue, and Ramsey Road in the early afternoon. Pressures higher than 80 psi area observed most other times of the day.	Supply	High	Evaluate for potential areas that can be separated by PRVs.
Pressures higher than 90 psi observed in the Mill River Development area for the majority of the day with pressure spike above 95 psi observed in the early afternoon.	Supply	General	None – future development in the area will increase demand.
Pressures higher than 90 psi observed in the Riverstone Development area for the majority of the day with pressure spike above 95 psi observed in the early afternoon.	Supply	General	None – future development in the area will increase demand.
Pressures higher than 90 psi observed in the North Idaho College area West of Northwest Boulevard for the majority of the day.	Supply	General	Evaluate for potential areas that can be separated by PRVs.
Pressures higher than 90 psi observed on the West end of Sherman Avenue during the early afternoon. Pressures higher than 80 psi observed most other times of the day.	Supply	General	Consider pressure reduction at the customer tap.
Pressures higher than 80 psi observed east of I-90 along S Sherman Avenue, N Bruce Drive, and Lakeview Drive at all times of the day.	Supply	General	Consider pressure reduction at the customer tap.
Pressures higher than 90 psi observed in the lower elevation areas of Stanley Hill near Ponderosa Springs Golf Course at all times of the day.	Supply	Stanley	Consider closing the pipe at the intersection of Lilly Drive, N Hill Drive, and N Galena Drive to separate the lower portions of Stanley Hill Zone into the General Zone.
Pressures higher than 90 psi observed in the Armstrong Zone at all times of the day.	Supply	Armstrong	Consider pressure reduction at the customer tap.

F1.3 Future Model

F1.3.1 Build-Out Demand Development

The build-out model considers future conditions within the water system when it is fully developed. This includes infill to undeveloped areas within the current service area and future expansion within the planning area.

The build-out demands were developed by using GIS data from the City's water meter and zoning shape file, and parcel and structure shape files from Kootenai County. Each water meter was linked to multiple shape files to determine the land use type for each water meter. Parcel and structure shape files from Kootenai County were used in this process. Zoning was used as the primary designation to determine the land use type. The commercial zoning designations were further refined using additional characteristics found in the structure shape file. The Low-Density Residential land use type comprised all of the single-family dwelling units. Medium Density Residential consisted of multi-family dwelling units that contain between two to four dwelling per units, as well as mobile home and RV parks. High Density Residential included all apartments and multi-family dwelling units with over four dwellings per unit.

Once land use types were established, unit flows on a per acre basis were developed by analyzing water meter data of various zoning categories. The various land use types and unit flows are listed below in **Table F.1.8**.

Land Use Types	Maximum Summer Usage (Gal)	Net Land Use Area (Acre)	Unit Demand (Gal/Day/Ac)
Assisted Living	262,933	51	5,184
Church	239,867	93	2,578
Commercial ^(a)	2,733,133	1,437	1,902
Hotel	514,234	42	12,298
Industrial	41,533	37	1,132
Office	213,900	61	3,506
Open Space ^(b)	978,967	289	3,390
Public	1,079,733	740	1,459
Residential High	2,083,400	362	5,749
Residential Medium	2,105,366	522	4,030
Residential Low	15,636,670	3,828	4,085
Restaurant	471,366	79	5,988
School	1,149,266	266	4,328
Total	27,618,668	7,821	3,531

Table F.1.8 - Land Use Types and Unit Flows

^a Includes Hospitals usages in commercial. Hospitals likely have higher usage than typical commercial.

^b Open Space analysis results are not trustworthy. Multiple parcels are associated with one meter, therefore unit demands are likely much lower than reported.

Unit flows were applied within the GIS shape file to the centroid of individual future service parcels associated with the City's zoning map. The unit flows were then multiplied by the parcel area to establish the build-out demands for future connections. Existing meter demands were also evaluated and additional demands were applied to parcels not meeting their full potential demand as allowed per the City's zoning. Existing and future meter GIS shapefile data was then combined to represent all build out demands as shown in **Table F.1.9**. The result was a GIS shape file that was then imported into the water model using the "Load Builder" feature similar to the existing demand development. The same MDD and PHD demand multipliers as used in the existing model calibration were applied to the model resulting in MDD and PHD demands of 63.8 MGD and 125.09 MGD, respectively.

Land Use Types	Net Land Use Area (Acre)	Build Out ADD(Gallon/Day)
Assisted Living	51	491,746
Church	93	452,398
Commercial	1674	5,359,787
Hotel	43	909,556
Industrial	74	112,136
Office	61	366,849
Open Space	290	1,111,758
Public	751	1,952,503
Residential High	600	5,696,850
Residential Medium	589	3,115,779
Residential Low	5617	21,833,712
Restaurant	79	855,669
School	266	2,242,763
Total	10,187	44,501,507

Table F.1.9 - Build Out Average Day Demands by Land Use Type

F1.3.2 Build-Out System

In order to accommodate build-out demands, additional storage, supply, and future distribution pipes were required, including:

- New wells in the High and General Zones (reference the Water Supply Recommendations discussed in **Chapter 4**).
- New storage tanks in the High, General, Stanley Hill, and Future Fernan Zones (reference the Storage Recommendations discussed in **Chapter 5**).
- General future transmission lines routed into future build-out areas. These lines are shown in a general way to help understand impacts to the existing system under build-out conditions.
- Future transmission lines from new wells and tanks to route flow through the system and;
- Upgrades to existing piping to eliminate bottlenecks and undersized lines.

F1.3.3 Build-Out System Deficiencies

The build-out model was run both as an extended period simulation under MDD utilizing the same daily demand diurnal as the existing system model, and also as a static simulation under PHD.

Multiple areas exhibited pressure, velocity, and head loss greater than allowable criteria. A summary of these deficiencies and potential solutions are listed in **Table F.1.10**.

Observed Problem	Туре	Zone	Potential Solution
Localized high velocities and headloss gradients observed near the wells in operation.	-	General & High	None
Various high velocities and/or headloss gradients observed in short pipe reaches.	Undersized	All	Consider upsizing pipes with annual main replacements.
Existing pipes act as a bottleneck as demand is routed from the north side of the General Zone.	Undersized	General	Upsize mains in Appleway Avenue, Best Avenue, Haycraft Avenue, Gilbert Avenue, and N 4th Street.
Headloss gradient greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the 8" line in Lee Court from Ramsey Road to Appleway Avenue.	Bottleneck	General	None
Headloss gradient greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the 2" dead end lines near the Mill River apartment complexes.	Dead-Ends	General	None
Headloss gradients are greater than 0.01 ft/ft along Lincoln Way.		General	Upsize existing mains in Lincoln Way, from Ironwood Drive to Emma Avenue to 12 and 16-inch. The 6-inch pipe reach going east on Emma Avenue should also be upsized to an 8-inch to eliminate the bottleneck.
Headloss gradient greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the 2" line in N 5 th Street from Annie Drive to E Hattie Avenue.	Bottleneck	General	None
Headloss gradients are greater than 0.01 ft/ft along Medina Street and Emma Avenue.	Bottleneck	General	Upsize existing piping in Medina Street and Emma Avenue.
Headloss gradients are greater than 0.01 ft/ft along Government Way.	Bottleneck	General	Upsize existing 8-inch pipes in Government Way from the I-90 Overpass to Lacrosse Avenue to 12- inch.
There is no redundancy for serving the Blackwell Hill Zone if the existing river crossing were to fail.	-	General	Install parallel 12-inch to existing Blackwell river crossing.
Velocity higher than 7 fps and headloss gradients greater than 0.01 ft/ft within 4-inch line to/from Blackwell Hill tank.	-	Blackwell	None
Headloss gradients are greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the area south of the future Spiers Well and west of the existing Atlas Well.	Undersized	High	Upsize piping in Arrowhead Road, Sherwood Drive, Tamarack Road, and Nez Perce Road.

Table F.1.10	- Build-Out Svs	tem Deficiencies

Headloss gradient equal to 0.01 ft/ft at in the 8" lines in Trinity Court and in Hoffman Avenue.	Bottleneck	High	None
Headloss gradient equal to 0.01 ft/ft in the 6" line in N Isabella Drive from Ocean Avenue to Dalton Avenue.	Bottleneck	High	None
Headloss gradient equal to 0.01 ft/ft in the 2" dead end line in W Horizon Court, East of N Sunrise Terrace.	Dead-End	High	None
Velocity higher than 7 fps and headloss gradients greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the lines between the Prairie Well and Hanley Well.	Undersized	High	Upsize mains between the Hanley Well and Prairie Well on Highway-95.
Headloss gradient greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the short 8" line from the intersection of W Industrial Loop to the North.	Bottleneck	High	None
Headloss gradients are greater than 0.01 ft/ft in the area of recommended NE storage.	Undersized	High	Install new 16-inch transmission main for new addition of storage to NE quadrant.
Existing pipes act as a bottleneck as demand is routed to east portion of the zone.	Bottleneck	High	Install new 18-inch transmission main piping in Wilbur Avenue from Atlas Road to N Roche Road, and from Moselle Drive to Pinegrove Drive.
16-inch main loop is incomplete on the west side of the High Zone.	Supply	High	Install new 16-inch main from Future Spiers Well in W Industrial Loop and connect to the existing 16-inch in Atlas Road.
Insufficient supply to the east side of the High Zone causing low pressures.	Supply	High	Install new 16-inch transmission main piping in Kathleen Avenue and the Prairie Trail from Atlas Road to the intersection of Neider Avenue and Howard Street to route flow from wells on the west side to the east side of High Zone.
Pressures higher than 80 psi observed in the North-East quadrant in the early afternoon time period.	Supply	High	Evaluate for potential areas that can be separated by PRVs.
Pressures higher than 90 psi observed in the area between Highway 95, Kathleen Avenue, and Ramsey Road in the early afternoon. Pressures higher than 80 psi area observed most other times of the day.	Supply	High	Evaluate for potential areas that can be separated by PRVs.
Pressures higher than 90 psi observed in the Mill River and Riverstone Development area for the	Supply	General	Evaluate for potential areas that can be separated by PRVs.
majority of the day with pressure spike above 95 psi observed in the early afternoon.			
--	--------	---------------------	--
Pressures higher than 90 psi observed in the North Idaho College area West of Northwest Boulevard for the majority of the day.	Supply	General	Evaluate for potential areas that can be separated by PRVs.
Pressures higher than 90 psi observed on the West end of Sherman Avenue during the early afternoon. Pressures higher than 80 psi observed most other times of the day.	Supply	General	Consider pressure reduction at the customer tap.
Pressures higher than 80 psi observed east of I-90 along S Sherman Avenue, N Bruce Drive, and Lakeview Drive at all times of the day.	Supply	General	Consider pressure reduction at the customer tap.
Negative pressures near the Stanley Hill Tank/Johnson Ranch area due to additional future storage and large build-out demands in very small distribution lines. Headloss gradients are greater than 0.01 ft/ft in Stanley Hill area.	Supply	Stanley	Upsize mains on Harrison Avenue to 12-inch and extend 12-inch transmission main to new Stanley Hill Tank #2.
Pressures higher than 90 psi observed in the lower elevation areas of Stanley Hill near Ponderosa Springs Golf Course at all times of the day.	Supply	Stanley /General	Consider closing the pipe at the intersection of Lilly Drive, N Hill Drive, and N Galena Drive to separate the lower portions of Stanley Hill Zone into the General Zone.
Negative pressures near the Fernan build out area due to large build out demands applied on distribution lines.	Supply	Fernan	New Fernan Booster Station at the existing Elm Street site and new Fernan Hill Tank. 12-inch transmission piping between the booster and the new zone, as well as from the new tank will be required.
Headloss gradients are greater than 0.01 ft/ft within 6-inch transmission line to Armstrong Park area.	Supply	Armstrong	Upsize transmission line to Armstrong Park, and upgrade pump capacities.

F1.3.4 Recommended Improvements

Recommended improvements for the existing and build-out systems are identified in Chapter 6.

2023 Water System Comprehensive Plan Update

WORKS CITED

J-U-B ENGINEERS, Inc. "Northeast Quadrant Pressure Stabilization Analysis." 2016.









J-U-B FAMILY OF COMPANIES

Appendix G

Minimum System Development Criteria

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX G

Minimum System Development Criteria

Prepared by:



J·U·B ENGINEERS, INC. 7825 Meadowlark Way Coeur d'Alene, ID (208) 762-8787 | www.jub.com THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

CONTENTS

n System Development Criteria	1-3
ction	1-3
General	1-3
Boosted System	1-3
Booster Pump Station	1-3
Water Storage Tanks	1-4
Other Provisions	1-4
	n System Development Criteria General Boosted System Booster Pump Station Water Storage Tanks Other Provisions

G1. MINIMUM SYSTEM DEVELOPMENT CRITERIA

G1.1 Introduction

The 2023 Water System Comprehensive Plan Update summarizes system design criteria for system evaluation regarding regulatory requirements, specific performance criteria, and fire flow. This section presents minimum criteria for system expansion by the City and private development. The criteria to be maintained by the City water system are detailed in the below sections.

G1.1.1 General

General criteria are as follows:

- A normal operating pressure range of 50 to 80 psi at the meter.
- Maximum system pressure of 80 psi at the bottom floor of the service address.
- A minimum pressure of 40 psi at the top story of the service address.
- Minimum residual pressure of 20 psi during fires meeting the fire flow criterion.
- A minimum fire flow in commercial areas of 3,500 gpm and 1,750 gpm in residential areas, or as determined by the current City Fire Code, whichever is greater.
- All system pipelines must be looped unless otherwise agreed to by the City.
- All improvements meet IDEQ and AWWA criteria.
- All improvements meet City of Coeur d'Alene standards.

G1.1.2 Boosted System

Criteria for boosted system include:

- Water supply at least equal to the maximum day demand with the largest pump out of service.
- Storage capable of meeting the maximum fire demand plus equalization demand with the largest pump out of service during the maximum day while maintaining 50 percent storage in reserve. The City reserves the right to pay the incremental cost to oversize the storage at the City's discretion.
- A minimum fire flow in commercial areas of 3,500 gpm and 1,750 gpm in residential areas, or as determined by the current City Fire Code, whichever is greater.
- Ability to return water to lower pressure zones as determined by City staff.

G1.1.3 Booster Pump Station

Criteria for boosted pump stations are:

• Minimum number of service connections = 100

- Minimum of two pumps, each capable of handling maximum day demand
- Standby power required
- Provisions for supplemental disinfection as determined by City staff.
- Building configuration as determined by City staff but that has a minimum of 36-inch clear space around all pumps/pipes/electrical panels and provisions for pump removal.
- SCADA/telemetry as determined by City staff.

G1.1.4 Water Storage Tanks

Water Storage Tank criteria are as follows:

- Welded steel or precast concrete construction with coating systems as approved by City staff.
- Adequate access to and around tank for maintenance (minimum 20-foot-wide access)
- Separate fill/draw lines
- Telemetry as determined by City staff.

G1.1.5 Other Provisions

Other provisions that shall be followed include:

• Compound meters required on meters greater than 11/2 inches









J-U-B FAMILY OF COMPANIES

Appendix H

Capital Improvement Plan

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Appendix H - Capital Improvement Plan

City of Coeur d'Alene Water Department

Project Number	Capital Improvement Project Title	Description of Project	Targeted Date When Project will	Estimated Cost of Improvement	% Allocated	% Required by City	\$ Growth (CAP Fees)	\$ Existing Users (Rates)	20	23	2024 2025		025	20	2026		2026		2026		2026		027	20	28	20	029
			Start	in 2023 Dollars	to Growth				Existing Users	Growth	Existing Users	Growth	Existing Users	Growth	Existing Users	Growth	Existing Users	Growth	Existing Users	Growth	Existing Users	Growth					
Supply																					[]						
S-1	High Zone Additional Supply	4,000 gpm well	2025	\$ 2,800,000	100%		\$ 2,800,000	\$-						\$ 560,000		2,240,000											
S-2	General Zone Additional Supply	2,000 gpm well	2030	\$ 2,500,000	100%		\$ 2,500,000	\$-																			
S-3	High Zone Additional Supply	4,000 gpm well	2035	\$ 2,800,000	100%		\$ 2,800,000	\$-																			
S-4	High Zone Additional Supply	4,000 gpm well	2040	\$ 2,800,000	100%		\$ 2,800,000	\$-													ļ'						
S-5	Pump to waste rerouting	Modify existing wells pump to waste structures	2029	\$ 50,000		100%	\$ -	\$ 50,000													\$ 50,000						
S-6	4th Street - Well House Replacement	Replace existing 4th Street Well House	2037	\$ 1,790,000	100%		\$ 1,790,000	\$-													ļ'	4					
S-7	Atlas PRV Installation	Installation of PRV downstream Atlas	2025	\$ 90,000		100%	\$-	\$ 90,000	¢ 100.000		¢ 100.000		\$ 90,000		¢ 100.000		¢ 100.000		¢ 100.000		t 100.000						
5-8	Regular Pump Rehabilitation	Annual Pump Rehabilitation	Ongoing	\$ 100,000 \$ 100,000		100%	\$ - ¢	\$ 100,000	\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000						
5-9 S 10	Soft Start Poplacement	Rioppial Soft Start Poplacement	Ongoing	\$ 100,000 \$ 150,000		100%	э - с	\$ 150,000	\$ 150,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 150,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 150,000						
S-10	Water Bights (RAEN)	Reasonably Anticipated Future Needs - Water Rights	2024	\$ 20,000	100%	10070	\$ 20,000	\$ 130,000	\$ 130,000		\$ 20,000		\$ 130,000				\$ 150,000				\$ 55,000						
S-12	SCADA Maintenance	Annual SCADA Maintenance	Ongoing	\$ 55.000	10070	100%	\$ -	\$ 55.000	\$ 55.000		\$ 55.000		\$ 55.000		\$ 55.000		\$ 55.000		\$ 55,000		\$ 55,000						
S-13	General Zone Additional Supply	2.000 gpm well	2036	\$ 2,500,000	100%		\$ 2.500.000	\$ -	4				+,		+		+		+		+						
Booster Sta	tions	···· 0.		. ,,																							
B-1	Elm Street Booster	Additional Pump & Station Upgrades	2028	\$ 290,000		100%	\$-	\$ 290,000												\$ 290,000							
B-2	Elm Street Booster	Pump Modifications with Fernan Split	2032	\$ 100,000	100%		\$ 100,000	\$ -												· · · · ·							
B-3	Fernan Booster	Split Fernan and Elm, New Station to Fernan	2032	\$ 1,800,000	100%		\$ 1,800,000	\$-																			
B-4	Fernan Booster	Additional Pump	2034	\$ 100,000	100%		\$ 100,000	\$-																			
B-5	Blackwell Hill	Booster Station Upgrade	2026	\$ 2,000,000		100%	\$-	\$ 2,000,000							\$ 2,000,000												
B-6	Armstrong Park	Additional Pump	2035	\$ 1,000,000	100%		\$ 1,000,000	\$-																			
Storage																											
T-1	High Zone Storage	1 MG Storage in the NE quadrant	2025	\$ 6,800,000	100%		\$ 6,800,000	\$-						\$ 3,400,000		\$ 3,400,000											
T-2	Stanley Hill Storage	0.5 MG of Storage	2040	\$ 1,700,000	20%	80%	\$ 340,000	\$ 1,360,000													ļ'	4					
T-3	Blackwell Hill Storage	0.6 MG of Storage	2033	\$ 1,800,000		100%	\$ -	\$ 1,800,000													ļ'	4					
T-4	Armstrong Park Storage	0.5 MG of Storage	2038	\$ 1,700,000		100%	\$-	\$ 1,700,000													ļ'						
1-5 T.C	Fernan Hill Storage	0.7 MG of Storage	2032	\$ 2,100,000		100%	\$ -	\$ 2,100,000													'	4					
I-6	Recoating of Prairie Standpipe	Recoating of the Exterior	2027	\$ 600,000		100%	\$ -	\$ 600,000									\$ 600,000				'						
1-7	Recoating of Industrial Standpipe	Recoating of the Exterior	2031	\$ 600,000		100%	\$ - ¢	\$ 600,000													'						
1-0 Τ 0	Recoating Tubbs Hill TW Gal Tank	Recoal the Extend	2038	\$ 200,000 \$ 760,000		100%	э - с	\$ 200,000 \$ 760,000													'	-					
T-10	Recoating of Industrial Standpipe	Recoating of the Interior	2034	\$ 760,000 \$ 760,000		100%	\$ -	\$ 760,000																			
T-11	Recoating of Existing Stanley Hill Tank	Recoating of Interior and Exterior	2033	\$ 130,000		100%	\$-	\$ 130,000																			
T-12	High Zone Storage	1.0 MG of Storage	2032	\$ 6,800,000	100%		\$ 6,800,000	\$ -																			
T-13	High Zone Storage	1.0 MG of Storage	2042	\$ 6,800,000	100%		\$ 6,800,000	\$-																			
Distribution																											
D-1	High Zone Transmission Main	16-inch NE Tank Transmission Main	2024	\$ 5,400,000	100%		\$ 5,400,000	\$-				\$ 5,400,000															
D-2	High Zone Transmission Main	24-inch main between Hanley Well and Prairie Well	2027	\$ 3,370,000		100%	\$-	\$ 3,370,000									\$ 3,370,000										
D-3	High Zone Transmission Main	18-inch main along Wilbur	2029	\$ 6,220,000		100%	\$-	\$ 6,220,000													\$ 6,220,000						
D-4	High Zone Transmission Main	16-inch main for future spiers well	2025	\$ 2,480,000	100%		\$ 2,480,000	\$-						\$ 2,480,000							ļ'						
D-5	High Zone Transmission Main	16-inch main adjacent to Kathleen	2038	\$ 9,240,000	100%		\$ 9,240,000	\$ -													ļ'						
D-6	High Zone Transmission Main	Upsizing Piping near Atlas Well	2031	\$ 3,210,000	100%		\$ 3,210,000	\$ -													<u> </u>	-					
D-7	General Zone Main Upsize	Upsizing mains near 4th Street Well	2033	\$ 7,520,000	100%	4000/	\$ 7,520,000	\$ -													'	4					
D-8	General Zone - River Crossing	Parallel 12-Inch to Blackwell River Crossing	2041	\$ 670,000		100%	\$ - ¢	\$ 670,000													'						
D-9	General Zone - Government way Piping	Lipsize piping to 10-inch and 12-inch	2030	\$ 1,870,000 \$ 1,780,000	100%	100%	φ - \$ 1 780 000	\$ 1,070,000													'						
D-10	General Zone - Lincoln Way Pining	Upsize piping to 12-inch and 12-inch	2034	\$ 810,000	100%		\$ 810,000	\$ -																			
D-12	Stanley Hill Zone Transmission Main	Upsize main to new Stanley Hill Tank	2040	\$ 2,390,000	10070	100%	\$ -	\$ 2.390.000														-					
D-13	Future Fernan Zone Transmission Main Piping	New transmission main from new BPS to new tank	2032	\$ 3,390,000		100%	\$-	\$ 3,390,000																			
D-14	Armstrong Park Transmission Main	Upsize main to new Armstrong Park Tank	2038	\$ 2,000,000		100%	\$ -	\$ 2,000,000																			
D-15	General Zone I -90 Widening at NW Blvd	Replace 12-inch main on I90 overpass	2037	\$ 430,000		100%	\$-	\$ 430,000																			
D-16	Fernan Hill Future Development	Main Extension for future development	2040	\$ 1,380,000	100%		\$ 1,380,000	\$ -																			
D-17	Misc. areas around system	Ongoing main replacement	Ongoing	\$ 1,300,000		100%	\$-	\$ 1,300,000	\$ 1,300,000		\$ 1,300,000		\$ 1,300,000		\$ 1,300,000		\$ 1,300,000		\$ 1,300,000		\$ 1,300,000						
D-18	New/Replace Meter/Hydrant/Service Line Work	Ongoing replacement	Ongoing	\$ 500,000		100%	\$-	\$ 500,000	\$ 500,000		\$ 500,000		\$ 500,000		\$ 500,000		\$ 500,000		\$ 500,000		\$ 500,000						
Additional	Capital Improvements																										
M-1	Meter Replacement	Yearly Meter Replacement Program	Ongoing	Varies		100%	\$ -	\$ 590,000			\$ 167,000		\$ 541,000		\$ 480,000		\$ 706,000		\$ 529,000		\$ 485,000						
M-2	Comprehensive Rate Study	Update Every 5 Years	2028	\$ 50,000		100%	\$ -	\$ 50,000											\$ 50,000								
M-3	Comprehensive Plan Update	Update Every 10 Years	2033	\$ 150,000		100%	\$ -	\$ 150,000																			

203	30	20	31	20	32	20)33	203	34	20	35	20	036	20	37	2	2038	20	39	20	40	20	41	20	42	20)43
Existing Users	Growth	Existing Users	Growth	Existing Users	Growth	Existing Users	Growth	Existing Users	Growth	Existing Users	Growth	Existing Users	Growth	Existing Users	Growth	Existing Users	Growth	Existing Users	Growth	Existing Users	Growth	Existing Users	Growth	Existing Users	Growth	Existing Users	Growth
	¢ 500.000		4 0 40 000																								
	\$ 560,000		1,940,000								\$ 560,000		2 240 000														
											\$ 000,000		212 101000								\$ 560,000		\$ 2,240,000				
								\$ 50,000										\$ 50,000									
														\$ 1,790,000													
\$ 100,000		¢ 100.000		¢ 100.000		¢ 100.000		\$ 100.000		¢ 100.000		\$ 100.000		¢ 100.000		¢ 100.000		¢ 100.000		\$ 100.000		\$ 100.000		\$ 100.000		¢ 100.000	
\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000		\$ 100,000	
		\$ 150,000				\$ 150,000				\$ 150,000				\$ 150,000		· · ·		\$ 150,000						· · ·		· · · · ·	
								\$ 55,000										\$ 20,000									
\$ 55,000		\$ 55,000		\$ 55,000		\$ 55,000		\$ 55,000		\$ 55,000		\$ 55,000		\$ 55,000		\$ 55,000		\$ 55,000		\$ 55,000		\$ 55,000		\$ 55,000		\$ 55,000	ļ
													\$ 560,000		1,940,000												
					\$ 100,000																						
					\$ 1,800,000																						
						-			\$ 100,000											-							4
											¢ 1 000 000																
											\$ 1,000,000																
																				\$ 1,360,000	\$ 340,000						
						\$ 1,800,000																					
																\$ 1,700,000											
				\$ 2,100,000																							4
		\$ 600.000																									
		\$ 000,000				-										\$ 200.000				-						-	
								\$ 760,000																			
												\$ 760,000															
						\$ 130,000														-							4
				\$ 6,800,000																					¢ 0.400.000		¢ 2,400,000
																									\$ 3,400,000		\$ 3,400,000
			\$ 3,210,000														\$ 9,240,000										
			φ 3,∠10,000				\$ 7,520.000																				
							, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,															\$ 670,000					
\$ 1,670,000																											
									\$ 1,780,000																		
													\$ 810,000							¢ 2,200,000							
				\$ 3,390,000								-								\$ 2,390,000							
				\$ 3,390,000												\$ 2,000.000					-						
														\$ 430,000		, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,											
																					\$ 1,380,000						
\$ 1,300,000		\$ 1,300,000		\$ 1,300,000		\$ 1,300,000		\$ 1,300,000		\$ 1,300,000		\$ 1,300,000		\$ 1,300,000		\$ 1,300,000		\$ 1,300,000		\$ 1,300,000		\$ 1,300,000		\$ 1,300,000		\$ 1,300,000	
\$ 500,000		\$ 500,000		\$ 500,000		\$ 500,000		\$ 500,000		\$ 500,000		\$ 500,000		\$ 500,000		\$ 500,000		\$ 500,000		\$ 500,000		\$ 500,000		\$ 500,000		\$ 500,000	
\$ 829,000		\$ 626,000		\$ 804.000		\$ 725.000		\$ 590,000		\$ 590,000		\$ 590.000		\$ 590,000		\$ 590,000		\$ 590,000		\$ 590.000		\$ 590.000		\$ 590.000		\$ 590,000	
÷ 525,000		- 020,000		+ 004,000		\$ 50,000		\$ 550,000		- 550,000		÷ 550,000		, 550,000		\$ 50,000		\$ 550,000		÷ 550,000		2 250,000		- 000,000		÷ 550,000	
						\$ 150,000																					

(JUB)		
J·U·B ENGINEERS, INC.		7825 Meadowlark Way, Coeur d'Alene, ID 83815 / 208.762.8787
PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department	DATE: 5/1/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update	
DESCRIPTION:	4,000 gpm well	
CIP Code:	S-1, S-3, S-4	

			20-2	2-058		
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION					
		QTY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	Т	OTAL COST
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit Price		Total Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization			5.0%	\$	85,000
2	Construction Traffic Control			0.0%	\$	-
3	Well Drilling					
3.1	Test Well	1	LS	\$ 50,000	\$	50,000
3.2	Well Drilling - Production Well	1	LS	\$ 350,000	\$	350,000
4	Well House				\$	-
4.1	Piping and Appurtenances	1	LS	\$ 150,000	\$	150,000
4.2	4000 gpm well pump	1	LS	\$ 200,000	\$	200,000
4.3	Electrical & SCADA	1	LS	\$ 350,000	\$	350,000
4.4	Building	1	LS	\$ 200,000	\$	200,000
4.5	Site Work	1	LS	\$ 120,000	\$	120,000
4.6	Backup Power	1	LS	\$ 110,000	\$	110,000
4.7	On-Site Disinfection	1	LS	\$ 125,000	\$	125,000
5	Bonding and Insurance		-	2.5%	\$	41,000
		ESTIMAT	ED CONSTRUCT	TION SUBTOTA	L \$	1,781,000
				Contingency	\$	534,000
	P	lanning, Engine	ering, & Admini	strative Costs	² \$	463,000
		TOTAL PRO	BABLE COST IN	2023 DOLLARS	5 \$	2,800,000

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

JUB ENGINEERS, INC.		7825 Meadowlark Way. Coeur d'Alene. ID 83815 / 208.762.8787
		, 220 medde main (104), 2004, 4, Meney (20010), 2001, 0210, 07
PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department	DATE: 5/1/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update	
DESCRIPTION:	2,000 gpm well	
CIP Code:	S-2, S-13	

	J-U-B PROJ. NO.: 24								
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION								
		QTY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	т	OTAL COST			
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit Price		Total Price			
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization			5.0%	\$	75,000			
2	Construction Traffic Control			0.0%	\$	-			
3	Well Drilling								
3.1	Test Well	1	LS	\$ 50,000	\$	50,000			
3.2	Well Drilling - Production Well	1	LS	\$ 275,000	\$	275,000			
4	Well House				\$	-			
4.1	Piping and Appurtenances	1	LS	\$ 150,000	\$	150,000			
4.2	2000 gpm well pump	1	LS	\$ 150,000	\$	150,000			
4.3	Electrical & SCADA	1	LS	\$ 300,000	\$	300,000			
4.4	Building	1	LS	\$ 200,000	\$	200,000			
4.5	Site Work	1	LS	\$ 120,000	\$	120,000			
4.6	Backup Power	1	LS	\$ 100,000	\$	100,000			
4.7	On-Site Disinfection	1	LS	\$ 125,000	\$	125,000			
5	Bonding and Insurance		-	2.5%	\$	37,000			
	ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL								
				Contingency	\$	475,000			
		Planning, Engine	ering, & Admini	strative Costs	\$	411,000			
		TOTAL PRO	DBABLE COST IN	2023 DOLLARS	\$	2,500,000			

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

(JUB)							
J·U·B ENGINEERS, INC.		7825 Meadowl	ark Way, Coeu	r d'Al	ene, ID 8381	15/3	208.762.8787
PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department				DATE:		5/1/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update						
DESCRIPTION:	Upper Zone Storage - 1.0 MG						
CIP Code:	Т-1, Т-12, Т-13						
			J-U-B PROJ. NO.:			20-2	22-058
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION		SCHEDU	JLE O	F VALUES		
		QTY	UNIT	U	NIT PRICE	Т	OTAL COST
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	L	Jnit Price		Total Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization				5.0%	\$	207,000
2	Construction Traffic Control				2.0%	\$	81,000
3	Storage Improvements						
3.1	Storage Tank (Working Volume 1.0 MG)	1	LS	\$	2,500,000	\$	2,500,000
3.2	Foundation	1	LS	\$	350,000	\$	350,000
3.3	Excavation	1	LS	\$	250,000	\$	250,000
3.4	Fencing	500	LF	\$	150	\$	75,000
4	Miscellaneous Other						
4.1	Electrical and Instrumentation				10%	\$	318,000
4.2	Site Piping				10%	\$	349,000
4.3	Site Restoration				10%	\$	134,000
5	Bonding and Insurance		-		2.5%	\$	87,000
		ESTIMAT	ED CONSTRUC	TION	SUBTOTAL	\$	4,351,000
				Cor	ntingency ¹	\$	1,305,000
		Planning, Engine	ering, & Admin	istrat	tive Costs ²	\$	1,131,000
		TOTAL PRO	BABLE COST IN	1 202.	3 DOLLARS	\$	6,800,000
						_	

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

J·U·B ENGINEERS, INC.		7825 Meadowlar	k Way, Coeu	ır d'Al	ene, ID 8381	.5 / 2	08.762.878								
PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department				DATE:		5/1/2024								
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update														
DESCRIPTION:	Stanley Hill Tank														
CIP Code:	T-2														
		J	I-U-B PROJ. NO	.:		20-22	2-058								
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	SCHEDULE OF VALUES													
		QTY	UNIT	U	NIT PRICE	т	OTAL COST								
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	ι	Unit Price		Unit Price		Unit Price		Unit Price		Unit Price		otal Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization				5.0%	\$	52,00								
2	Construction Traffic Control					\$	-								
3	Storage Improvements														
3.1	Property Acquisition	6,000	SF	\$	15	\$	90,00								
3.2	Site Work	1	LS	\$	30,000	\$	30,00								
3.4	Storage Tank and Foundation (0.5 MG)	1	LS	\$	800,000	\$	800,00								
4	Miscellaneous Other														
4.1	Electrical and Instrumentation				5%	\$	46,00								
4.2	Site Piping				5%	\$	48,00								
5	Bonding and Insurance		-		2.5%	\$	24,00								
		ESTIMATE	D CONSTRU		SUBTOTAL	\$	1,090,00								
				Cor	ntingency ¹	\$	327,00								
		Planning, Engineer	ring, & Admi	nistrat	tive Costs ²	\$	283,00								
		TOTAL PROB	ABLE COST I	N 202	3 DOLLARS	Ś	1,700,00								

(JUB)								
J·U·B ENGINEERS, INC.		7825 Meadowl	ark Way, Coeu	ır d'Al	ene, ID 838:	15/2	208.762.8787	
PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department				DATE:		5/1/2024	
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update							
DESCRIPTION:	Blackwell Hill Tank							
CIP Code:	T-3							
			J-U-B PROJ. NO	.:		20-2	2-058	
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION		SCHED	ULE O	F VALUES			
		QTY	UNIT	U	NIT PRICE	Т	OTAL COST	
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	ι	Jnit Price		Total Price	
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization				5.0%	\$	55,000	
2	Construction Traffic Control					\$	-	
3	Storage Improvements							
3.1	Property Acquisition	-	SF	\$	15	\$	-	
3.2	Site Work	1	LS	\$	100,000	\$	100,000	
3.4	Storage Tank and Foundation (0.6 MG)	1	LS	\$	875,000	\$	875,000	
4	Miscellaneous Other							
4.1	Electrical and Instrumentation				5%	\$	49,000	
4.2	Site Piping				5%	\$	51,000	
5	Bonding and Insurance		-		2.5%	\$	26,000	
ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL								
				Cor	ntingency ¹	\$	347,000	
		Planning, Engine	ering, & Admi	nistrat	tive Costs ²	\$	301,000	
		TOTAL PRO	BABLE COST I	N 202.	3 DOLLARS	\$	1,800,000	

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

(JUB)						_ /	
J·U·B ENGINEERS, INC.		7825 Meadowl	ark Way, Coeur	' d'Ale	ene, ID 8381	.5 /	208.762.8787
PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department				DATE:		5/1/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update						
DESCRIPTION:	Armstrong Park Tank						
CIP Code:	T-4						
			J-U-B PROJ. NO.:			20-	22-058
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION		SCHEDU	JLE O	F VALUES		
		QTY	UNIT	U	NIT PRICE	-	TOTAL COST
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	ι	Jnit Price		Total Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization				5.0%	\$	52,000
2	Construction Traffic Control					\$	-
3	Storage Improvements						
3.1	Property Acquisition	6,000	SF	\$	15	\$	90,000
3.2	Site Work	1	LS	\$	30,000	\$	30,000
3.4	Storage Tank and Foundation (0.5 MG)	1	LS	\$	800,000	\$	800,000
4	Miscellaneous Other						
4.1	Electrical and Instrumentation				5%	\$	46,000
4.2	Site Piping				5%	\$	48,000
5	Bonding and Insurance		-		2.5%	\$	24,000
		ESTIMAT	ED CONSTRUC	TION	SUBTOTAL	\$	1,090,000
				Cor	ntingency ¹	\$	327,000
		Planning, Engine	ering, & Admin	istrat	ive Costs ²	\$	283,000
		TOTAL PRO	BABLE COST IN	1 202.	3 DOLLARS	Ś	1.700.000

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

325 Meadowlark Way, Coeur d'Alene, ID 83815 / 208.762.8787 PROJECT: Coeur d'Alene Water Department DATE: 5/1/2024 2023 Comprehensive Plan Update DESCRIPTION: Fernan Hill Tank I-U-B PROJ. NO.: 20-22-058 ITEM NO.: 20-22-058 ITEM NO. DESCRIPTION SCHEDULE OF VALUES ITEM NO. DEscription Est. Quant. Unit Unit VIIT RICE TOTAL COST ITEM NO. Description Est. Quant. Unit Unit VIIT RICE TOTAL COST ITEM NO. Description Est. Quant. Unit Unit Unit Price TOTAL COST ITEM NO. Sorage Improvements 5 Sorage Improvements 5 10/000 S 1/000,000 S 1/000,000 S 1/000,000 S 1/000,000	(JUB)						
PROJECT: Coeur d'Alene Water Department DATE: 5/1/2024 2023 Comprehensive Plan Update 2023 Comprehensive Plan Update V </th <th>J·U·B ENGINEERS, INC.</th> <th></th> <th>7825 Meadowl</th> <th>ark Way, Coeur</th> <th>d'Alene, ID 838</th> <th>15 /</th> <th>208.762.8787</th>	J·U·B ENGINEERS, INC.		7825 Meadowl	ark Way, Coeur	d'Alene, ID 838	15 /	208.762.8787
2023 Comprehensive Plan Update DESCRIPTION: Fernan Hill Tank CIP Code: T-5 J-U-B PROJ. NO:: 20-22-058 ITEM NO. DESCRIPTION SCHED// VALUES TTEM NO. DESCRIPTION Est. Quant UNIT PRICE TOTAL COST ITEM NO. Description Est. Quant Unit UNIT PRICE TOTAL COST ITEM NO. Description Est. Quant Unit Total Price 1 Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization Est. Quant Unit Unit Price 5.0% \$ 65.000 \$ - 1 LS \$ 40,000 3.4 Storage Tank and Foundation (0.7 MG) 1	PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department			DATE:		5/1/2024
DESCRIPTION: Fernan Hill Tank CIP Code: T-5 ITEM NO. DESCRIPTION QTY UNIT UNIT PRICE TIEM NO. DESCRIPTION 20:2:05 QTY UNIT ITEM NO. DESCRIPTION SCHEDULUES ITEM NO. Description Est. Quant. Unit Unit Price Total COST 1 Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization Storage Improvements Storage Improvements Storage Improvements Storage Improvements Storage Improvements Storage Tank and Foundation (0.7 MG) Storage Tank and Foundation (0.7 MG) Storage Tank and Foundation (0.7 MG) Storage Tank and Foundation Storage Tank and Foundation Storage Storage Storage Tank and Foundation Storage		2023 Comprehensive Plan Update					
CIP Code:T-5I-U-B PROL NO.:20-22-058ITEM NO.DESCRIPTIONSCHEDULE OF VALUESITEM NO.DescriptionEst. Quant.UNITUNIT PRICETOTAL COSTITEM NO.DescriptionEst. Quant.UnitUNIT PRICETOTAL COSTITEM NO.DescriptionEst. Quant.UNITUNIT PRICETOTAL COSTITEM NO.DescriptionEst. Quant.UNITUNITTOTAL COSTIMobilization, Administration, DemobilizationEst. Quant.UNITUNITTOTAL COST1Mobilization, Administration, DemobilizationEst. Quant.UnitTotal Price3Storage ImprovementsStorage ImprovementsStorage Tank and Foundation (0.7 MG)ILS\$ 40,000\$ 40,0003Storage Tank and Foundation (0.7 MG)ILSStorage Tank and Foundation (0.7 MG)ILS\$ 5%\$ 5%\$ 5%\$ 5%\$ 5%\$ 5%\$ 5%\$ 5%\$ 5%\$ 5%\$ 5%	DESCRIPTION:	Fernan Hill Tank					
ITEM NO. DESCRIPTION SCHEDULEUUEUUUS ITEM NO. Description Est. Quant. UNIT UNIT PRICE TOTAL COST ITEM NO. Description Est. Quant. Unit Unit Price Total Price 1 Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization 5.0% \$ 65,000 2 Construction Traffic Control 5.0% \$ 05,000 3 Storage Improvements 5.0% \$ 120,000 3.1 Property Acquisition 8,000 SF \$ 120,000 3.2 Site Work 1 LS \$ 40,000 \$ 40,000 3.4 Storage Tank and Foundation (0.7 MG) 1 LS \$ 1,000,000 \$ 1,000,000 4 Miscellaneous Other 5% \$ 58,000 \$ 1,000,000 \$ 1,000,000 \$ 30,000 4.1 Electrical and Instrumentation 5% \$ 58,000 \$ \$ 30,000 \$ \$ \$ 30,000 5 Bonding and Insurance - 2.5% \$ 30,000 \$ \$ 1,374,000 \$ \$ 1,374,000 \$ \$ 1,374,000 \$ \$ \$ 1,374,000 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	CIP Code:	T-5					
ITEM NO. DESCRIPTION SCHEDULUS VALUES ITEM NO. Orry UNIT UNIT PRICE TOTAL COST ITEM NO. Description Est. Quant. Unit Unit Price Total Price 1 Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization 5.0% \$ 05,000 \$ 000	J-U-B PROJ. NO.: 20-22-05					22-058	
QTY UNIT UNIT PRICE TOTAL COST ITEM No. Description Est. Quant. Unit Unit Price Total Price 1 Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization 5.0% \$ 65,000 \$ </th <th>ITEM NO.</th> <th>DESCRIPTION</th> <th></th> <th>SCHEDU</th> <th>ILE OF VALUES</th> <th></th> <th></th>	ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION		SCHEDU	ILE OF VALUES		
ITEM No.DescriptionEst. Quant.UnitUnit Price $T total Price$ 1Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization5.0%\$65,0002Construction Traffic Control <th></th> <th></th> <th>QTY</th> <th>UNIT</th> <th>UNIT PRICE</th> <th>T</th> <th>OTAL COST</th>			QTY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	T	OTAL COST
1 Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization 5.0% \$ 65,000 2 Construction Traffic Control 5 \$ 65,000 3 Storage Improvements 5 \$ 1 3.1 Property Acquisition 8,000 SF \$ \$ 120,000 3.2 Site Work 1 LS \$ 40,000 \$ 40,000 3.4 Storage Tank and Foundation (0.7 MG) 1 LS \$ 1,000,000 \$ 1,000,000 \$ 1,000,000 \$ 1,000,000 \$ 1,000,000 \$ 1,000,000 \$ 1,000,000 \$ 1,000,000 \$ 1,000,000 \$ 1,000,000 \$ 5,58,000 \$ 5,58,000 \$ 5,58,000 \$ 5,58,000 \$ 3,0000 \$ 1,374,000 \$ \$ 1,374,000 \$ \$ 412,000 \$ \$ 3,57,000 \$ \$ 3,57,000 \$ \$ 3,57,000 \$ \$ 3,57,000 \$ \$ 3,57,000 <t< th=""><th>ITEM No.</th><th>Description</th><th>Est. Quant.</th><th>Unit</th><th>Unit Price</th><th></th><th>Total Price</th></t<>	ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit Price		Total Price
2 Construction Traffic Control , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization			5.0%	\$	65,000
3 Storage Improvements 8,000 SF \$ 15 \$ 120,000 3.1 Property Acquisition 8,000 SF \$ 15 \$ 120,000 3.2 Site Work 1 LS \$ 40,000 \$ 40,000 3.4 Storage Tank and Foundation (0.7 MG) 1 LS \$ 1,000,000 \$ 1,000,000 4 Miscellaneous Other - <	2	Construction Traffic Control				\$	-
3.1 Property Acquisition 8,000 SF \$ 15 \$ 120,000 3.2 Site Work 1 LS \$ 40,000 \$ 40,000 3.4 Storage Tank and Foundation (0.7 MG) 1 LS \$ 1,000,000 \$ 1,000,000 4 Miscellaneous Other - 5% \$ 58,000 4.1 Electrical and Instrumentation - 5% \$ 61,000 5 Bonding and Insurance - 2.5% \$ 30,000 5 Bonding and Insurance - 2.5% \$ 1,374,000 Contingency 1 TOTAL PROBABLE COST IN 2023 DOLLARS \$ 2,100,000	3	Storage Improvements					
3.2 Site Work 1 LS \$ 40,000 \$ 40,000 3.4 Storage Tank and Foundation (0.7 MG) 1 LS \$ 1,000,000 \$ 1,000,000 4 Miscellaneous Other - - - - 4.1 Electrical and Instrumentation - 5% \$ 58,000 4.2 Site Piping - 5% \$ 61,000 5 Bonding and Insurance - 2.5% \$ 30,000 ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SUBTORAL Contingency ¹ \$ 1,374,000 TOTAL PROBABLE COST IN 2023 DOLLARS \$ 2,100,000	3.1	Property Acquisition	8,000	SF	\$ 15	\$	120,000
3.4 Storage Tank and Foundation (0.7 MG) 1 LS \$ 1,000,000 \$ 1,000,000 4 Miscellaneous Other 1 LS \$ 1,000,000 \$ 1,000,000 4.1 Electrical and Instrumentation 5% \$ 5% \$ 58,000 4.2 Site Piping 5% \$ 61,000 5 Bonding and Insurance - 2.5% \$ 30,000 ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTINUE SUBTORAL Contingency ¹ \$ 412,000 TOTAL PROBABLE COST IN 2023 DOLLARS	3.2	Site Work	1	LS	\$ 40,000	\$	40,000
4 Miscellaneous Other Image: Sign of the state o	3.4	Storage Tank and Foundation (0.7 MG)	1	LS	\$ 1,000,000	\$	1,000,000
4.1 Electrical and Instrumentation 5% \$ 58,000 4.2 Site Piping 5% \$ 61,000 5 Bonding and Insurance - 2.5% \$ 30,000 ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL Contingency ¹ y 412,000 Contingency ¹ \$ TOTAL PROBABLE COST IN 2023 DOLLARS \$ 2.100.000	4	Miscellaneous Other					
4.2 Site Piping 5% \$ 61,000 5 Bonding and Insurance - 2.5% \$ 30,000 ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL Contingency 1 4.2 Distribution of the second secon	4.1	Electrical and Instrumentation			5%	\$	58,000
5 Bonding and Insurance - 2.5% \$ 30,000 ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL Contingency ¹ \$ 1,374,000 Contingency ¹ \$ 412,000 Planning, Engineering, & Administrative Costs ² \$ 357,000	4.2	Site Piping			5%	\$	61,000
ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL \$ 1,374,000 Contingency 1 \$ 412,000 Planning, Engineering, & Administrative Costs 2 \$ 357,000 TOTAL PROBABLE COST IN 2023 DOLLARS \$ 2,100,000	5	Bonding and Insurance		-	2.5%	\$	30,000
Contingency 1 \$ 412,000 Planning, Engineering, & Administrative Costs 2 \$ 357,000 TOTAL PROBABLE COST IN 2023 DOLLARS \$ 2,100,000			ESTIMAT	ED CONSTRUCT	TION SUBTOTAL	\$	1,374,000
Planning, Engineering, & Administrative Costs ² \$ 357,000 TOTAL PROBABLE COST IN 2023 DOLLARS \$ 2,100,000					Contingency ¹	\$	412,000
TOTAL PROBABLE COST IN 2023 DOLLARS \$ 2,100,000			Planning, Engine	ering, & Admini	istrative Costs ²	\$	357,000
			TOTAL PRO	BABLE COST IN	2023 DOLLARS	\$	2,100,000

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

(JUB)						
J·U·B ENGINEERS, INC.	7	825 Meadowl	ark Way, Coeur	d'Alene, ID 8381	15/2	208.762.8787
PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department			DATE:		5/1/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update					
DESCRIPTION:	Standpipe Coatings					
CIP Code:	T-6, T-7					
			J-U-B PROJ. NO.:		20-2	2-058
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION		SCHEDU	LE OF VALUES		
		QTY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	Т	OTAL COST
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit Price	-	Total Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization			5.0%	\$	18,000
2	Construction Traffic Control			0.0%	\$	-
3	Coating					
3.1	Exterior Coating of Standpipe	30,000	SF	\$ 8	\$	240,000
4	Miscellaneous Other				\$	-
4.1	Coordination around Communication Utilities & Misc.	1	LS	50%	\$	120,000
5	Bonding and Insurance		-	2.5%	\$	9,000
		ESTIMAT	ED CONSTRUCT	ION SUBTOTAL	\$	387,000
Contingency ¹					\$	116,000
Planning, Engineering, & Administrative Costs ²					\$	101,000
		TOTAL PRO	BABLE COST IN	2023 DOLLARS	\$	600,000

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

(JUB)						
J·U·B ENGINEERS, INC.	7	825 Meadowla	ark Way, Coeur	d'Alene, ID 838	15 / 20	8.762.8787
PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department			DATE:	5	/1/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update					
DESCRIPTION:	Tubbs 1.0 MG Coating					
CIP Code:	T-8					
			J-U-B PROJ. NO.:		20-22	-058
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	SCHEDULE OF VALUES				
		QTY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	то	TAL COST
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit Price	Т	otal Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization			5.0%	\$	6,000
2	Construction Traffic Control			0.0%	\$	-
3	Coating					
3.1	Exterior Coating	12,300	SF	\$ 8	\$	98,400
4	Miscellaneous Other				\$	-
4.1	Coordination around Communication Utilities & Mise	1	LS	25%	\$	25,000
5	Bonding and Insurance		-	2.5%	\$	3,000
		ESTIMAT	ED CONSTRUCT	ION SUBTOTAL	\$	132,400

Contingency $^{\rm 1}$

Planning, Engineering, & Administrative Costs²

TOTAL PROBABLE COST IN 2023 DOLLARS \$

\$

\$

40,000

34,000

200,000

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

J·U·B ENGINEERS, INC.	7	825 Meadowl	ark Way, Coeur	d'Alene, ID 8381	15/2	208.762.8787
PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department			DATE:		5/1/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update					
DESCRIPTION:	Standpipe Coatings					
CIP Code:	Т-9, Т-10					
			J-U-B PROJ. NO.:		20-2	2-058
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION		SCHEDU	LE OF VALUES		
		QTY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	т	OTAL COST
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit Price		Total Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization			5.0%	\$	23,000
2	Construction Traffic Control			0.0%	\$	-
3	Coating					
3.1	Interior Coating of Standpipe	30,000	SF	\$ 10	\$	300,000
4	Miscellaneous Other				\$	-
4.1	Coordination around Communication Utilities & Misc.	1	LS	50%	\$	150,000
5	Bonding and Insurance		-	2.5%	\$	11,000
		ESTIMAT	ED CONSTRUCT	ION SUBTOTAL	\$	484,000
				Contingency 1	\$	145,000
Planning, Engineering, & Administrative Costs ²					\$	126,000
		TOTAL PRO	BABLE COST IN	2023 DOLLARS	\$	760,000

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

	7825 Meadow	lark Way, Coeur	d'Alene, ID 838	15 /	208.762.8787
Coeur d'Alene Water Department			DATE:		5/1/2024
2023 Comprehensive Plan Update					
Stanley Coatings					
T-11					
		J-U-B PROJ. NO.:		20-	22-058
DESCRIPTION		SCHEDU	LE OF VALUES		
	QTY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	-	FOTAL COST
Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit Price		Total Price
Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization			5.0%	\$	4,000
Construction Traffic Control			0.0%	\$	-
Coating					
Exterior Coating	3,214	SF	\$ 8	\$	25,711
Interior Coating	3,214	SF	\$ 12	\$	38,566
Miscellaneous Other				\$	-
Coordination around Communication Utilities & Misc.	1	LS	25%	\$	16,000
Bonding and Insurance		-	2.5%	\$	2,000
	ESTIMAT	TED CONSTRUCT	TION SUBTOTAL	\$	86,277
Contingency ¹					26,000
Planning, Engineering, & Administrative Costs ²				\$	22,000
	TOTAL PRO	DBABLE COST IN	2023 DOLLARS	\$	130,000
	Coeur d'Alene Water Department 2023 Comprehensive Plan Update Stanley Coatings T-11 DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization Construction Traffic Control Coating Exterior Coating Interior Coating Miscellaneous Other Coordination around Communication Utilities & Misc. Bonding and Insurance	Coeur d'Alene Water Department 2023 Comprehensive Plan Update Stanley Coatings T-11 DESCRIPTION QTY OPSCRIPTION Est. Quart. Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization Construction Traffic Control Coating Exterior Coating Niscellaneous Other Coordination around Communication Utilities & Misc. Bonding and Insurance ESTIMAT	7825 Meadowlark Way, Coeur Coeur d'Alene Water Department 2023 Comprehensive Plan Update Stanley Coatings T-11 J-U-B PROJ. NO.: DESCRIPTION SCHEDU DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization Construction Traffic Control Coating A,214 Exterior Coating A,214 KTerior Coating Miscellaneous Other Coordination around Communication Utilities & Misc. ESTIMATED CONSTRUCT Bonding and Insurance ESTIMATED CONSTRUCT	7825 Meadowlark Way, Coeur d'Alene, ID 838 Coeur d'Alene Water Department DETE: 2023 Comprehensive Plan Update Stanley Coatings T-11 J-U-B PROJ. NO.: J-U-B PROJ. NO.: DESCRIPTION SCHEDUE OF VALUES OTY UNIT UNIT PROJ. DESCRIPTION SCHEDUE OF VALUES OTY UNIT UNIT PROF. Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization Gotton S.0% Construction Traffic Control 3,214 SF \$ 8 Interior Coating 3,214 SF \$ 12 Mosellaneous Other Coordination around Communication Utilities & Misc. 1 LS 25% Bonding and Insurance Cartire CONSTRUCTONETUCTURE Coordination around Communication Utilities & Misc. 1 LS 25% Coordination around Communication Utilities & Misc. 1 LS 25% Coordination around Comm	7825 Meadowlark Way, Coeur d'Alene, ID 83815 / DATE: 2023 Comprehensive Plan Update Stanley Coatings I-U-B PROJ. NO.: I-U-B PROJ. NO.: J-U-B PROJ. NO.: DESCRIPTION CHEDULE OF VALUES ODESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION ODESCRIPTION ODESCRIPTION ODESCRIPTION ODESCRIPTION DESCRIPTION ODESCRIPTION Interior Coating 3,214 SF <td< td=""></td<>

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

(JUB							
J·U·B ENGINEERS, I	NC.	7825 Meadow	lark Way, Coeu	ır d'Al	ene, ID 838	15/	208.762.8787
PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department				DATE:		5/1/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update						
DESCRIPTIO	N: Elm Street Additional Pump & Upgrades						
CIP Code:	B-1						
			J-U-B PROJ. NO.	:		20-2	22-058
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	SCHEDULE OF VALUES					
		QTY	UNIT	U	NIT PRICE	٦	TOTAL COST
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	ι	Jnit Price		Total Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization				5.0%	\$	9,000
2	Construction Traffic Control				0.0%	\$	-
3	General Site Work, Mechanical Upgrades, Building Upgrades	1	LS	\$	70,000	\$	70,000
4	Pump (400 gpm)	1	EA	\$	30,000	\$	30,000
5	Installation and Markup				50%	\$	15,000
6	Electrical & Programming	1	LS		50%	\$	58,000
7	Dending and Incurance				2 50/	÷	4 000

4,000	\$ 2.5%	-		Bonding and Insurance	7	
186,000	\$ ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL					
56,000	\$ Contingency ¹					
48,000	\$ Planning, Engineering, & Administrative Costs ²					
290,000	\$ 2023 DOLLARS	BABLE COST IN	TOTAL PRO			

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

(JUB)						
J·U·B ENGINEERS, INC.		7825 Meadowl	ark Way, Coeur	d'Alene, ID 8381	.5 / 20	8.762.8787
PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department			DATE:	5	/1/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update					
DESCRIPTION:	Additional Pump					
CIP Code:	B-2, B-4					
			J-U-B PROJ. NO.:		20-22-	058
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION		SCHEDU	LE OF VALUES		
		QTY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	то	TAL COST
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit Price	Тс	tal Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization			5.0%	\$	4,000
2	Construction Traffic Control			0.0%	\$	-
3	Mechanical Upgrades	1	LS	\$ 10,000	\$	10,000
4	Pump (200 +/- gpm)	1	EA	\$ 25,000	\$	25,000
5	Installation and Markup			50%	\$	12,500
6	Electrical & Programming	1	LS	50%	\$	24,000
7	Bonding and Insurance		-	2.5%	\$	2,000
ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL					\$	77,500
				Contingency 1	\$	23,000
		Planning, Engine	eering, & Admini	strative Costs ²	\$	20,000
		TOTAL PRO	BABLE COST IN	2023 DOLLARS	\$	100,000

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

JUB			
J·U·B ENGINEERS, INC.		7825 Meadowlark Way, Coeur d'Alene, ID 8	3815 / 208.762.8787
PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department	DA	TE: 5/1/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update		
DESCRIPTION:	Fernan Hill - Split with Stanley		
CIP Code:	B-3		
		J-U-B PROJ. NO.:	20-22-058

ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	SCHEDULE OF VALUES				
		QTY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	Т	OTAL COST
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit Price		Total Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization			5.0%	\$	56,000
2	Construction Traffic Control			0.0%	\$	-
3	General Site Work, Mechanical Upgrades, Building	1	LS	\$ 600,000	\$	600,000
4	Pump (250 gpm)	3	EA	\$ 27,500	\$	83,000
5	Installation and Markup			50%	\$	42,000
6	Electrical & Programming	1	LS	50%	\$	363,000
7	Bonding and Insurance		-	2.5%	\$	27,000
		ESTIMAT	ED CONSTRUCT	ION SUBTOTAL	\$	1,171,000
				Contingency 1	\$	351,000
Planning, Engineering, & Administrative Costs ²					\$	304,000
		TOTAL PRO	BABLE COST IN	2023 DOLLARS	\$	1,800,000

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

2 Estimated at 20% of subtotal.

(JUB)						
J·U·B ENGINEERS, INC.		7825 Meadow	ark Way, Coeur	d'Alene, ID 838	15 / 2	08.762.8787
PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department			DATE:		5/1/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update					
DESCRIPTION:	Armstrong Park Upgrades					
CIP Code:	B-6					
			J-U-B PROJ. NO.:		20-2	2-058
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION		SCHEDU	LE OF VALUES		
		QTY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	Т	OTAL COST
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit Price	1	otal Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization			5.0%	\$	33,000
2	Construction Traffic Control			0.0%	\$	-
3	General Site Work, Mechanical Upgrades, Building	1	LS	\$ 300,000	\$	300,000
4	Pump (220 gpm)	3	EA	\$ 27,500	\$	83,000
5	Installation and Markup			50%	\$	42,000
6	Electrical & Programming	1	LS	50%	\$	213,000
7	Bonding and Insurance		-	2.5%	\$	16,000
		ESTIMAT		ION SUBTOTAL	\$	687,000
				Contingency ¹	\$	206,000
		Planning, Engine	ering, & Admini	strative Costs ²	\$	179,000
		TOTAL PRO	BABLE COST IN	2023 DOLLARS	\$	1,100,000

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

7825 Meadowlark Way, Coeur d'Alene, ID 83815 / 208.762.8787

PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department	DATE:	6/18/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update		
DESCRIPTION:	High Zone - Transmission Main Piping for Addition of NE Storage		
CIP Code:	D-1		

ITEM NO.DESCRIPTIONSCHEDULE OF VALUESITEM NO.DescriptionEst. Quant.UNITUNIT PRICETOTAL COSTITEM No.DescriptionEst. Quant.UnitUnit PriceTotal Price1Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization5.0%\$165,002Construction Traffic Control2.5%\$80,003Water Main Installation7,300LF\$250\$1,825,004Water Service Installation/Replacement7,300LF\$42\$309,065Surface Repair (half width) 27,300LF\$137\$1,001,736Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls-1.0%\$31,007Bonding and InsuranceESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL\$3,464,80	J-U-B PROJ. NO.:					20-2	22-058	
QTYUNITUNIT PRICETOTAL COSTITEM No.DescriptionEst. Quant.UnitUnit PriceTotal Price1Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization5.0%\$165,002Construction Traffic Control2.5%\$80,003Water Main Installation7,300LF\$25%\$16-inch PVC7,300LF\$42\$309,064Water Service Installation/Replacement7,300LF\$42\$309,065Surface Repair (half width) ² 7,300LF\$137\$1,001,736Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls-1.0%\$31,007Bonding and InsuranceESTIMATED CUSTRUCTUS SUBTOTAL\$3,464,80	ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	SCHEDULE OF VALUES					
ITEM No.DescriptionEst. Quant.UnitUnit PriceTotal Price1Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization5.0%\$165,002Construction Traffic Control2.5%\$80,003Water Main Installation2.5%\$80,003Moter Main Installation1.825,0016-inch PVC7,300LF\$2.5%\$1.825,004Water Service Installation/Replacement0.0%\$-5Surface Repair (half width) ² 7,300LF\$1.0%\$3.00,076Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls1.0%\$3.1,007Bonding and Insurance2.5%\$\$3.00ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTIONS TRUCTIONS			QTY UNIT UNIT PRICE TOTAL COST					
1Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization5.0%\$165,002Construction Traffic Control2.5%\$80,003Water Main Installation <th>ITEM No.</th> <th>Description</th> <th>Est. Quant.</th> <th>Unit</th> <th>U</th> <th>nit Price</th> <th></th> <th>Total Price</th>	ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	U	nit Price		Total Price
2Construction Traffic ControlImage: 2.5%\$80,003Water Main InstallationImage: 16-inch PVC7,300LF\$2.5%\$1,825,0016-inch PVC7,300LF\$42\$309,064Water Service Installation/ReplacementImage: 0.0%\$-55Surface Repair (half width) 27,300LF\$137\$1,001,736Construction Facilities and Temporary ControlsImage: 0.0%\$31,0031,007Bonding and InsuranceImage: 0.0%\$53,0034,64,80	1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization				5.0%	\$	165,000
3Water Main InstallationImage: marked installationImage: marked installation16-inch PVC7,300LF\$ 250\$ 1,825,00Trench Excavation, Bedding and Backfill7,300LF\$ 42\$ 309,064Water Service Installation/Replacement0.0%\$5Surface Repair (half width) 27,300LF\$ 137\$ 1,001,736Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls-1.0%\$ 31,007Bonding and Insurance-2.5%\$ 33,464,80	2	Construction Traffic Control				2.5%	\$	80,000
16-inch PVC7,300LF\$250\$1,825,00Trench Excavation, Bedding and Backfill7,300LF\$42\$309,064Water Service Installation/Replacement0.0%\$-55Surface Repair (half width) 27,300LF\$137\$1,001,736Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls-1.0%\$31,007Bonding and Insurance-2.5%\$53,00ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTIONS US TO CONSTRUCTION SUBTORAL	3	Water Main Installation						
Trench Excavation, Bedding and Backfill7,300LF\$ 42\$ 309,064Water Service Installation/Replacement		16-inch PVC	7,300	LF	\$	250	\$	1,825,000
4Water Service Installation/Replacement0.0%\$-5Surface Repair (half width) 27,300LF\$1.37\$1,001,736Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls-1.0%\$31,007Bonding and Insurance-2.5%\$53,00ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL\$3,464,80		Trench Excavation, Bedding and Backfill	7,300	LF	\$	42	\$	309,068
5Surface Repair (half width) 27,300LF\$137\$1,001,736Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls-1.0%\$31,007Bonding and Insurance-2.5%\$53,00ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL\$3,464,80	4	Water Service Installation/Replacement				0.0%	\$	-
6 Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls - 1.0% \$ 31,00 7 Bonding and Insurance - 2.5% \$ 53,00 ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL	5	Surface Repair (half width) ²	7,300	LF	\$	137	\$	1,001,733
7 Bonding and Insurance - 2.5% \$ 53,00 ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL \$ 3,464,80	6	Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls		-		1.0%	\$	31,000
ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL \$ 3,464,80	7	Bonding and Insurance		-		2.5%	\$	53,000
	ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL						\$	3,464,802
Contingency ³ \$ 1,039,00	Contingency ³						\$	1,039,000
Planning, Engineering, & Administrative Costs ¹ \$ 901,00	Planning, Engineering, & Administrative Costs ¹					\$	901,000	
TOTAL PROBABLE COST IN 2023 DOLLARS \$ 5,400,00	TOTAL PROBABLE COST IN 2023 DOLLARS					\$	5,400,000	

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

Estimated at 4"HMA/8" Base for 1/2 Street

3 Estimated at 20% of the subtotal.

7825 Meadowlark Way, Coeur d'Alene, ID 83815 / 208.762.8787

PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update
DESCRIPTION:	High Zone between Hanley & Prairie Wells
CID Codo:	D_2

DATE: 6/18/2024

CIP Code:	D-2						
	J-U-B PROJ. NO.: 20-22-058						22-058
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	SCHEDULE OF VALUES					
		QTY UNIT UNIT PRICE TOTAL COST					
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	Ur	nit Price		Total Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization				5.0%	\$	103,000
2	Construction Traffic Control				2.5%	\$	50,000
3	Water Main Installation						
	24-inch PVC	3,200	LF	\$	420	\$	1,344,000
	Trench Excavation, Bedding and Backfill	3,200	LF	\$	42	\$	135,482
4	Water Service Installation/Replacement	n/Replacement 2.0%					30,000
5	Surface Repair (half width) ²	3,200	LF	\$	137	\$	439,116
6	Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls		-		1.0%	\$	19,000
7	Bonding and Insurance		-		2.5%	\$	38,000
ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL							2,158,598
Contingency ³						\$	648,000
Planning, Engineering, & Administrative Costs ¹						\$	561,000
TOTAL PROBABLE COST IN 2023 DOLLARS					\$	3,370,000	
1	Estimated at 20% of construction subtatal						

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

Estimated at 4"HMA/8" Base for 1/2 Street

3 Estimated at 20% of the subtotal.

	- /
7825 Meadowlark Way, Coeur d Alene, ID 8381	5 / 208.762.8787

PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department	DATE:	6/18/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update		
DESCRIPTION	: High Zone - New Transmission Main Piping in Wilbur		
CIP Code:	D-3		

J-U-B PROJ. NO.:						20-2	22-058
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	SCHEDULE OF VALUES					
		QTY	TOTAL COST				
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	U	nit Price		Total Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization				5.0%	\$	190,000
2	Construction Traffic Control				2.5%	\$	93,000
3	Water Main Installation						
	18-inch PVC	6,700	LF	\$	350	\$	2,345,000
	Trench Excavation, Bedding and Backfill	6,700	LF	\$	42	\$	283,665
4	Water Service Installation/Replacement 2.0%					\$	53,000
5	Surface Repair (half width) ²	6,700	LF	\$	137	\$	919,399
6	Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls		-		1.0%	\$	36,000
7	Bonding and Insurance		-		2.5%	\$	67,000
ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL						\$	3,987,065
Contingency ³						\$	1,196,000
Planning, Engineering, & Administrative Costs ¹					\$	1,037,000	
TOTAL PROBABLE COST IN 2023 DOLLARS					\$	6,220,000	

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

Estimated at 4"HMA/8" Base for 1/2 Street

3 Estimated at 20% of the subtotal.
7825 Meadowlark Way, Coeur d'Alene, ID 83815 / 208.762.8787

PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department	DATE:	6/18/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update		
DESCRIPTION	: High Zone - New Transmission Main Piping for Spiers Well		
CIP Code:	D-4		

		J-U-B PROJ. NO.:			20-2	22-058	
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	SCHEDULE OF VALUES					
		QTY	UNIT	UNIT P	NIT PRICE TOTAL COS		
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit P	rice		Total Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization			5.0%	6	\$	76,000
2	Construction Traffic Control			2.5%	6	\$	37,000
3	Water Main Installation						
	16-inch PVC	3,300	LF	\$	250	\$	825,000
	Trench Excavation, Bedding and Backfill	3,300	LF	\$	42	\$	139,716
4	Water Service Installation/Replacement			2.0%	6	\$	19,000
5	Surface Repair (half width) ²	3,300	LF	\$	137	\$	452,838
6	Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls		-	1.0%	6	\$	14,000
7	Bonding and Insurance		-	2.5%	6	\$	25,000
	E	STIMATED CC	ONSTRUCT	ON SUBT	OTAL	\$	1,588,554
Contingency ³							477,000
	Planning	, Engineering,	& Adminis	trative Co	sts 1	\$	413,000
	TO	TAL PROBABLI	E COST IN 2	2023 DOL	LARS	\$	2,480,000

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

Estimated at 4"HMA/8" Base for 1/2 Street

3 Estimated at 20% of the subtotal.

7825 Meadowlark Way, Coeur d'Alene, ID 83815 / 208.762.8787

PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department	DATE:	6/18/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update		
DESCRIPTION:	High Zone - New Transmission Main Piping Adjacent to Kathleen		
CIP Code:	D-5		

		J-U-B PROJ. NO.:			20-2	22-058	
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	SCHEDULE OF VALUES					
		QTY	UNIT	UN	UNIT PRICE		TOTAL COST
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	U	nit Price		Total Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization				5.0%	\$	282,000
2	Construction Traffic Control				2.5%	\$	138,000
3	Water Main Installation						
	16-inch PVC	12,300	LF	\$	250	\$	3,075,000
	Trench Excavation, Bedding and Backfill	12,300	LF	\$	42	\$	520,759
4	Water Service Installation/Replacement				2.0%	\$	72,000
5	Surface Repair (half width) ²	12,300	LF	\$	137	\$	1,687,852
6	Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls		-		1.0%	\$	54,000
7	Bonding and Insurance		-		2.5%	\$	92,000
		ESTIMATED CO	NSTRUCT	ION S	UBTOTAL	\$	5,921,611
				Conti	ingency ³	\$	1,776,000
	Planning	ı, Engineering,	& Adminis	trativ	ve Costs ¹	\$	1,540,000
	то	TAL PROBABLE	COST IN 2	2023	DOLLARS	\$	9,240,000

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

Estimated at 4"HMA/8" Base for 1/2 Street

3 Estimated at 20% of the subtotal.

7825 Meadowlark Way, Coeur d'Alene, ID 83815 / 208.762.8787

PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department	DATE:	6/18/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update		
DESCRIPTION:	High Zone - New Transmission Main Piping Near Atlas Well		
	De		

		J-U-B PROJ. NO.:			20-2	22-058	
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	SCHEDULE OF VALUE					
		QTY	UNIT	U	NIT PRICE		TOTAL COST
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	U	nit Price		Total Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization				5.0%	\$	98,000
2	Construction Traffic Control				2.5%	\$	48,000
3	Water Main Installation						
	10-inch PVC	890	LF	\$	100	\$	89,000
	12-inch PVC	5,000	LF	\$	140	\$	700,000
	Trench Excavation, Bedding and Backfill	5,890	LF	\$	42	\$	249,372
4	Water Service Installation/Replacement				2.0%	\$	21,000
5	Surface Repair (half width)	5,890	LF	\$	137	\$	808,248
6	Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls		-		1.0%	\$	19,000
7	Bonding and Insurance		-		2.5%	\$	26,000
	E	STIMATED CC	NSTRUCTI	ON S	UBTOTAL	\$	2,058,619
Contingency ³						\$	618,000
Planning, Engineering, & Administrative Costs ¹						\$	535,000
	ТО	TAL PROBABLI	E COST IN 2	2023	DOLLARS	\$	3,210,000

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

2 Estimated at 4"HMA/8" Base for 1/2 Street

3 Estimated at 20% of the subtotal.

7825 Meadowlark Way, Coeur d'Alene, ID 83815 / 208.762.8787

PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department	DATE:	6/18/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update		
DESCRIPTION:	General Zone - New Transmission Main Piping Near 4th Street Well		
CIP Code:	D-7		

		J-U-B PROJ. NO.:			20-22-058		
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	SCHEDULE OF VALUES					
		QTY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE		TOTAL COST	
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit Price		Total Price	
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization			5.0%	\$	229,000	
2	Construction Traffic Control			2.5%	\$	112,000	
3	Water Main Installation						
	8-inch PVC	2,100	LF	\$ 70	\$	147,000	
	12-inch PVC	4,800	LF	\$ 140	\$	672,000	
	16-inch PVC	5,000	LF	\$ 250	\$	1,250,000	
	18-inch PVC	200	LF	\$ 350	\$	70,000	
	Trench Excavation, Bedding and Backfill	12,100	LF	\$ 42	\$	512,291	
4	Water Service Installation/Replacement			2.0%	\$	53,000	
5	Surface Repair (half width) ²	12,100	LF	\$ 137	\$	1,660,407	
6	Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls		-	1.0%	\$	44,000	
7	Bonding and Insurance		-	2.5%	\$	68,000	
	E	STIMATED CC	NSTRUCTI	ON SUBTOTAL	\$	4,817,699	
				Contingency ³	\$	1,445,000	
	Planning	, Engineering,	& Adminis	trative Costs ¹	\$	1,253,000	
	то	TAL PROBABLI	E COST IN 2	2023 DOLLARS	\$	7,520,000	

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

2 Estimated at 4"HMA/8" Base for 1/2 Street

3 Estimated at 20% of the subtotal.

7825 Meadowlark Way, Coeur d'Alene, ID 83815 / 208.762.878							
PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department				DATE:		6/18/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update						
DESCRIPTION:	General Zone - River Crossing						
CIP Code:	D-8						
		J-L	J-B PROJ. NO	D.:		20-	22-058
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	SCHEDULE OF VALUES					
		QTY	UNIT	U	NIT PRICE		TOTAL COST
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	U	Init Price		Total Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization				5.0%	\$	20,000
2	Construction Traffic Control				2.5%	\$	10,000
3	Water Main Installation						
	12-inch PVC	1,200	LF	\$	140	\$	168,000
	Trench Excavation, Bedding and Backfill	1,200	LF	\$	42	\$	50,806
4	Water Service Installation/Replacement				2.0%	\$	4,000
5	Surface Repair (half width) ²	1,200	LF	\$	137	\$	164,669
6	Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls		-		1.0%	\$	4,000
7	Bonding and Insurance		-		2.5%	\$	6,000
		ESTIMATED CO	NSTRUCT	ON S	SUBTOTAL	\$	427,474
				Cont	tingency ³	\$	128,000
	Planning	g, Engineering,	& Adminis	trati	ve Costs ¹	\$	111,000
	тс	TAL PROBABLE	COST IN 2	2023	DOLLARS	\$	670,000
1	Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal						

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

Estimated at 4"HMA/8" Base for 1/2 Street

3 Estimated at 20% of the subtotal.

7025 Maadawlark May	Coour d'Alona	ID 0201E	1200 762 0707
7025 Weduuwidik Way,	Coeur a Alerie,	ID 02012	/ 200./02.0/0/

PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update
DESCRIPTION:	General Zone - Government Way Piping

DATE: 6/18/2024

en eouer							
		J-I	U-B PROJ. NO		20-22-058		
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	SCHEDULE OF VAI					
		QTY	UNIT	UNI	T PRICE		TOTAL COST
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	Uni	t Price		Total Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization			5	5.0%	\$	51,000
2	Construction Traffic Control			2	2.5%	\$	25,000
3	Water Main Installation						
	12-inch PVC	3,000	LF	\$	140	\$	420,000
	Trench Excavation, Bedding and Backfill	3,000	LF	\$	42	\$	127,014
4	Water Service Installation/Replacement			2	2.0%	\$	11,000
5	Surface Repair (half width) ²	3,000	LF	\$	137	\$	411,671
6	Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls		-	1	.0%	\$	10,000
7	Bonding and Insurance		-	2	2.5%	\$	14,000
		ESTIMATED CO	NSTRUCTI	ON SU	IBTOTAL	\$	1,069,686
				Contin	gency ³	\$	321,000
	Planning	, Engineering,	& Adminis	trative	Costs ¹	\$	278,000
	то	TAL PROBABLE	COST IN 2	2023 D	OLLARS	\$	1,670,000
1	Estimated at 20% of construction subtotal						

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

Estimated at 4"HMA/8" Base for 1/2 Street

3 Estimated at 20% of the subtotal.

7825 Meadowlark Way	Coeur d'Alene	ID 83815	/ 208 762 8787
7025 IVICAUOWIAIK VVAY,	Coeur a Alerie,	10 02012	200.702.0707

PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department	DATE:	6/18/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update		
DESCRIPTION	General Zone - Emma Ave Piping		
CIP Code:	D-10		

		J-	U-B PROJ. NO	D.:		20-2	22-058
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	SCHEDULE OF VALUES					
		QTY	UNIT	UN	IT PRICE		TOTAL COST
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	U	nit Price		Total Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization				5.0%	\$	54,000
2	Construction Traffic Control				2.5%	\$	26,000
3	Water Main Installation						
	10-inch PVC	700	LF	\$	100	\$	70,000
	12-inch PVC	2,600	LF	\$	140	\$	364,000
	Trench Excavation, Bedding and Backfill	3,300	LF	\$	42	\$	139,716
4	Water Service Installation/Replacement				2.0%	\$	10,000
5	Surface Repair (half width) ²	3,300	LF	\$	137	\$	452,838
6	Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls		-		1.0%	\$	10,000
7	Bonding and Insurance		-		2.5%	\$	13,000
	E	STIMATED CC	NSTRUCT	ON S	UBTOTAL	\$	1,139,554
				Cont	ingency ³	\$	342,000
	Planning	, Engineering,	& Adminis	trativ	ve Costs ¹	\$	296,000
	то	TAL PROBABLI	E COST IN 2	2023	DOLLARS	\$	1,780,000

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

2 Estimated at 4"HMA/8" Base for 1/2 Street

3 Estimated at 20% of the subtotal.

7825 Meadowlark Way	Coeur d'Alene	ID 83815	/ 208 762 8787
7025 IVIEauUWIAIK VVAY,	COEUL U AIEIIE	, 10 03013	200.702.0707

PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department	DATE:	6/18/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update		
DESCRIPTION	: General Zone - Lincoln Way Piping		
CIP Code:	D-11		

		J-I	U-B PROJ. N	0.:		20-2	22-058
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	SCHEDULE OF VALUES					
		QTY	UNIT	UN	IT PRICE		TOTAL COST
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	Ur	nit Price		Total Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization				5.0%	\$	25,000
2	Construction Traffic Control				2.5%	\$	12,000
3	Water Main Installation						
	8-inch PVC	30	LF	\$	70	\$	2,100
	12-inch PVC	1,100	LF	\$	140	\$	154,000
	16-inch PVC	240	LF	\$	250	\$	60,000
	Trench Excavation, Bedding and Backfill	1,370	LF	\$	42	\$	58,003
4	Water Service Installation/Replacement				2.0%	\$	5,000
5	Surface Repair (half width) ²	1,370	LF	\$	137	\$	187,997
6	Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls		-		1.0%	\$	5,000
7	Bonding and Insurance		-		2.5%	\$	7,000
		ESTIMATED CO	NSTRUCT	ION S	UBTOTAL	\$	516,100
				Conti	ngency ³	\$	155,000
	Plannin	g, Engineering,	& Adminis	trativ	e Costs ¹	\$	134,000
	тс	TAL PROBABLE	COST IN	2023	DOLLARS	\$	810,000

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

2 Estimated at 4"HMA/8" Base for 1/2 Street

3 Estimated at 20% of the subtotal.

	78	25 Meadowlar	k Way, Coe	eur d'Alene, ID	838	15 / 208.762.8787
PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department			DATE	:	6/18/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update					
DESCRIPTION:	Stanley Hill Zone Piping					
CIP Code:	D-12					
		J-	U-B PROJ. NO).:	20-	22-058
ITEM	DESCRIPTION	SCHEDULE OF VALUES				
NO.						
NO.		QTY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE	Τ	TOTAL COST
NO. ITEM No.	Description	QTY Est. Quant.	UNIT Unit	UNIT PRICE Unit Price		TOTAL COST Total Price
NO. ITEM No. 1	Description Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization	QTY Est. Quant.	UNIT Unit	UNIT PRICE Unit Price 5.0%	\$	TOTAL COST Total Price 73,000
NO. ITEM No. 1 2	Description Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization Construction Traffic Control	QTY Est. Quant.	UNIT Unit	UNIT PRICE Unit Price 5.0% 2.5%	\$ \$	TOTAL COST Total Price 73,000 36,000
NO. ITEM No. 1 2 3	Description Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization Construction Traffic Control Water Main Installation	QTY Est. Quant.	UNIT Unit	UNIT PRICE Unit Price 5.0% 2.5%	\$ \$	TOTAL COST Total Price 73,000 36,000
NO. ITEM No. 1 2 3	Description Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization Construction Traffic Control Water Main Installation 12-inch PVC	QTY Est. Quant. 4,300	UNIT Unit	UNIT PRICE Unit Price 5.0% 2.5% \$ 140	\$ \$ \$	TOTAL COST Total Price 73,000 36,000 602,000

4,300

LF

-

ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL

TOTAL PROBABLE COST IN 2023 DOLLARS

Planning, Engineering, & Administrative Costs¹

2.0%

1.0%

2.5%

Contingency ³

\$

\$

\$

\$

\$

\$

\$

\$

\$

137

16,000

590,062

14,000

20,000

1,533,116

460,000

399,000

2,390,000

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

Estimated at 4"HMA/8" Base for 1/2 Street

Water Service Installation/Replacement

Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls

Surface Repair (half width)²

Bonding and Insurance

3 Estimated at 20% of the subtotal.

4

5

6

7

	78	25 Meadowlar	k Way, Co	eur d	d'Alene, ID	838	15 / 208.762.8787
PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department				DATE:		6/18/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update						
DESCRIPTION:	Future Fernan Zone Piping						
CIP Code:	D-13						
		J-	U-B PROJ. NO	D.:		20-	22-058
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION		SCH	EDU	LE OF VALL	JES	
		QTY	UNIT	U	NIT PRICE		TOTAL COST
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	U	nit Price		Total Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization				5.0%	\$	103,000
2	Construction Traffic Control				2.5%	\$	50,000
3	Water Main Installation						
	12-inch PVC	6,100	LF	\$	140	\$	854,000
	Trench Excavation, Bedding and Backfill	6,100	LF	\$	42	\$	258,263
4	Water Service Installation/Replacement				2.0%	\$	22,000
5	Surface Repair (half width) ²	6,100	LF	\$	137	\$	837,065
6	Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls		-		1.0%	\$	20,000
7	Bonding and Insurance		-		2.5%	\$	28,000
		ESTIMATED CO	NSTRUCTI	ON S	SUBTOTAL	\$	2,172,327
				Con	tingency ³	\$	652,000
	Planning	ı, Engineering,	& Adminis	trati	ve Costs ¹	\$	565,000
	то	TAL PROBABLE	COST IN 2	2023	DOLLARS	\$	3,390,000

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

Estimated at 4"HMA/8" Base for 1/2 Street

3 Estimated at 20% of the subtotal.

	78	25 Meadowlar	k Way, Co	eur d'Alene, ID	838	15 / 208.762.8787
PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department			DATE	:	6/18/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update					
DESCRIPTION:	Armstrong Zone Piping					
CIP Code:	D-14					
		J-	U-B PROJ. NO	D.:	20-	-22-058
ITEM	DESCRIPTION		SCH			
NO.	DESCRIPTION		301		OLJ	
		QTY	UNIT	UNIT PRICE		TOTAL COST
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	Unit Price		Total Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization			5.0%	\$	61,000
2	Construction Traffic Control			2.5%	\$	30,000
3	Water Main Installation					
	12-inch PVC	3,600	LF	\$ 140	\$	504,000
	Trench Excavation, Bedding and Backfill	3,600	LF	\$ 42	\$	152,417
4	Water Service Installation/Replacement			2.0%	\$	13,000
5	Surface Repair (half width) ²	3,600	LF	\$ 137	\$	494,006
6	Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls		-	1.0%	\$	12,000
7	Bonding and Insurance		-	2.5%	\$	17,000
		ESTIMATED CO	NSTRUCTI	ON SUBTOTAL	\$	1,283,423
				Contingency ³	\$	385,000
	Planning	g, Engineering,	& Adminis	trative Costs ¹	\$	334,000

TOTAL PROBABLE COST IN 2023 DOLLARS \$

2,000,000

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

Estimated at 4"HMA/8" Base for 1/2 Street

3 Estimated at 20% of the subtotal.

		7825 Meadowlar	rk Way, Co	eur d'A	Alene, ID	8381	15 / 208.762.8787
PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department				DATE:		6/18/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update						
DESCRIPTION	N: General Zone I90 Widening						
CIP Code:	D-15						
		J-	U-B PROJ. N	0.:		20-2	22-058
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION		SCHEDULE OF VALUES				
		QTY	UNIT	UNI	T PRICE		TOTAL COST
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	Un	it Price		Total Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization			, ,	5.0%	\$	13,000
2	Construction Traffic Control			2	2.5%	\$	6,000
3	Water Main Installation						
	12-inch PVC	600	LF	\$	140	\$	84,000
	Trench Excavation, Bedding and Backfill	600	LF	\$	42	\$	25,403
	Misc. Items (bridge hangings and etc.)			5	0.0%	\$	55,000

2.0%

1.0%

2.5%

Contingency ³

\$

LF

_

ESTIMATED CONSTRUCTION SUBTOTAL

TOTAL PROBABLE COST IN 2023 DOLLARS

Planning, Engineering, & Administrative Costs¹

600

\$

\$

\$

\$

\$

\$

\$

\$

137

2,000

82,334

2,000

4,000

273,737

82,000

71,000

430,000

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

Water Service Installation/Replacement

Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls

Surface Repair (half width)²

Bonding and Insurance

2 Estimated at 4"HMA/8" Base for 1/2 Street

3 Estimated at 20% of the subtotal.

4 5

6

	78	325 Meadowlar	k Way, Co	eur d	d'Alene, ID	838	15 / 208.762.8787
PROJECT:	Coeur d'Alene Water Department				DATE:		6/18/2024
	2023 Comprehensive Plan Update						
DESCRIPTION:	Fernan Hill Future Development						
CIP Code:	D-16						
		J-	U-B PROJ. NO	D.:		20-	22-058
ITEM NO.	DESCRIPTION	SCHEDULE OF VALUES					
		QTY	UNIT	U	NIT PRICE		TOTAL COST
ITEM No.	Description	Est. Quant.	Unit	U	Init Price		Total Price
1	Mobilization, Administration, Demobilization				5.0%	\$	42,000
2	Construction Traffic Control				2.5%	\$	21,000
3	Water Main Installation						
	8-inch PVC	3,200	LF	\$	70	\$	224,000
	Trench Excavation, Bedding and Backfill	3,200	LF	\$	42	\$	135,482
4	Water Service Installation/Replacement				2.0%	\$	7,000
5	Surface Repair (half width) ²	3,200	LF	\$	137	\$	439,116
6	Construction Facilities and Temporary Controls		-		1.0%	\$	8,000
7	Bonding and Insurance		-		2.5%	\$	9,000
		ESTIMATED CO	NSTRUCTI	ON	SUBTOTAL	\$	885,598
				Con	tingency ³	\$	266,000
	Planning	g, Engineering,	& Adminis	trati	ive Costs ¹	\$	230,000
	тс	TAL PROBABLE		2023	DOLLARS	\$	1,380,000
1	Estimated at 200/ of construction subtatal						

1 Estimated at 30% of construction subtotal.

Estimated at 4"HMA/8" Base for 1/2 Street

3 Estimated at 20% of the subtotal.

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Appendix I

DEQ Correspondence

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX I

DEQ Correspondence

Prepared by:



J·U·B ENGINEERS, INC. 7825 Meadowlark Way Coeur d'Alene, ID (208) 762-8787 | www.jub.com

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

Jessica Waller

From:	MARINE, KYLE <kmarine@cdaid.org></kmarine@cdaid.org>
Sent:	Tuesday, October 8, 2024 12:04 PM
То:	Jessica Waller; POELSTRA, GLEN
Cc:	Michelle L. Johnson; Avery Postera
Subject:	[EXTERNAL] RE: Fire Flows.
Attachments:	20241008112402429.pdf

External Email - This Message originated from outside J-U-B ENGINEERS, Inc.

See below for Craig Etherton comments. (CDA fire dep inspector) he is willing to right a sporting letter if needs be.

Kyle

Here is the currently adopted Appendix B from the 2018 International Fire Code (IFC). The FD adopts whatever version of the fire code that the State Fire Marshal's office adopts. Perhaps in your reference to DEQ you can word it in a similar way. I do not foresee a time when we would not adopt this appendix with a new code adoption.

Let me know if you think this will work for you.

Craig Etherton Coeur D'Alene Fire Department

Deputy Fire Marshal IAAI-CFI Public Information Officer-PIO Youth Fire Intervention Specialist (208) 769-2245 office (208) 659-8986 cell

Kyle Marine

Cell: 208-449-4021 Fax: 208-769-2336 kmarine@cdaid.org

From: Jessica Waller <jwaller@jub.com>
Sent: Tuesday, October 8, 2024 8:46 AM
To: MARINE, KYLE <KMARINE@cdaid.org>; POELSTRA, GLEN <GPOELSTRA@cdaid.org>
Cc: Michelle L. Johnson <mjohnson@jub.com>; Avery Postera <apostera@jub.com>
Subject: FW: Fire Flows.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Good morning Kyle,

We are working on addressing comments from DEQ on the Water System Plan Update. They have asked for documentation from the local fire authority supporting the required fire flows. All we have for documentation is the below email String from Terry.

Would you be able to get a letter or even email from the Fire Department supporting the fire flows listed in the original email? We could include the letter in an appendices for DEQ.

Let us know if you have any questions, thank you!

JESSICA WALLER

From: PICKEL, TERRY <TPICKEL@cdaid.org>
Sent: Tuesday, April 18, 2023 4:04 PM
To: Jessica Waller <jwaller@jub.com>
Cc: MARINE, KYLE <KMARINE@cdaid.org>; Michelle L. Johnson <mjohnson@jub.com>; Avery Postera
<apostera@jub.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] RE: Fire Flows.

External Email - This Message originated from outside J-U-B ENGINEERS, Inc.

Hi Jessica,

I have confirmed with Bobby Gonder that the fire flow requirements have not changed.

Thanks,

Terry W Pickel

Director CDA Water Dept. (208)769-2210

From: Jessica Waller <jwaller@jub.com>
Sent: Monday, April 17, 2023 10:04 AM
To: PICKEL, TERRY <<u>TPICKEL@cdaid.org</u>>
Cc: MARINE, KYLE <<u>KMARINE@cdaid.org</u>>; Michelle L. Johnson <<u>mjohnson@jub.com</u>>; Avery Postera
<<u>apostera@jub.com</u>>
Subject: RE: Fire Flows.

CAUTION: This email originated from outside your organization. Exercise caution when opening attachments or clicking links, especially from unknown senders.

Good morning Terry,

Just wanted to follow-up and see if you had received information back from Bobby on the Fire Flows listed below.

TABLE B105.1(1) REQUIRED FIRE FLOW FOR ONE- AND TWO-FAMILY DWELLINGS, GROUP R-3 AND R-4 BUILDINGS AND TOWNHOUSES

FIRE-FLOW CALCULATION AREA (square feet)	AUTOMATIC SPRINKLER SYSTEM (Design Standard)	MINIMUM FIRE FLOW (gallons per minute)	FLOW DURATION (hours)	
03,600	No automatic sprinkler system	1,000	1	
3,601 and greater	No automatic sprinkler system	Value in Table B105.1(2)	Duration in Table B105.1(2) at the required fire-flow rate	
0-3,600	Section 903.3.1.3 of the <i>International Fire Code</i> or Section P2904 of the <i>International Residential Code</i>	500	۱/ ₂	
3,601 and greater	Section 903.3.1.3 of the International Fire Code or Section P2904 of the International Residential Code	$\frac{1}{2}$ value in Table B105.1(2)	1	

For SI: 1 square foot = 0.0929 m^2 , 1 gallon per minute = 3.785 L/m.

TABLE B105.1(2) REFERENCE TABLE FOR TABLES B105.1(1) AND B105.2							
FIRE-FLOW CALCULATION AREA (square feet)					FIRE FLOW	FLOW DURATION	
Type IA and IB [®]	Type IIA and IIIA [®]	Type IV and V-A ⁴	Type IIB and IIIB ⁴	Туре V-В⁴	(gallons per minute) ^b	(hours)	
0-22,700	0-12,700	0-8,200	0-5,900	0-3,600	1,500		
22,701-30,200	12,701-17,000	8,201-10,900	5,901-7,900	3,601-4,800	1,750		
30,201-38,700	17,001-21,800	10,901-12,900	7,901-9,800	4,801-6,200	2,000	2	
38,701-48,300	21,801-24,200	12,901-17,400	9,801-12,600	6,201-7,700	2,250	2	
48,301-59,000	24,201-33,200	17,401-21,300	12,601-15,400	7,701-9,400	2,500		
59,001-70,900	33,201-39,700	21,301-25,500	15,401-18,400	9,401-11,300	2,750		
70,901-83,700	39,701-47,100	25,501-30,100	18,401-21,800	11,301-13,400	3,000	,000	
83,701-97,700	47,101-54,900	30,101-35,200	21,801-25,900	13,401-15,600	3,250		
97,701-112,700	54,901-63,400	35,201-40,600	25,901-29,300	15,601-18,000	3,500	3	
112,701-128,700	63,401-72,400	40,601-46,400	29,301-33,500	18,001-20,600	3,750		
128,701-145,900	72,401-82,100	46,401-52,500	33,501-37,900	20,601-23,300	4,000		
145,901-164,200	82,101-92,400	52,501-59,100	37,901-42,700	23,301-26,300	4,250		
164,201-183,400	92,401-103,100	59,101-66,000	42,701-47,700	26,301-29,300	4,500		
183,401-203,700	103,101-114,600	66,001-73,300	47,701-53,000	29,301-32,600	4,750		
203,701-225,200	114,601-126,700	73,301-81,100	53,001-58,600	32,601-36,000	5,000		
225,201-247,700	126,701-139,400	81,101-89,200	58,601-65,400	36,001-39,600	5,250		
247,701-271,200	139,401-152,600	89,201-97,700	65,401-70,600	39,601-43,400	5,500		
271,201-295,900	152,601-166,500	97,701-106,500	70,601-77,000	43,401-47,400	5,750		
295,901-Greater	166,501-Greater	106,501-115,800	77,001-83,700	47,401-51,500	6,000	4	
		115,801-125,500	83,701-90,600	51,501-55,700	6,250		
		125,501-135,500	90,601-97,900	55,701-60,200	6,500		
		135,501-145,800	97,901-106,800	60,201-64,800	6,750		
	_	145,801-156,700	106,801-113,200	64,801-69,600	7,000		
		156,701-167,900	113,201-121,300	69,601-74,600	7,250		
		167,901-179,400	121,301-129,600	74,601-79,800	7,500		
		179,401-191,400	129,601-138,300	79,801-85,100	7,750		
		191,401-Greater	138,301-Greater	85,101-Greater	8,000		

For SI: 1 square foot = 0.0929 m², 1 gallon per minute = 3.785 L/m, 1 pound per square inch = 6.895 kPa.

a. Types of construction are based on the International Building Code,

b. Measured at 20 psi residual pressure.

151-6

Thank you for helping us track down these items, much appreciated!

JESSICA WALLER

J-U-B ENGINEERS, Inc. 7825 Meadowlark Way, Coeur d'Alene, ID 83815 e jwaller@jub.com w www.jub.com p 208 762 8787 f 208 762 9797

From: PICKEL, TERRY <<u>TPICKEL@cdaid.org</u>>
Sent: Thursday, February 16, 2023 8:07 AM
To: GONDER, ROBERT <<u>RGONDER@cdaid.org</u>>
Cc: MARINE, KYLE <<u>KMARINE@cdaid.org</u>>; Michelle L. Johnson <<u>mjohnson@jub.com</u>>; Jessica Waller
<jwaller@jub.com>
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Fire Flows.

External Email - This Message originated from outside J-U-B ENGINEERS, Inc.

Good morning Bobby,

We are working on our Comprehensive Plan Update and I wanted to check with you to make sure we still have correct fire flow requirements prior to the consultant modeling the system. They have listed at this point:

Residential(R-1, R-3, R-8, R-12) Home < 3600 Sq Ft. = 1000 gpm for a duration of 2 hours with a minimum 20 psi pressure.

Residential(R-1, R-3, R-8, R-12) Home 3600 to 5000 Sq Ft. = 1750 gpm for 2 hours with a minimum 20 psi pressure. Commercial (C-17, C-34) at 3500 gpm for 3 hours with a minimum 20 psi pressure. Industrial (LM, M) at 3500 gpm at 3 hours with a minimum 20 psi pressure.

Please let us know if there are any changes or additions to that.

Thanks Bobby.

Terry W Pickel

Director CDA Water Dept. (208)769-2210

Disclaimer

Note: This e-mail and any attachments involving J-U-B or a subsidiary business may contain information that is confidential and/or proprietary. Prior to use, you agree to the provisions found on the Electronic Documents/Data License, which can be accessed from the footer on the J-U-B home page. If you believe you received this email in error, please reply to that effect and then delete all copies.

This email has been scanned for viruses and malware, and may have been automatically archived.

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK









J-U-B FAMILY OF COMPANIES

Appendix J

City Council Meeting Minutes & Public Presentation

THIS PAGE WAS INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK

APPENDIX J

City Council Meeting Minutes & Public Presentation

Prepared by:



J·U·B ENGINEERS, INC. 7825 Meadowlark Way Coeur d'Alene, ID (208) 762-8787 | www.jub.com









J-U-B FAMILY OF COMPANIES